

istics_in_the_Kampar_Watershe d_for_Ecosystem_Conservation_ 2.pdf

by turnitin dppm

Submission date: 07-May-2025 02:05PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2668977369

File name: istics_in_the_Kampar_Watershed_for_Ecosystem_Conservation_2.pdf (932.84K)

Word count: 7331

Character count: 40221

Diversity of Riparian Plants Across Different Soil Characteristics in the Kampar Watershed for Ecosystem Conservation

P W Titisari^{1*}, Elfis¹, Heriyanto², A Maryanti¹, I Chahyana³, T Permatasari⁴, J A Silitonga⁴ and Ramadhan¹

¹ Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia

² Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia

³ Biomangement Department, School of Life Sciences and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jawa Barat, Indonesia

⁴ Biology Education Department, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia

*Email: pw.titisari@edu.uir.ac.id

Abstract. Indonesia has committed to increasing ecosystem protection by targeting 32.5 million hectares of water conservation areas or 10% of Indonesia's water area by 2030, despite challenges from over-exploitation of resources. Protecting riparian vegetation is crucial for maintaining water quality and supporting aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. This study aims to determine the diversity of riparian plant vegetation in the Kampar watershed according to two different soil types: peat soil and red-yellow podzolic. The methods used are plot installation, identification of riparian vegetation types, and analysis using the Shannon-Wiener index importance value index. The results show that the Kampar watershed riparian area has 129 plant species in 40 families with peat soil and an importance value index of 1.03-25.17 with the highest diversity index at 2.178 (Moraceae). The riparian area with podzolic soil has 140 species in 43 families, with an importance value index of 1.07-32.57 and the highest diversity index of 2.43 (Euphorbiaceae). The structure and composition of species found differ in each area, influenced by different soils and anthropogenic impacts experienced. The trees' height ranges from 35-50 m with an average of 37 m dominated by *Durio zibethinus* Merr., *Baccaurea macrocarpa* (Miq.) Müll.Arg. in red-yellow podzolic, *Vatica pauciflora* Blume, *Koompassia excelsa* (Becc.) Taub in peat soil.

1. Introduction

Indonesia has committed to enhancing ecosystem protection by targeting the designation of 32.5 million hectares of marine conservation areas (KKP), equivalent to 10% of Indonesia's marine territory, by 2030 [1]. However, due to excessive natural resource exploitation, the areas available for biodiversity protection are significantly limited [2–4]. Given their functions and contributions to both aquatic and



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

terrestrial ecosystems, riparian zones are among the critical areas that require protection and wise management [5,6]. Riparian zones represent the transitional area between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, playing a crucial role in maintaining the stability of riverine and terrestrial ecosystems. Land-use changes in riparian areas impact their absorption capacity, particularly in river riparian zones, resulting in the reduction or even loss of vegetation [7–9].

The diversity of riparian vegetation surrounding water sources plays a crucial role in maintaining water quality [10,11]. This is because riparian vegetation is resilient to both wet and dry conditions, as well as to the dynamic flow of rivers [12]. Riparian tree vegetation also functions as a regulator of water management, but it is vulnerable to changes in vegetation patterns due to environmental influences and human disturbances [13].

Previous research has explored the relationship between riparian vegetation and soil and water conservation, particularly focusing on vegetation patterns. It has been found that vegetation with strip or band patterns and aggregated structures can significantly contribute to controlling soil erosion [14]. The study by Kemalasari and Choesin [15] indicated a correlation between riparian vegetation and the physicochemical conditions of water. Low vegetation cover was associated with high concentrations of chemical content in the river, increased light intensity, and soil compaction, which influence water runoff, particularly during the rainy season. Aparicio et al. [16] found that the severity of contamination can also impact the effectiveness of riparian vegetation in maintaining water quality. For instance, in areas of intensive agriculture, riparian vegetation plays a crucial role in filtering nitrate concentrations from agricultural runoff, preventing them from being directly carried into the river.


The less fragmented the vegetation, the more effective riparian vegetation becomes in trapping sediments and intercepting pollutants carried by runoff flowing into rivers [17]. However, riparian vegetation is highly influenced by environmental factors such as soil type, topography, natural disturbances like floods, and anthropogenic activities [18,19]. Soil is a primary contributor to the distribution and diversity of plants [20]. Several studies have found varying responses in plant composition related to soil type and water availability [20,21]. Prastiyo et al. [22] observed that the use of agroforestry land in the riparian areas of the Ciliwung watershed, under conditions of good plant diversity (moderate to high category), is beneficial for maintaining the quality and quantity of urban water management in Bogor City.

