PROCEEDINGS



The Second International Conference on Social, Economy, Education and Humanity

"Sustainable Development in Developing Country for Facing Industrial Revolution 4.0"

September 5-7, 2019 SKA Convention & Exhibition Center, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia

> Editors: Arbi Haza Nasution Evizal Abdul Kadir Luiz Moutinho

> > Organizer :



Co-Organizers :

Infrastructure



ICoSEEH 2019

Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Social, Economy, Education and Humanity

Sustainable Development in Developing Country for Facing Industrial Revolution 4.0

Riau - Indonesia

September 5 - 7, 2019

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Edited by Arbi Haza Nasution, Evizal Abdul Kadir and Luiz Moutinho

Printed in Portugal ISBN: 978-989-758-464-0 Depósito Legal: 473353/20

http://icoseeh.uir.ac.id

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS	IV
ORGANIZING COMMITTEES	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VI
Foreword	VII
CONTENTS	IX

INVITED SPEAKERS

Prof. Shigeo Sugimoto University of Tsukuba Japan

Prof. Dr. Kulthida Tuamsuk Khon Kaen University Thailand

Prof. Dr. Faridah Ibrahim Malaysia Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. H Syafrinaldi SH, MCL, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia
Prof. Shigeo Sugimoto, University of Tsukuba, Japan
Prof. Luiz Moutinho, University of Suffolk, England
Prof. Dr. Kulthida Tuamsuk, Khon Kaen University, Thailand
Prof. Dr. Faridah Ibrahim, Malaysia Infrastructure University, Kuala Lumpur

GENERAL CHAIR

Dr. Arbi Haza Nasution, M.IT, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

GENERAL CO-CHAIR

Dr. Zetriuslita, S.Pd., M.Si., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

TECHNICAL PROGRAMME CHAIR

Dr. Evizal Abdul Kadir, ST., M.Eng, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

PUBLICATION AND RELATIONSHIP CHAIR

Dr. Syafriadi, S.H., M.H., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

FINANCIAL CHAIR

Ause Labellapansa, ST., M.Cs., M.Kom., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

EDITORIAL CHAIR

Yudhi Arta, S.Kom., M.Kom., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

EDITORIAL BOARD

Putra Efri Rahman, S.Kom, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia Khairul Umam Syaliman, S.T., M.Kom, Politeknik Caltex Riau, Indonesia Winda Monika, S.Pd., M.Sc., Universitas Lancang Kuning, Indonesia Panji Rachmat Setiawan, S.Kom., M.M.S.I., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia Rizdqi Akbar Ramadhan, S.Kom., M.Kom., Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia Anggiat, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia Arif Lukman Hakim, Universitas Riau, Indonesia

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Prof. H. Yaya S Kusumah, M.Sc., Ph.D, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Dinn Wahyudin, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Detri Karya, SE., MA, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Seno Himala Putra, M.Pd, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Yusri Munaf, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Assoc. Prof. Irina Safitri Zen, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Andrew D. Garner, University of Wyoming, USA

Dr. Kanyarat Kwiecien, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

Dr. Norhayati Ibrahim, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Hutkemri Zulnaidi, University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. George Towar Ikbal Tawakkal, S.IP., M.Si., Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

Dr. Ismail Suardi Wekke, S.Ag., M.A., STAIN Sorong, Indonesia

Dr. Belli Nasution, Universitas Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Elfis, M.Si, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Sri Yuliani, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Abdul Aziz, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Eka nuraini, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia **Dr. Syahraini Tambak**, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Dafrizal Samsudin, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Sri Rezeki, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Hamdi Agustin, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Prima Wahyu Titisari, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Ranggi Ade Febrian, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Dedek Andrian. M. Pd, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Husnu Abadi, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. M. Nurul Huda, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Suriski, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Fikri, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Lilis M. Angraini, M.Pd, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Zulhelmi, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Nofriyandi, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

Dr. Sudirman Shomary, Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia

FOREWORD

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.,

Welcome to the Second International Conference on Social, Economy, Education, and Humanity (ICoSEEH 2019). The advancement of today's computing technology, science, engineering and industrial revolution 4.0 play a big role in the sustainable development of social, economic, education, and humanity in developing countries. Institute of higher education is one of many parties that need to be involved in the process. Academicians and researchers should promote the concept of sustainable development. The Second International Conference on Social, Economy, Education, and Humanity (ICoSEEH 2019) is organized to gather researchers to disseminate their relevant work on Social, Economy, Education, and Humanity. The conference is co-located with The Second International Conference on Science, Engineering and Technology (ICoSET 2019) at SKA Co-EX Pekanbaru Riau.

