CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Reserch Design

The design of the research was a qualitative research. Seno (2001) stated that qualitative research is a kind of research concerning with acquiring the data in the field which does not need the use of numbers (statistical analysis).

This research gave the description about analysis of students' writing ability on recount text of second years of SMP students. This research was conducted in the classroom.

3.2 Location and Time of the Research

This research was conducted at SMP YLPI Marpoyan Pekanbaru, and the time was started on March 2018 until April 2018.

3.3 Source of Data

The source of data was student's writing test of recount text. There were 20 students as subject of this research.

In selecting sampling the researcher decided to take a class as sample based on purposive sampling. A purposes sampling is a non-probability sample that selected based on characteristics of population and objective of the study. According to arikunto (2010: 183) purposive sampling is the process of selecting sample by taking subject that is not based on the level or area taken, but it is taken based on specific purpose.

3.4 Research Instrument

According to Arikunto (2006: 126) the device the researcher uses to collect data is called instrument. Instrument is one of the significant steps in this research. Instrument of this research were writing tests of recount text. The writing test from researcher was to analyze student's ability. The material of recount text taught by the teacher.

The procedure of teaching learning the recount text as follow:

- 1) The English teacher explain the general topic about the recount text.
- 2) The English teacher explain in detail about the generic structure of recount text. They are language feature, social function and tenses.
- 3) The English teacher explain about the kinds of recount text.
- 4) The English teacher show the examples of recount text.
- 5) The English teacher teach students to find out the language feature, social function and tenses of recount text examples.
- 6) Teacher give another recount text and ask students to find out the language feature, social fuction and tenses of the recount text.
- 7) The English teacher to ask students to create a recount text

3.5 The Data Collection Technique

The researcher asked the students to write a recount text, in term of personal recount. The test is adiministrated to second years students of SMP YLPI Marpoyan Pekanbaru. The researcher asked the students to write recount text. The time provided for doing the test is 60 minutes. The paragraph contains at least three paragraph or less than 100 words. The students have to write clear and simple sentences.

Table 3.1 Blue Prints Indicators of Study

The Indicators of Recount text	Sub – Indicators
Language Feature	a. Orientation
	b. Events
2000	c. Reorientation
2. Language Features	The use of nouns and pronouns.
UNIVER	(e.g: David, we, her)
8 10	• b. The use of action verbs. (e.g:
	went, run, played)
	• c. The use of past tense. (e.g:
	we went for a trip to zoo)
	• d. The use of time conjunction.
	(e.g: that, first, next, then)
PE	• e. The use of adverbs and
	adverbs of phrases. (e.g: in my
	house, two days ago, slowly)
	• f. Use adjectives. (e.g:
	beautiful, slow)



Table 3.2 The Scoring rubrics of writing ability

Here is the writing rubric according to Weir, Cyril J (1998) in his book Communicative Language Testing.

No	Aspect	Score	Description
1	Content	5	bear almost no relation to the task
		10	limited relevant to the task
		15	mostly answer the task
		20	relevant and adequate answer to the task
2	Organization	5	no apparent organization of the content

			10	very little organization of content. Underlying structure not sufficiently apparent
			15	Some organizational skills in evidence, but not adequately controlled
				Overall shape and internal pattern clear organizational
			20	skills adequately controlled
į	3	Vocabulary	5	Vocabulary inadequate even for the most basic part of intended communication
:			0	Frequent inadequacies in vocabulary for the task. Perhaps
			10	frequent lexical inappropriacies and/or repetition
NEW LL			2	Some inadequacies in vocabulary for the task. Perhaps
1			15	some lexical inappropriacies and/or circumlocution
o d			18	Almost no inadequacies in vocabulary for the task. Only
, lok			20	rare inappropriacies and/or circumlocution
A week	4	Grammar	5	Almost all grammatical pattern inaccurate
			10	Frequent grammatical inaccurate
1:11:			15	Some grammatical inaccurate
			20	Almost no grammatical inaccurate
				Ignorance of conventions of punctuation. Almost all
	5	Mechanical	5	spelling inaccurate
			10	Low standard of accuracy in punctuation and spelling
			15	Some inaccuracy in punctuation and spelling
			20	Almost no inaccuracy in punctuation and spelling

To know the total score of students' writing the following that uses as follows:

$$S = 3C + 2O + 2G + 1,5V + 1,5M$$

Note: S= Total score

C= Content

O= Organization

G= Grammar

V= Vocabulary

M= Mechanic

Adapted from Brown

To know the students' score in writing recount text, the following formulas that uses as follows:

$$P = \frac{s}{N} X 100$$

Note:

P = Students' score

S = Total score

N = Total score item

3.6 Data Analysis Technique

The researcher will find the qualitative data from students writing test of essay paragraph of recount text from the students. Then the researcher will use this writing test to describe, explain the data. The data use the sentences and it do not in form numbers. The researcher organizes the data in the structure that will be understand easily.

The researcher used raters to analyze the data. Three raters will help this research, raters will get the total score of each student from the above formula and then writer collects

score from the each rater. The next step to do is to know the real score of the student by using the formula below:

$$RS = \frac{TS}{n} \times 100$$

Where: RS = Real score of each individual

TS = Total score of the aspect of writing

RS: RATER 1 + RATER 2 + RATER 3

Where: RS = Rater Score\

Table 3.3. Interpretation of the students' scoring rubric

According to Arikunto (1998:251), the criteria of measuring the test score is as follows:

Score	Category
80-100	Excellent
66-79	EK A Good
56-65	Sufficient
40-55	Low
< 40	Poor