The Kampar River is one of the largest rivers in Riau Province. Its flow consists of two rivers: the Kampar Kanan River and the Kampar Kiri River (located in Kampar Regency), which converge in Pelalawan Regency. The Kampar watershed (DAS Kampar) exhibits varying soil characteristics, and a significant portion has been converted into residential areas, plantations, and industrial zones. According to Nurdin et al. [23], approximately 54.94% of the total land area in the Upper Kampar watershed has been utilized, including for agriculture and plantations. Titisari et al. [5] found that the water footprint performance scores for rice and maize crops in the Kampar watershed fall into the poor category. If this land use is not managed wisely, it could negatively impact river quality and reduce the water retention capacity of the riparian areas. This, in turn, will have varying effects on riparian vegetation in different regions. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the species diversity of riparian vegetation in the Kampar watershed based on different soil characteristics, including peat soils and red-yellow podzolic soils. This study can serve as a reference for better riparian land use planning, helping to preserve the biodiversity of riparian vegetation and maintain water quality in the Kampar watershed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

This research was conducted from April to July 2024 in the Kampar River watershed, Indonesia, specifically in Kampar Regency, with the river flowing into Pelalawan Regency (Figure 1). The riparian area was defined with an optimal length of 20 meters from the riverbank.



2.2. Data collection

The line transect method was used to assess riparian plant species recorded in the Kampar watershed. Transect lines were established along 1 km of the river, positioned 3 meters from the riverbank. The transect was divided into ten segments, each 100 meters apart, and plants within the transect area were recorded. Equipment such as raffia string, tape measure, stakes, and GPS was used to establish the transect lines. A camera was employed to document images of riparian plants found at the study site. Only specific plant species (not immediately identified) within each plot were collected for preservation as specimens to be processed at the Laboratory of Andalas University, West Sumatra.

2.3. Data analysis

The methods used included plot establishment, identification of riparian vegetation species, and analysis using the Importance Value Index and Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index with the following equations:

$$INP = INP = Kr + Fr + Dr \text{ (for tree and sapling vegetation)} \quad (1)$$

$$INP = Kr + Fr \text{ (for pole, seedling, and understory vegetation)} \quad (2)$$

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i \quad (3)$$

Where:

H = Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index

$p_i = n_i/N$

n_i = Number of individuals of a species

N = Total number of individuals of all species

3. Results and discussion

The research reveals differences in vegetation composition within the Kampar watershed. Such variations are commonly observed as each watershed has distinct characteristics. Vegetation

composition is influenced by environmental factors, including soil type, which results in different plant species persisting in various areas. Additionally, pollution and contamination resulting from anthropogenic activities contribute to changes in the environment, affecting the reduction of vegetation composition. Figure 2 illustrates a comparison of riparian ecosystem profiles between mineral soils and peat soils in the Kampar River watershed.



Figure 2. Comparison of riparian ecosystem profiles in mineral soils (a) and peat soils (b) of the Kampar Watershed.

3.1. Riparian Diversity in Kampar watershed with peat soil characteristics in Pelalawan Regency

The riparian areas in Pelalawan Regency are dominated by peat soils. Peatlands in the Kampar watershed range from shallow to very deep. The lower Kampar watershed (Pelalawan Regency) has peat depths exceeding 3 meters, indicating that these peatland types fall within the protective function category where land clearing and drainage construction should be avoided to prevent impacts on river flow patterns. Based on vegetation analysis, a total of 129 plant species from 40 families were recorded in the riparian areas of the Kampar River with peat soil characteristics, exhibiting Importance Value Index values ranging from 1.03 to 25.17 (Table 1).

Table 1. Riparian plant diversity in the Kampar River Basin with peat soil.

Family	Species	Important Value Index	Diversity Index (H')
Anacardiaceae	<i>Gluta reinghas</i> L.	18,21	1,693
	<i>Campnosperma coriaceum</i> (Jack.) Hallier f.	11,84	
	<i>Semecarpus heterophylla</i>	8,02	
	<i>Melanorrhoea wallichii</i> Hook. f.	6,87	
	<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	1,55	
	<i>Dracontomelon dao</i> (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe	1,33	
Annonaceae	<i>Lannea coromandelica</i> (Houtt.) Merr.	1,07	1,149
	<i>Cananga odorata</i> (Lam.) Hook. f. & Thomson	3,15	
	<i>Polyalthia rumphii</i> (Blume ex Hensch.) Merr.	2,16	
	<i>Xylophia fusca</i> Maingay ex Hook.F. & Thomson	2,14	
Apocynaceae	<i>Polyalthia littoralis</i> (Blume) Boerl.	1,87	1,471
	<i>Dyera lowii</i> Hook. f.	9,15	