I would like to express my hearty gratitude to all participants for coming, sharing, and presenting your research at this joint conference. There are a total of 108 manuscripts submitted to ICoSEEH 2019. However only high-quality selected papers are accepted to be presented in this event, with the acceptance rates of ICoSEEH 2019 is 71%. We are very grateful to all steering committees and both international and local reviewers for their valuable work. I would like to give a compliment to all co-organizers, publisher, and sponsors for their incredible supports.

Organizing such prestigious conferences was very challenging and it would be impossible to be held without the hard work of the program committee and organizing committee members. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all committees and volunteers from Singapore Management University, Kyoto University, Kyushu University, University of Tsukuba, Khon Kaen University, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, University of Suffolk, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur, Universiti Malaya, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Universiti Teknologi Mara, and Universiti Pendidikan Indonesia for providing us with so much support, advice, and assistance on all aspects of the conference. We do hope that this event will encourage collaboration among us now and in the future.

We wish you all find the opportunity to get rewarding technical programs, intellectual inspiration, and extended networking.

Pekanbaru, 27th August 2019

Dr. Arbi Haza Nasution, M.IT Chair of ICoSEEH 2019

Student Readiness Model Facing the Industrial Revolution 4.0 Dedek Andrian and Astri Wahyuni	302
The Impact of Tourism on Social, Economic and Cultural Conditions in Kecamatan Siak Nurhayati, Rona Muliana, Febby Asteriani and Dinda Ratu	307
The Future of Democracy in Digital Era: Challenges to Political Life in Cyberspace in Indonesia <i>Auradian Marta and Leo Agustino</i>	312
Developing a Model to Improve Human Resources Performance based on Islamic Perspectives: A Case Study among Lecturers of Islamic University of Riau, Indonesia Zulfadli Hamzah, Astri Ayu Purwati, Hamzah, Muhammad Arif and Muhammad Luthfi Hamzah	316
Creative Comprehension on Literacy: Technology and Visual Miranti Eka Putri	324
Character Building Construction of High School Students in Indonesia Tohirin, Risnawati, Zubaidah Amir, Dicki Hartanto, Sohiron and Ramon Muhandaz	329
An Analysis of Students' Error in Solving Critical Thinking Problems in Integral Calculus Course based on Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences and Habits of Mind <i>Rezi Ariawan and Zetriuslita</i>	336
Exploring Methods for Developing Potential Students in Islamic Schools in the Context of Riau Malay Culture Syahraini Tambak and Desi Sukenti	343
Investment Climate in the Indonesian Mining Business Surizki Febrianto and Raja Febriana Andarina Zaharnika	352
The Implementation of Rapid Application Development Method in Designing E-Learning based on Learning Management System Moodle at Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia Hamzah, Muhammad Luthfi Hamzah, Zulfadli Hamzah and Astri Ayu Purwati	359
Constructivism of Problem-Based Learning Failure in Increasing Students Critical Thinking and Strategy of Cognitive Conflict <i>Zetriuslita and Dedek Andrian</i>	367
Legal Reforms of Trademarks in Order to Increase Investment in Indonesia Syafrinaldi, Rani Fadhila Syafrinaldi and Heni Susanti	373
Bringing Collaborative Problem Based Learning (CPBL) into a Flipped Classroom: A New Strategy for Teaching Writing <i>M. Aries Taufiq, Marhamah and Rahmi Eka Putri</i>	379
Understanding the Models of Framing Analyses Approaches in Media Framing Studies Dafrizal Samsudin	385
The Implementation Corporate Social Responsibility Factors That Affect the Corporate Political Activities in Riau Province <i>Made Devi Wedayanti, Achmad Nurmandi and Hasse J.</i>	390
Framing Analysis of Ulama in the Presidential Election Fatmawati Moekahar, Asrinda Amalia and Aidil Haris	395