	<i>Alstonia angustifolia</i> Miq.	9,13	
	<i>Cerbera floribunda</i> K. Schum.	3,12	
	<i>Cerbera odollam</i> Gaertn.	2,88	
	<i>Tabernaemontana sphaerocarpa</i> BL.	2,13	
	<i>Voacanga grandifolia</i> (Miq.) Rolfe	1,1	
Arecaceae	<i>Arenga pinnata</i> (Wurmb) Merr.	7,18	0.406
	<i>Roystonea regia</i> (Kunth) O.F. Cook	2,12	
Bombacaceae	<i>Durio zhibenthinus</i> Rumph. ex Murray	17,21	0.653
	<i>Durio carinatus</i> Mast.	15,12	
Burseraceae	<i>Dacryodes macrocarpa</i> H.J. Lam	8,77	0.905
	<i>Santiria tomentosa</i> Blume	4,18	
	<i>Santiria laevigata</i> Blume	3,97	
	<i>Canarium vulgare</i> Leenh.	3,77	
Crypteroniaceae	<i>Dactylocladus stenostachys</i> Oliv.	18,98	0
Datisceae	<i>Octomeles sumatrana</i> Miq.	3,61	0.622
Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia reticulata</i> King	8,12	0.712
	<i>Dillenia indica</i> L.	7,92	
Dipterocarpaceae	<i>Vatica rassak</i> (Korth.) Blume	18,12	
	<i>Shorea platycarpa</i> F. Heim	12,92	
	<i>Shorea bracteolata</i> Dyer	12,56	
	<i>Shorea ovalis</i> Blume	11,17	1.351
	<i>Shorea parvifolia</i> Dyer	11,09	
	<i>Anisoptera marginata</i> Korth.	7,13	
	<i>Shorea uliginosa</i> Foxw.	5,16	
Ebenaceae	<i>Diospyros cauliflora</i> De Wild.	7,11	0.718
	<i>Diospyros macrophylla</i> A. Chev.	6,77	
Elaeocarpaceae	<i>Elaeocarpus glaber</i> Blume	7,55	0.430
	<i>Muntingia calabura</i> L.	1,15	
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> (Willd. ex A. Juss.) Müll. Arg.	19,22	1.388
	<i>Macaranga triloba</i> (Bl.) Muell. Arg.	17,22	
	<i>Macaranga gigantea</i> (Reichb.f. & Zoll.) Müll. Arg.	12,77	
	<i>Macaranga conferta</i> (Zoll.) Müll. Arg.	8,11	
	<i>Baccaurea recemosa</i> M.A	7,65	
	<i>Baccaurea motleyana</i> (Müll. Arg.) Müll. Arg.	7,21	
	<i>Macaranga javanica</i> (Blume.) Muell. Arg.	7,2	
	<i>Balakata baccata</i> (Roxb.) Esser	7,12	
	<i>Macaranga tanarius</i> (L) Mull. Arg.	4,17	
	<i>Dyrpetes brownii</i> Vahl.	3,77	
	<i>Bischofia javanica</i> Blume	3,27	
	<i>Aleurites moluccana</i> (L.) Willd.	3,16	

	<i>Mallotus paniculatus</i> (L.) Mull. Arg.	3,12	
	<i>Sapium discolor</i> (Champ. ex Benth.) Müll. Arg.	2,13	
	<i>Delonix regia</i> (Bojer ex Hook.) Raf.	7,34	0,800
	<i>Calliandra houstoniana</i> (Mill.) Standl.	3,12	
	<i>Albizia procera</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	1,83	
	<i>Albizia lebbekoides</i> (DC.) Benth.	1,77	
Fabaceae	<i>Albizia chinensis</i> (Osbeck) Merr.	1,61	
	<i>Adenantha pavonina</i> L.	1,22	
	<i>Albizia falcataria</i> (L.) Fosberg	1,22	
	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> Kunth ex Steud.	1,1	
	<i>Pithecellobium jiringa</i> Prain	1,08	
	<i>Calophyllum soulattri</i> Burm. f.	19,21	0,961
Guttiferae	<i>Calophyllum pulcherrimum</i> Wall. ex Choisy	17,22	
	<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	1,92	
	<i>Garcinia nigrolineata</i> Planch. ex T. Anderson	1,13	
	<i>Cratoxylum formosum</i> (Jack) Benth. & Hook.f. ex Dyer	19,77	0,693
Hypericaceae	<i>Cratoxylum arborescens</i> Blume	19,21	
	<i>Dehaasia caesia</i> Blume	17,21	1,127
	<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour.) C.B. Rob.	2,66	
Lauraceae	<i>Litsea robusta</i> Blume	2,34	
	<i>Litsea odorifera</i> Valetton	2,19	
	<i>Litsea grandis</i> (Nees) Hook. f.	1,99	
Lecythidaceae	<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn.	8,17	0
	<i>Koompassia malaccensis</i> Maing.	15,21	
Leguminosae	<i>Koompassia excelsa</i> (Becc.) Taub.	14,44	
	<i>Dialium platysepalum</i> Baker	6,33	
	<i>Dialium patens</i> Baker	5,83	
Lytracheae	<i>Lagerstroemia thorelii</i> Gagnep.	1,11	0
Magnoliaceae	<i>Magnolia candolii</i> (Blume) H. Keng.	1,16	0
Malpighiaceae	<i>Hiptage benghalensis</i> (L.) Kurz	1,21	0
	<i>Heritiera javanica</i> (Blume) Kosterm	3,18	1,099
Malvaceae	<i>Heritiera sumatrana</i> (Miq.) Kosterm	3,17	
	<i>Heritiera simplicifolia</i> (Masters) Kosterm	3,11	
	<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> King	11,21	0,584
Meliaceae	<i>Dysoxylum gaudichaudianum</i> (A. Juss.) Miq.	4,17	
	<i>Ficus benamina</i> L.	8,19	2,178
	<i>Ficus copiosa</i> (Roxb.) Steud.	6,72	
Moraceae	<i>Ficus virens</i> Aiton	6,12	
	<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	5,88	
	<i>Ficus variegata</i> Corner	5,14	