An Analysis of Students' Error in Solving Critical Thinking Problems in Integral Calculus Course based on Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences and Habits of Mind

Rezi Ariawan, Zetriuslita

Mathematics Education Study Program, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia {reziariawan, zetriuslita}@edu.uir.ac.id

Keywords: Mathematical Critical Thinking Problem, Newman Error Analysis, Gender differences, Habits of mind

Abstract: This study aims to describe the types of students' error in solving mathematical critical thinking problems in Integral Calculus course based on Newman Error Analysis theory and viewed from gender differences and habits of mind. The method of the research is a descriptive quantitative research. The research subjects were 47 students from the third semester who have taken Integral Calculus course. The instrument of data collection was a test using the indicators of mathematical critical thinking skills and a questionnaire on the habit of mind. The data collection techniques are test and non-test techniques. The data was analysed by using quantitative descriptive technique. The result of this study indicated that: (1) The most dominant type of error is encoding (92.44%) and the least is decoding (6.08%); (2) The most dominant type of error by male and female students is encoding (96.43%) and (86.24%) and the least is decoding (24.28%) and (6.76%) ; (3) The most dominant type of error in terms of habits of mind with very good, good, and fair criteria is encoding (88.28%), (94.43%), and (77.7%) and the least one is decoding (10.56%), (19.76%), and (54.28%).

1 INTRODUCTION

Calculus is a course that exists in almost every university that offers mathematics and science majors. In addition, calculus must be taken and completed by students in exact sciences, especially Mathematics. In Mathematics Education Study Program, calculus is a compulsory course divided into three subjects, such as Differential Calculus, Integral Calculus and Multivariable Calculus. Calculus 1 (Differential Calculus) is provided in the first semester, Calculus 2 (Integral Calculus) in the second semester and Advanced Calculus (Multivariable Calculus) in the third semester.

Given the importance of Calculus 2 course, students are expected to have a good mastery and understanding of the course. For this purpose, lecturers can conduct an investigation to find out how the students' ability can be improved.

One of the ways to investigate is to find out their error in solving Calculus 2 problems. This is in line with SofriRizka Amalia's statement (2017) students' mistakes in problem solving need to be analyzed to determine their error and why these errors occur. Furthermore, students' mistakes need further analysis, in order to get a clear and detailed picture of students' weakness in solving story problems (Nurussafa'at et al., 2016).

Based on the experts' opinions above, the final result of this investigation can provide an overview of the types of error, so that lecturers can take more appropriate steps to solve because the focus of improvement is narrower and more apparent. The students' problem solving answers will reveal the error, especially in the form of essay questions that are included in High Order Thinking Skill (HOTS) category. One of the HOT skills is critical thinking. Subsequently, the researchers would like to create mathematical critical thinking problems in the form of essay to examine students' ability in Calculus 2 course.

Errors are deviations from the right things which are systematic, consistent, and incidental in certain areas. Consistent and systematic errors are caused by students' competence, while the incidental ones are not a result of their low mastery of the subject (Abidin, 2012).

Learning error can be caused by: (a) low intellectual ability; (b) emotional disorder (c) lack of learning motivation; (d) students' immaturity in learning; (e) too young; (f) supporting social background that does not support; (tid) (g) poor

336

Ariawan, R. and Zetriuslita

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

An Analysis of Students' Error in Solving Critical Thinking Problems in Integral Calculus Course based on Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences and Habits of Mind.

In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Social, Economy, Education and Humanity (ICoSEEH 2019) - Sustainable Development in Developing Country for Facing Industrial Revolution 4.0, pages 336-342 ISBN: 978-989-758-464-0

An Analysis of Students' Error in Solving Critical Thinking Problems in Integral Calculus Course based on Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences and Habits of Mind

study habits; (h) low memorization; (i) disruption of the sensory devices for the development of puberty (Rahimah, 2012).