	<i>Ficus septica</i> Burm. f.	4,77	
	<i>Arthocarpus alttilis</i> (Parkinson) Fosberg	4,12	
	<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> Lesch.	3,11	
	<i>Parartocarpus venenosus</i> (Zoll. & Moritz) Becc.	1,15	
	<i>Parartocarpus triandis</i> (Zoll. & Moritz) Becc.	1,12	
Moringaceae	<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	1,05	0
Myristicaceae	<i>Myristica lowiana</i> King.	13,22	0
	<i>Syzygium formosum</i> (Wall.) Masam.	13,21	
	<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i> Wall. ex Wt. & Am.	13,16	
	<i>Syzygium kiahii</i> (M.R.Hend.) I.M.Turner	11,17	
	<i>Tristanopsis obovata</i> (Benn.) Paul G.Wilson & J.T.Waterh.	11,1	
	<i>Syzygium littorale</i> (Blume) Amshoff	9,21	
	<i>Syzygium cumini</i> L.	9,17	2,163
	<i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> F. Muell.	9,12	
	<i>Eucalyptus platyphylla</i> F. Muell.	8,72	
	<i>Syzygium polyanthum</i> (Wight) Walp.	7,18	
	<i>Syzygium pycnanthum</i> Merr. & L.M.Perry	7,15	
	<i>Syzygium polyanthum</i> (Wight) Walp.	6,18	
	<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	2,17	
	<i>Rhodamnia cinerea</i> Jack	1,16	
Olacaceae	<i>Ochanostachys amentacea</i> Mast.	2,33	0
Rosaceae	<i>Parastemon urophyllus</i> (Wall. ex A. DC.) A. DC.	3,15	0
Rubiaceae	<i>Nauclea orientalis</i> (L.) L.	1,17	0
	<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> (Lour.) Oken	3,16	0,574
Sapindaceae	<i>Nephelium ramboutan-ake</i> (Labill.) Leenh	1,12	
	<i>Palaquium leiocarpum</i> Boerl.	6,22	1,092
	<i>Palaquium burckii</i> H.J. Lam	5,18	
	<i>Ganua motleyana</i> (de Vriese) Pierre ex Dubard	4,77	
	<i>Pterospermum diversifolium</i> Blume	5,27	1,266
	<i>Sterculia gliva</i> Miq.	3,34	
	<i>Sterculia foetida</i> Linn	3,12	
	<i>Kleinhovia hospita</i> L.	1,03	
Tetrameristaceae	<i>Tetramerista glabra</i> T. glabra Miq.	17,16	0
Thymelaeaceae	<i>Gonystylus bancanus</i> (Miq.) Kurz	25,17	0
Urticaceae	<i>Dendrocnide stimulans</i> (L. f.) Chew	7,11	0
Verbenaceae	<i>Gmelina arborea</i> Roxb.	4,11	0
Vitaceae	<i>Ampelocissus thyrsoflora</i> (Blume) Planch.	4,51	0

Table 1 indicates that the highest Importance Value Index (IVI) is observed for *Gonystylus bancanus* (Miq.) Kurz, with an IVI of 25.17, signifying its dominant role in the ecosystem. *Gonystylus bancanus*

(Miq.) Kurz, a gaharu-producing tree, is categorized as Vulnerable on the IUCN Red List and is classified as a footprint-impacted species by the World Wide Fund for Nature. Gaharu does not require specific soil characteristics for growth and can typically thrive in a range of soil types, from peat to sandy soils [24,25]. This plant is commonly used by communities for medicinal purposes, incense, and fragrances [26,27], suggesting its potential for development as a source of income for local communities. Conversely, *Kleinhovia hospita* L. has the lowest IVI, at 1.03. This finding contrasts with the study by Mewengkang et al. [28], which reported *Kleinhovia hospita* as having the highest IVI (54) but only at an elevation of 0 meters above sea level. This discrepancy may be attributed to environmental factors, including elevation, which is a critical factor influencing the growth and development of young trees [29–31].

The Importance Value Index (IVI) of a species within a community serves as a parameter to determine its role and significance within that community [32,33]. The dominance of a species within a community reflects its adaptability to the habitat. A higher IVI indicates greater dominance within the community, and vice versa. Dominance in a habitat signifies that the species can exploit a substantial portion of the resources available in its environment [34]. The average IVI at the study site remains relatively high, dominated by *Vatica rassak* and *Koompassia excelsa*. This finding is consistent with Purwaningsih [35], who reported that riparian and peat forest vegetation exhibited a higher IVI of 57.92%, with dominant species including *Schima wallichii*, *Castanopsis javanica*, *Baccaurea minor*, and *Koompassia*. Ristawan et al. [36] also found that the environmental conditions and vegetation in the upper reaches of the Panjang River, Semarang, are still conducive to plant survival. In contrast, Lukas et al. [37] found that the riparian vegetation composition in the Sebangau River, Central Kalimantan, was dominated by herbaceous and grass species, with a lower presence of tree species.