Furthermore, there are several errors in learning mathematics, namely; (a) lack of understanding of symbols; (b) concerning place value; (c) use of the wrong process; (d) error in calculations; (e) writing error. Students' error in solving Mathematical problems can be analyzed with several methods. One of the methods is Newman Error Analysis theory (NEA). Newman Error Analysis was first introduced by Allan Leslie White as a simple diagnostic procedure to observe students' behaviour in solving story problems. In line with that, researchers also plan to use the procedure of the Newman theory in diagnosing the students' error in solving the problems of critical thinking skill in Calculus 2 course.

Furthermore, the error in mathematical problem solving can be influenced by several factors, one of which is the presence of gender differences. Gender differences in creativity were areas of controversy (Indrawati and Tasni, 2016) .Abra and Valentine French in Nenny Indrawati and Nurfaidah Tasni (2016) stated that some experts suggest that men are more creative than women, but other experts reveal that women are more creative than men. Moreover, Nenny Indrawati and Nurfaidah Tasni (2016) stated that some researchers believe that the influence of gender in mathematics is due to biological differences in the child's brain that are known through observation.

Another factor that can affect students' error in Integral Calculus problem solving is called habits of mind. Habit of mind is a characteristic of intelligent people when faced with problems whose solutions cannot be identified easily (Costa and Kallick, 2008). Habit of mind is a group of skills, attitudes, and values that allow people to bring up performance or behavioral intelligence based on the stimulus to guide students to face or resolve existing issues (Marita, 2014). Based on these explanations, it can be concluded that habit of mind is a very important aspect to be explored, especially on students' problem solving error in Integral Calculus course. Therefore, the researcher was interested in analyzing students' error based on Newman's theory viewed from gender differences and habits of mind.

2 RESEARCH METHODS

The research used in this study is descriptive. According to Nana Syaodih Sukmadinata (2010). descriptive research is the most basic research, intended to describe the existing phenomena, both natural and man-made. Meanwhile, Descriptive research is a research that intends to describe situations or events (Suryabrata, 2014). If the study wants to describe the size, number or frequency, then the research is more appropriately named as quantitative descriptive research (Sukmadinata, 2010).

Based on several opinions above and referring to the research objective, this type of research is quantitative descriptive research. It generates the number, size or frequency of students who commit errors in solving mathematical critical thinking problems in Integral Calculus course by using Newman Error Analysis theory based on gender differences and habits of mind.

To obtain the data about the subject's ability to express opinions and ideas, the researchers needed an auxiliary instrument in the form of a test of mathematical critical thinking problems, which was referred as the first auxiliary instrument. Meanwhile, to get the information about the students' habit of mind, the researcher used a questionnaire sheet as the second auxiliary instrument. The data collection techniques were test and non-test techniques. The test technique used the first instrument and non-test technique used the second one.

The data analysis technique is a systematic process of searching and compiling data obtained from the result of written test. The data analysis process in this study was carried out with the following steps: (1) Analyzing written data by examining the answer error given by students to the test of mathematical critical thinking skill based on Newman Error Analysis theory ; (2) Analyzing students' error based on Newman Error Analysis theory in terms of gender differences; (3) Checking the answers to the students' Habits of Mind questionnaire to collect the scores; (4) Classifying students into three Habits of Mind categories (self-regulation , critical thinking, and creative thinking) based on the results of each student's questionnaire, as seen in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Classification of Students' Habits of Mind

NO	Score	CRITERIA					
1	0-20	Habits of Mind Very Bad					
2	21 - 40	Habits of Mind Bad					
3	41 - 60	Habits of Mind Fair					
4	61 - 80	Habits of Mind Good					
5	81 - 100	Habits of Mind Very Good					
Sour	Source: Adapted from (Riduwan and Sunarto, 2013)						

The procedure of this research was carried out with the following steps, such as:

- Prepare the instruments, such as test instruments with table of specification and alternative answers, and habits of mind questionnaire sheets,
- Provide questions that have been prepared for students to complete.
- Analyze students' error in solving critical thinking problems in Integral Calculus course based on Newman Error Analysis theory .
- Divide students in terms of gender differences.
- Analyze students' error in solving critical thinking problems in Integral Calculus course based on Newman Error Analysis theory viewed from gender differences.
- Distribute habits of mind questionnaire sheets to students.
- Calculate the scores of habits of mind questionnaires that have been distributed.
- Classify students based on habits of mind criteria.
- Analyze students' error in solving critical thinking problems in Integral Calculus course based on Newman Error Analysis theory viewed from habits of mind.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Result

The subjects in this study were 2nd semester students of Mathematics Education Study Program who were taking Calculus 2. The researchers only taught one class, class A, with a total of 47 students. Therefore, the subjects were 47 students from the second semester in the academic year of 2017/2018.

3.1.1 Students' Error based on the Newman Error Analysis Theory in Terms of the Test Items.

The questions were prepared using the indicators of mathematical critical thinking skills and referred to the teaching material in Integral Calculus course. Table 2 shows the distribution of teaching materials and indicators used in the development of the research instruments.

The test was carried out in two stages. Test I was conducted after the teaching material in test 1 was completed, while Test II was held after finishing the teaching material in Test II. The types of error that students committed in Test 1 and Test II can be seen in Table 3.

3.1.2 Student's Error based on the Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences

The following table 4 is presents the data regarding the percentage of students in terms of gender differences.

Table	4:	Percentage	of	Students	based	on	Gender
Differe	ences						

Gender	Total	Percentage (%)
Male	6	12.80%
Female	41	87.20%
Total	47	100%

Table 4 indicates that the number of male and female subjects has a very significant difference, which is equal to 74.4%. However, according to the researcher, it can still be used as a source of data to be used as a reference in looking at the types of error made by the subjects of the study. The following table 5 shows the data related to the types of error viewed from gender differences. '

In addition to Test I, the researchers also conducted a second test. The following table 6 presents the data regarding the percentage of subjects in terms of gender differences in Test II.

3.1.3 Students' Error based on the Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Habits of Mind

To obtain the data about the students' habits of mind, researchers distributed questionnaire sheets. The following table 7 presents the data related to the students' habits of mind.

Table 7: Number and Percentage of Students in terms of Habits of Mind

Habits of Mind Criteria	Number of Students	Percentage (%)
Very Good	18	37.5
Good	26	54.2
Fair	4	8.3
Bad	0	0
Very Bad	0	0
Total	48	100

Source: Processed Data

Table 8 reveals the students' habits of mind viewed from gender differences (male and female).

Table 2: Details of Teaching Materials and Indicators of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability Used in the Development of Test Items in Integral Calculus Course

Teaching Materials	Indicators of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability	Test Items
IndefiniteIntegral	The ability to identify and justify concepts, namely to provide	No. 1 (Test 1)
	reasons for mastering concepts.	
Integration Technique by partial integral	The ability to identify and justify concepts, namely to provide	No. 2 (Test 1)
	reasons for mastering concepts.	
Integration technique by trigonometry substitution	The ability to analyze algorithm, namely to evaluate or examine an	No. 3 (Test 1)
	algorithm.	
Integration technique by trigonometry function	The ability to generalize, namely to complete the data or	No. 4 (Test 1)
	supporting information.	
Integration technique by trigonometry substitution	The ability to generalize, namely to complete the data or	No. 1 (Test II)
	supporting information.	
Integration technique by rational function	The ability to identify and justify concepts, namely to provide	No. 2 (Test II)
	reasons for mastering concepts.	
Application of Definite Integral (Areas between Curves	The ability to analyze algorithm, namely to evaluate or examine an	No. 3 (Test II)
and Volumes of Solids)	algorithm.	

Table 3: Percentage of Students'	Error Based on '	Test Items (Newman	Error Analysis Theory)

	Percentage of Students' Error Based on Test Items (%)								
Newman Error Analysis (NEA) Stages		Te	st I	Test II					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3		
Decoding	9	3	11	5	0	0	0		
Decounig	22.50%	6.80%	2.40%	10.90%	0%	0%	0%		
Comprehension	19	4	16	22	0	4	0		
	47.50%	9.10%	34.80%	47.80%	0%	8.30%	0%		
Transformation	28	11	23	30	4	27	26		
Transformation	70%	25%	50%	65.20%	8.90%	56.30%	66.70%		
Process Skill	39	37	36	38	11	37	37		
PIOCESS SKIII	97.50%	84.10%	78.30%	82.60%	24.40%	80.40%	94.90%		
Encoding	39	41	42	42	17	48	39		
Encoding	97.50%	93.20%	91.30%	91.30%	73.80%	100%	100%		