3.2. Riparian Diversity in Kampar watershed with yellow red podzolic soil characteristics in Kampar Regency

Riparian vegetation with podzolic soil characteristics comprises 140 species from 43 families, with Importance Value Index (IVI) values ranging from 1.07 to 32.57 (Table 2). The most represented families include *Euphorbiaceae*, *Moraceae*, *Poaceae*, and *Fabaceae*. In contrast, Takarina et al. [38] reported that mining sites in the Cikadang River area featured 18 families and 42 plant species, with *Compositae* and *Poaceae* being predominant in Banten. Their study found a positive correlation between riparian diversity and distance from mining sites, with decreasing diversity trends observed closer to artisanal mining activities. The most prevalent species in their study were *Ageratum conyzoides*, followed by *Impatiens platypetala*, *Cibadium surinamense*, *Wollastonia biflora*, *Calliandra calothyrsus*, and *Pityrogramma calomelanos*. In contrast, Pertiwi et al. [39] found that the riparian tree vegetation in the littoral zone of the Lawo River consisted of 19 species distributed across eight distinct groups. The plant *Gmelina arborea*, from the *Verbenaceae* family, was the predominant species in the riparian tree vegetation of the Lawo River in South Sulawesi.

Table 2. The diversity of riparian plants in the Kampar Watershed with podzolic soil types.

Family	Species	Important Value Index	Diversity Index (H')
Anacardiaceae	<i>Melanorrhoea wallichii</i> Hook. f	25,17	1.782
	<i>Camposperma coriaceum</i> (Jack.) Hallier f.	21,52	
	<i>Gluta renghas</i> L.	19,28	
	<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	17,15	
	<i>Lannea coromandelica</i> (Houtt.) Merr.	9,82	
	<i>Dracontomelon dao</i> (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe	4,17	
	<i>Semecarpus heterophylla</i> Blume	3,88	
Annonaceae	<i>Polyalthia rumphii</i> (Blume ex Hensch.) Merr.	11,63	1.52

	<i>Xylopi fusca</i> Maingay exHook.F. & Thomson	23,17	
	<i>Orophea enneandra</i> Blume	11,95	
	<i>Polyalthia littoralis</i> (Blume) Boerl.	9,76	
	<i>Cananga odorata</i> (Lam.) Hook. f. & Thomson	2,9	
	<i>Annona muricata</i> L.	1,18	
Apocynaceae	<i>Dyera lowii</i> Hook. f.	27,66	1.13
	<i>Wrightia tomentosa</i> Roem. & Schult.	4,66	
	<i>Alstonia angustifolia</i> Miq.	2,17	
	<i>Tabernaemontana sphaerocarpa</i> BL.	2,14	
	<i>Cerbera floribunda</i> K. Schum.	1,41	
	<i>Cerbera odollam</i> Gaertn.	1,21	
Arecaceae	<i>Arenga pinnata</i> (Wurmb) Merr.	3,17	0.69
	<i>Roystonea regia</i> (Kunth) O.F. Cook	3,11	
Bombacaceae	<i>Durio zhibenthinus</i> Rumph. ex Murray	18,23	1.097
	<i>Durio carinatus</i> Mast.	17,88	
	<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L.) Gaertn.	16,22	
Burseraceae	<i>Protium javanicum</i> Burm. f.	7,61	1.22
	<i>Dacryodes macrocarpa</i> H.J. Lam	17,21	
	<i>Santiria tomentosa</i> Blume	11,92	
	<i>Canarium vulgare</i> Leenh.	2,67	
Capparaceae	<i>Capparis micrantha</i> A. Rich.	1,88	0
Caricaceae	<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	2,17	0
Crypteroniaceae	<i>Dactylocladus stenostachys</i> Oliv.	16,29	0
Datiscaceae	<i>Octomeles sumatrana</i> Miq.	29,17	0
Dilleniaceae	<i>Dillenia indica</i> L.	3,16	0
Dipterocarpaceae	<i>Shorea ovalis</i> Blume	23,18	1.228
	<i>Shorea parvifolia</i> Dyer	21,63	
	<i>Vatica rassak</i> (Korth.) Blume	17,23	
	<i>Anisoptera marginata</i> Korth.	3,52	
Ebenaceae	<i>Diospyros macrophylla</i> A. Chev.	2,11	0
Elaeocarpaceae	<i>Elaeocarpus glaber</i> Blume	8,11	0.67
	<i>Muntingia calabura</i> L.	6,34	
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> (Willd. ex A. Juss.) Müll. Arg.	31,17	2.43
	<i>Macaranga javanica</i> (Blume.)Mull. Arg.	24,17	
	<i>Macaranga gigantea</i> (Reichb.f. & Zoll.) Müll. Arg.	22,56	
	<i>Dyrpetes brownii</i> Vahl.	21,55	
	<i>Macaranga triloba</i> (BI.) Muell. Arg.	19,22	
	<i>Mallotus paniculatus</i> (L) Mull. Arg.	11,74	
	<i>Sapium discolor</i> (Champ. ex Benth.) Müll. Arg.	10,34	
	<i>Baccaurea motleyana</i> (Müll. Arg.) Müll. Arg.	4,17	