Source: Processed Data

Description :

1. In Test 1 Question No. 1, the amount of data analyzed was 40 out of 48 students

2. In Test I Question No. 2, the amount of data analyzed was 44 out of 48 students.

3. In Test I Question No. 3, the amount of data analyzed was 46 out of 48 students.

4. In Test I Question No. 4, the amount of data analyzed was 46 out of 48 students.

5. In Test II Question No. 1, the amount of data analyzed was 45 out of 48 students.

6. In Test II Question No. 2, the amount of data analyzed was 48 out of 48 students.

7. In Test II Question No. 3, the amount of data analyzed was 39 out of 48 students.

Table 8:	Number a	and Percer	ntage of	Students'	Habits of	
Mind Bas	ed on Ger	nder Differe	ences			

Number Student's I Gender Di	and Percentage of Habits of Mind based on fferences
Male	Female
2 (33.3%)	16 (38.1%)
2 (33.3%)	24 (57.1%)
2 (33.3%)	2 (4.8%)
0 (0%)	0 (0%)
0 (0%)	0 (0%)
6 (12.5%)	42 (87.5%)
	Student's I Gender Dif 2 (33.3%) 2 (33.3%) 2 (33.3%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)

Source: Processed Data

The following table shows the distribution of types of students' error according to Newman's error analysis theory based on habits of mind, see Table 9.

3.2 Discussion

Based on the results of this study, the most dominant type of error according to Newman Error Analysis theory is encoding and the least one is decoding. In this study, encoding error occurs when students cannot write correct answers in the form of numbers, symbols or words even though they have gone through the "treatment" stage . Therefore, it can be stated that most students cannot express the correct answers to the questions, neither viewed from gender differences nor habits of mind. From the analysis of the answers, it was found that most of them were unable to identify an appropriate solution to the problem. Only a small number of students solved the problems correctly. Furthermore, the least dominant

	Percentage of Students' Error Viewed from Gender Differences									
Newman Error Analysis (NEA) Stages	(%)	(%) Test I								
		Q1	(Q2	Q3		Q4			
	M	F	М	F	М	F	М	F		
	3	6	1	2	5	6	2	3		
Decoding	60%	20%	20%	5.10%	50%	15%	40%	7.20%		
	4	15	2	2	6	10	4	18		
Comprehension	80%	40%	40%	5.10%	100%	25%	80%	43.90%		
	5	23	2	9	6	17	5	25		
Transformation	100	70%	40%	23.10%	100%	42.50%	100%	61%		
	5	34	5	32	6	30	5	33		
Process Skill	100	97.10%	100%	82.10%	100%	75%	100%	80.50%		
	5	34	5	36	6	36	5	37		
Encoding	100	97.10%	100	92.30%	100%	90%	100%	90.20%		

Table 5: Students' Error According to the Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences in Test 1.

Source: Processed Data

Description:

1. In Test 1 Question No. 1, the amount of data analyzed was 40 (5 men and 35 women) out of 48 students.

2. In Test 1 Question No. 2, the amount of data analyzed was 44 (5 men and 39 women) out of 48 students.

3. In Test I Question No. 3, the amount of data analyzed was 46 (6 men and 40 women) out of 48 students.

4. In Test I Question No. 4, the amount of data analyzed was 46 (5 men and 41 women) out of 48 students.

	Percentage of Students' Error Viewed from Gender Differences (%) Test II							
Newman Error Analysis (NEA) Stages		Q1	(22	Q3			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M	F	М	F	М	F		
Decoding	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Comprehension	0	0	0	4	0	0		
	0%	0%	0%	-9.50%	0%	0%		
	2	2	5	22	2	24		
Transformation	50%	-4.90%	83.3%)	-52.40%	-50%	-68.60%		
Process Skill	2	9	6	31	4	34		
	50%	-22%	-100%	-73.80%	-100%	-97.10%		
	3		6	42	4	35		
Encoding	-75%	14 (34.1%)	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%		

Source: Processed Data

Description:

1. In Test II Question No. 1, the amount of data analyzed was 45 (4 men and 41 women) out of 48 students.

2. In Test II Question No. 2, the amount of data analyzed was 48 (6 men and 42 women) out of 48 students.

3. In Test II Question No. 3, the amount of data analyzed was 39 (4 men and 35 women) out of 48 students.

type of error is decoding. It occurs because students cannot recognize the terms in the problem, recognize symbols nor comprehend the questions. This type of error is the least dominant one which means that most students are able to recognize symbols or to understand the questions well, yet they can't finish it correctly.