	<i>Phyllanthus reticulatus</i> Poir.	4,17	
	<i>Baccaurea recemosa</i> M.A	3,98	
	<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> L.	3,82	
	<i>Bischofia javanica</i> Blume	3,12	
	<i>Balakata baccata</i> (Roxb.) Esser	2,75	
	<i>Aleurites moluccana</i> (L.) Willd.	1,12	
Fabaceae	<i>Parkia speciosa</i> Hassk.	21,17	1.973
	<i>Pithecellobium jiringa</i> Prain	5,88	
	<i>Parkia timoriana</i> (DC.) Merr.	3,17	
	<i>Senna spectabilis</i> Blume	3,12	
	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> Kunth ex Steud.	2,18	
	<i>Albizia chinensis</i> (Osbeck) Merr.	2,11	
	<i>Calliandra houstoniana</i> (Mill.) Standl.	1,88	
	<i>Albizia lebbekoides</i> (DC.) Benth.	1,31	
	<i>Albizia falcataria</i> (L.) Fosberg	1,19	
	<i>Delonix regia</i> (Bojer ex Hook.) Raf.	1,19	
	<i>Albizia procera</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	1,11	
	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> L.	1,07	
Guttiferae	<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	18,19	1.14
	<i>Garcinia nigrolineata</i> Planch. ex T. Anderson	14,12	
	<i>Calophyllum pulcherrimum</i> Wall. ex Choisy	3,22	
	<i>Calophyllum soulattri</i> Burm. f.	3,17	
Hypericaceae	<i>Cratoxylum arborescens</i> Blume	11,18	0
Lauraceae	<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour.) C.B. Rob.	14,6	1.73
	<i>Dehaasia caesia</i> Blume	14,29	
	<i>Litsea grandis</i> (Nees) Hook. f.	11,84	
	<i>Litsea robusta</i> Blume	9,71	
	<i>Litsea odorifera</i> Valetton	9,64	
	<i>Persea americana</i> Mill.	2,91	
Leguminosae	<i>Dialium patens</i> Baker	6,24	0.67
	<i>Dialium platysepalum</i> Baker	4,17	
Lytracheae	<i>Lagerstroemia thorelii</i> Gagnep.	2,83	0
Magnoliaceae	<i>Magnolia candolii</i> (Blume) H. Keng.	4,18	0
Malpighiaceae	<i>Hiptage benghalensis</i> (L.) Kurz	7,14	0
Malvaceae	<i>Heritiera javanica</i> (Blume) Kosterm	16,84	1.09
	<i>Heritiera simplicifolia</i> (Masters) Kosterm	15,16	
	<i>Heritiera sumatrana</i> (Miq.) Kosterm	11,89	
Meliaceae	<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> King	29,17	0.64
	<i>Dysoxylum gaudichaudianum</i> (A. Juss.) Miq.	15,31	
Moraceae	<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	21,66	2.33

	<i>Ficus virens</i> Aiton	17,33	
	<i>Ficus benjamina</i> L.	17,29	
	<i>Ficus variegata</i> Comer	15,21	
	<i>Ficus copiosa</i> (Roxb.) Steud.	14,21	
	<i>Ficus septica</i> Burm. f.	12,95	
	<i>Parartocarpus venenosus</i> (Zoll. & Moritzi) Becc.	11,88	
	<i>Parartocarpus triandis</i> (Zoll. & Moritzi) Becc.	11,17	
	<i>Arthocarpus altilis</i> (Parkinson) Fosberg	2,86	
	<i>Arthocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	2,74	
	<i>Arthocarpus kemando</i> Miq.	2,44	
	<i>Arthocarpus elasticus</i> Reinw. ex Blume	1,98	
	<i>Arthocarpus sericicarpus</i> F.M. Jarrett	1,98	
	<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> Lesch.	1,51	
Moringaceae	<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	3,79	0
Myristicaceae	<i>Myristica lowiana</i> King.	16,22	0
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium formosum</i> (Wall.) Masam.	12,17	1.88
	<i>Syzygium littorale</i> (Blume) Amshoff	11,99	
	<i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> F. Muell.	9,17	
	<i>Eucalyptus platyphylla</i> F. Muell.	8,92	
	<i>Tristaniaopsis obovata</i> (Benn.) Paul G. Wilson & J.T. Waterh.	5,12	
	<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	4,88	
	<i>Rhodamnia cinerea</i> Jack	5,22	
Olacaceae	<i>Ochanostachys amentacea</i> Mast.	8,15	0
Oxalidaceae	<i>Averrhoa bilimbi</i> L.	1,14	0
Piperaceae	<i>Piper nigrum</i> L.	2,11	0.69
	<i>Piper cubeba</i> (Raf.) Hook. f.	1,77	
Poaceae	<i>Gigantochloa hasskarliana</i> (Kurz) Backer	21,17	2.36
	<i>Bambusa heterostachya</i> (Munro) Holtum	12,17	
	<i>Bambusa glaucophylla</i> Widjaja	11,22	
	<i>Schizostachyum brachycladum</i> Kurz	9,71	
	<i>Thyrsostachys siamensis</i> Gamble	9,18	
	<i>Dendrocalamus asper</i> (Schult.) Backer ex. Heyne	9,17	
	<i>Schizostachyum latifolium</i> Gamble	9,12	
	<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> Schard. ex Wendl.	7,14	
	<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> Schard. ex Wendl. var. <i>striata</i>	6,22	
	<i>Bambusa multiplex</i> (Lour.) Raeusch. ex Schult	5,12	
Rosaceae	<i>Parastemon urophyllus</i> (Wall. ex A. DC.) A. DC.	16,77	0
Rubiaceae	<i>Nauclea orientalis</i> (L.) L.	3,11	0
Sapindaceae	<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> (Lour.) Oken	7,54	0.70
	<i>Nephelium ramboutan-ake</i> (Labill.) Leenh	7,14	

Sapotaceae	<i>Palaquium leiocarpum</i> Boerl.	32,57	0.67
	<i>Ganua motleyana</i> (de Vriese) Pierre ex Dubard	21,42	
Sterculiaceae	<i>Pterospermum diversifolium</i> Blume	12,74	1.48
	<i>Kleinhovia hospita</i> L.	6,81	
	<i>Sterculia gliva</i> Miq.	5,22	
	<i>Sterculia foetida</i> Linn	3,92	
	<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.	3,17	
Tetrameristaceae	<i>Tetramerista glabra</i> T. glabra Miq.	4,77	0
Thymelaeaceae	<i>Gonystylus bancanus</i> (Miq.) Kurz	29,44	0
Urticaceae	<i>Dendrocnide stimulans</i> (L. f.) Chew	7,14	0
Verbenaceae	<i>Gmelina arborea</i> Roxb.	12,77	0
Vitaceae	<i>Ampelocissus thyrsoflora</i> (Blume) Planch.	2,21	0

Table 2 shows that *Palaquium leiocarpum* Boerl. has the highest importance value index (IVI), with an IVI of 32.57. This finding indicates that the species *Palaquium leiocarpum* Boerl., commonly known as Balam Suntain, plays a highly dominant role in the podzolic soil ecosystem. Balam Suntain typically thrives in peat swamp forests [40]. This species demonstrates its ability to adapt both physiologically and morphologically to cope with the nutrient-poor and highly acidic conditions of podzolic soil. Podzolic soil is characterized by low phosphorus availability due to high fixation and low phosphorus mobility. Phosphorus is an essential element for plant growth. *Palaquium leiocarpum* and other plants in the same environment have adapted to enhance phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) [41,42]. Balam Suntain wood is widely used for construction materials, bridge building, boat making, and railway sleepers (Partomihardjo et al., 2020). Additionally, Balam Suntain is known for producing high-quality latex [40]. Although this species is not protected, according to the IUCN Red List, Balam Suntain is classified as Near Threatened [43].

Adenanthera pavonina L. has the lowest importance value index (IVI) of 1.07 in the podzolic soil ecosystem. This value indicates that this species plays a minimal role and is less dominant compared to other species within this ecosystem. The findings suggest that *Adenanthera pavonina*, or Saga Tree, has limited ability to adapt or compete with other species in podzolic soil environments. This could be influenced by factors such as the low nutrient availability in podzolic soils, soil conditions, and interspecies interactions [44,45]. In contrast, the findings of Yuslinawari et al. [46], who studied the vegetation diversity of riparian ecosystems along the Pusur River, a sub-watershed of the Bengawan Solo River Basin, show that *Adenanthera pavonina* had an IVI of 4.47, which is considered high. Additionally, according to the research by Heriyanto et al., as cited in Rochmayanto et al. [47], *Adenanthera pavonine* exhibited a high IVI of 22.14 in the peatland area of PT. RHM. These differences in IVI values indicate that *Adenanthera pavonina* performs differently depending on environmental conditions, such as soil type and nutrient availability, which in turn influence its dominance and adaptability across various habitats.



Figure 3. Dominant species (A and B in mineral soil, and C, D in peatland) found in ecoriparian Kampar watershed (a) *Durio zibethinus* Merr., (b) *Baccaurea macrocarpa* (Miq.) Müll.Arg., (c). *Vatica pauciflora* Blume, (d). *Koompassia excelsa* (Becc.) Taub.