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that from all the questions presented, male and female students did all types of error according to Newman Error Analysis theory, but the percentage of male subjects is higher than that of female students. Gender differences do not separate the students from making types of error.

According to Subanti (2014), women in general are better at remembering, while men are better at logical thinking. Generally, men and women are the same, but male students have better abstraction than female students, allowing male students to be better than female students in the field of mathematics in terms of abstract understanding. Furthermore, Abra and Valentine-French in Neni Indrawati and Nurfaidah Tasni (2016) stated that men are more creative than women, but many researchers expressed An Analysis of Students' Error in Solving Critical Thinking Problems in Integral Calculus Course based on Newman Error Analysis Theory Viewed from Gender Differences and Habits of Mind

	Percentage of Students' Error in Each Test based on Habits of Mind(%) Test I											
Newman Error Analysis (NEA) Stage												
	Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		
	VG	G	F	VG	G	F	VG	G	F	VG	G	F
	2	4	2	2	1	0	1	8	2	2	6	2
Decoding	13.30%	17.40%	100%	13.30%	4.20%	0%	5.60%	34.5	40	9.5	26.1	100
	6	9	2	2	1	1	5	9	2	3	5	2
Comprehension	40%	39.10%	100%	13.30%	4.20%	20%	27.80%	39.1	40	14.3	21.7	100
	11	14	2	4	5	1	5	13	2	5	7	2
Transformation	73.30%	60.90%	100%	26.70%	20.80%	20%	27.80%	56.5	40	23.8	30.4	100
	14	23	2	11	21	3	15	15	3	5	15	2
Process Skill	93.30%	100%	100%	73.30%	87.50%	60%	83.3	62.5	60	23.8	65.2	100
	14	23	2	12	24	3	18	20	3	15	20	2
Encoding	93.30%	100%	100%	80%	100%	60%	100%	87	60	71.4	87	100

Table 9: Types of Students' Error According to the Newman Error Analysis Theory Based on Habits of Mind in Test I

Source: Processed Data

Description:

1. In Test 1 Question No. 1, the number of data analyzed were 40 (15 HOM VG, 23 HOM G people, 2 HOM F people) out of 48 students.

2. In Test I Question No. 2, the number of data analyzed were 44 (15 HOM VG people, 24 HOM G people, 5 HOM F people) out of 48 students.

3. In Test I Question No. 3, the number of data analyzed were 46 (18 HOM VG people, 23 HOM G people, 5 HOM F people) out of 48 students.

4. In Test I Question No. 4, the number of data analyzed was 46 (21 HOM VG, 23 HOM G, 2 HOM F) out of 48 students.

Table 10: Types of Students' Error Based on Habits of Mind in Test II

Percentage of Students' Error in Each Test based on Habits of Mind(%) Test II										
VG	G	F	VG	G	F	VG	G	F		
2	4	2	2	1	0	1	8	2		
13.30%	17.40%	100%	13.30%	4.20%	0%	5.60%	34.5	40		
6	9	2	2	1	1	5	9	2		
40%	39.10%	100%	13.30%	4.20%	20%	27.80%	39.1	40		
11	13	2	4	5	1	5	13	2		
73.30%	54.20%	100%	26.70%	20.80%	20%	27.80%	56.5	40		
14	23	2	11	21	3	15	15	3		
93.30%	100%	100%	73.30%	87.50%	60%	83.3	62.5	60		
14	23	2	12	24	3	18	20	3		
93.30%	100%	100%	80%	100%	60%	100%	87	60		
	2 13.30% 6 40% 11 73.30% 14 93.30% 14	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Q1 VG G F 2 4 2 13.30% 17.40% 100% 6 9 2 40% 39.10% 100% 11 13 2 73.30% 54.20% 100% 14 23 2 93.30% 100% 100% 14 23 2	Q1 VG 2 4 2 2 13.30% 17.40% 100% 13.30% 6 9 2 2 40% 39.10% 100% 13.30% 11 13 2 4 73.30% 54.20% 100% 26.70% 14 23 2 11 93.30% 100% 100% 73.30% 14 23 2 12	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		

Description:

In Test 1 Question No. 1, the number of data analyzed were 40 (15 HOM VG people, 23 HOM G people, 2 HOM F people) out of 48 students. 1.

In Test I Question No. 2, the number of data analyzed were 44 (15 HOM VG people, 24 HOM G people, 5 HOM F people) out of 48 students. In Test I Question No. 3, the number of data analyzed were 46 (18 HOM VG people, 23 HOM G people, 5 HOM F people) out of 48 students.

that women are more creative than men. Some researchers believe that the gender influence in mathematics is related to biological differences in their brains. Through observation, women in general are superior in language and writing, while men are superior in mathematics because of their better spatial ability. Both of these opinions contradict the findings of this study. According to the analysis of researchers, the level of ability of both male and female students might be influential. Based on the final test results of Integral Calculus course, all male students had lower scores than female students. Therefore, the results from two previous studies contradict what the researchers found.

Furthermore, the habits of mind of the students do not affect the level or type of error. Students as the research subjects have very good, good and fair criteria with five types of error. Therefore, it can be stated that the habits of mind cannot distinguish the types of error made by the students as well.

CONCLUSIONS AND 4 SUGGESTIONS

Based on the research, it can be concluded that: (1) The most dominant type of error based on Newman Error Analysis theory is encoding (92.44%) and the least dominant type of error is decoding (6.08%); (2) Viewed from gender differences, the most dominant type of error by male students is encoding (96.43%)and the least type of error is decoding (24.28%). On the other hand, the dominant type of error by female students is encoding (86.24%) and the least dominant one is decoding (6.76%); (3) In terms of habits of mind, the type of error by students with Very Good criteria is encoding (88.28%) and the least dominant type of error is decoding (10.56%). Then, the type of error by students with Good criteria is encoding (94.43%) and the type of error is decoding (19.76%). Lastly, the type of error by students with Fair criteria is encoding (77.7%) and the least dominant type of error is decoding (54.28%).

Furthermore. the researchers propose the following suggestions such as: (1) Further studies should be conducted on the causes of the types of error, both based on gender differences and habits of mind; (2) An in-depth study can be conducted through non-test interviews, either unstructured or structured interviews.

REFERENCES

- Abidin, Z. (2012). Analisis kesalahan mahasiswa prodi pendidikan matematika fakultas tarbiyah iain ar-raniry dalam mata kuliah trigonometri dan kalkulus
 1. JURNAL ILMIAH DIDAKTIKA: Media Ilmiah Pendidikan dan Pengajaran, 13(1).
- Costa, A. L. and Kallick, B. (2008). Learning and Leading with Habits of Mind 16 Esential Characteristic for /Success. Virginia: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Indrawati, N. and Tasni, N. (2016). Analisis kemampuan pemecahan masalah berdasarkan tingkat kompleksitas masalah dan perbedaan gender. *Saintifik*, 2(1):16–25.
- Marita, R. A. S. (2014). Identification of Practical Student Habits of Mind in Discussions and Their Effects on Mastery of the Organ System Concept. Tesis pada Sekolah Pascasarjana UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Nurussafa'at, F. A., Sujadi, I., and Riyadi, R. (2016). Analisis kesalahan siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita pada materi volume prisma dengan fong's shcematic model for error analysis ditinjau dari gaya kognitif siswa. Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika, 4(2).
- Rahimah, D. (2012). Identification of student error in solving the main questions of integral calculus in integral calculus courses, volume X. ISSN : 1412-3612.
- Riduwan and Sunarto (2013). Pengantar Statistika untuk Penelitian Pendidikan, Sosial, Ekonomi, Komunikasi, dan Bisnis. Alfabeta, Bandung.
- Sukmadinata, N. S. (2010). *MetodePenelitian Pendidikan*. UPI Press, Bandung.
- Suryabrata, S. (2014). MetodologiPenelitian. PT, Jakarta.



Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All Rights Reserved



Certificate Number: 021/Certificate/ICoSEEH/2017