3.3. Unique species diversity in Kampar watershed riparian ecosystems on peat and yellow red podzolic soil

The unique species diversity in the riparian ecosystems of the Kampar watershed varies significantly between peat soils and red-yellow podzolic soils. Table 3 and Table 4 illustrate the differences in unique species that thrive in distinct soil characteristics. The species composition varies significantly between peat soil and red-yellow podzolic soil, reflecting the specific adaptations and ecological requirements of the vegetation in each soil type.

Table 3. List of unique species to peat soils.

Species	Local Name	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Putat	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Cratoxylum formosum</i> (Jack) Benth. & Hook.fil. ex Dyer	Garageh	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Dillenia reticulata</i> King	Simpur	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Diospyros cauliflora</i> De Wild.	Eboni	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Koompassia excelsa</i> (Becc.) Taub.	Sialang	Conservation Dependent
<i>Koompassia malaccensis</i> Maing.	Kempas	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Macaranga conifera</i> (Zoll.) Müll.Arg.	Mahang	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Macaranga tanarius</i> (L) Mull. Arg.	Mara	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Palaquium burckii</i> H.J. Lam	Nyatoh	Critically Endangered (CE)
<i>Santiria laevigata</i> Blume	Kembajau burung	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Shorea bracteolata</i> Dyer	Meranti rawa	Endangered (EN)
<i>Shorea uliginosa</i> Foxw.	Meranti bako	Endangered (EN)
<i>Shorea platycarpa</i> F. Heim	Meranti paya	Critically Endangered (CE)
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> L.	Jamblang	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i> Wall. ex Wt. & Arn.	Klampok	Vulnerable (VU)
<i>Syzygium kiahii</i> (M.R.Hend.) I.M.Tumer	Jambu-jambuan	Least Concern (LC)

<i>Syzygium pycnanthum</i> Merr. & L. M. Perry	Jambu klampok	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Voacanga grandifolia</i> (Miq.) Rolfe	Birit	Least Concern (LC)

In the analysis of species diversity in riparian ecosystems on peat soils, several unique species were identified, indicating specific adaptations to the peat environment (Table 3). For example, *Shorea bracteolata* (swamp meranti) and *Shorea uliginosa* (bako meranti) are listed as Endangered (EN), while *Shorea platycarpa* (marsh meranti) and *Palaquium burckii* (nyatoh) are listed as Critically Endangered (CE) on the IUCN Red List. Additionally, *Syzygium densiflorum* (klampok) is listed as Vulnerable (VU), demonstrating relatively better adaptability but still facing the risk of population decline. This indicates that peatlands serve as crucial habitats for species with threatened conservation statuses. Peat soils provide habitat for species that heavily rely on high moisture levels, low acidity (pH<4), and fibrous soil texture [48,49]. The importance of conservation efforts to protect peatland ecosystems from changes that could threaten biodiversity is emphasized [50].

Table 4. List of unique species to yellow red podzolic soils.

Species	Local Name	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Annona muricata</i> L.	Sirsak	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Arthocarpus elasticus</i> Reinw. ex Blume	Bendo	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Arthocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	Nangka	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Arthocarpus kemando</i> Miq.	Pudau/sukun	Near Threatened (NT)
<i>Arthocarpus sericicarpus</i> F.M. Jarrett	Peluntan	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Averrhoa bilimbi</i> L.	Blimbing wuluh	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Bambusa glaucophylla</i> Widjaja	Bambu putih	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Bambusa heterostachya</i> (Munro) Holttum	Bambu payung	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Bambusa multiplex</i> (Lour.) Raeusch. ex Schult	Bambu cina	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> Schard. ex Wendl.	Bambu kuning	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Capparis micrantha</i> A. Rich.	Bintaro	Data Deficient (DD)
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	Pepaya	Not Evaluated (NE) (Domesticated)
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Kapuk randu	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Dendrocalamus asper</i> (Schult.) Backer ex. Heyne	Bambu betung	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Gigantochloa hasskariana</i> (Kurz) Backer	Buluh busi	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Orophea enneandra</i> Blume	Nangka-nangka	Near Threatened (NT)
<i>Parkia speciosa</i> Hassk.	Petai	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Parkia timoriana</i> (DC.) Merr.	Kedaung	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Persea americana</i> Mill.	Alpukat	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> L.	Meniran	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Phyllanthus reticulatus</i> Poir.	Mangsian	Least Concern (LC)
<i>Piper cubeba</i> (Raf.) Hook. f.	Kemukus	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Piper nigrum</i> L.	Lada	Not Evaluated (NE) (Domesticated)
<i>Protium javanicum</i> Burm. f.	Trenggulun	Near Threatened (NT)
<i>Schizostachyum brachycladum</i> Kurz	Bambu talang	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Schizostachyum latifolium</i> Gamble	Bambu suling	Not Evaluated (NE)
<i>Senna spectabilis</i> Blume	Johar	Least Concern (LC)

Theobroma cacao L.	Kakao	Not Evaluated (NE) (Domesticated)
Thyrsostachys siamensis Gamble	Bambu tali	Not Evaluated (NE)
Wrightia tomentosa Roem. & Schult.	Mentaos	Not Evaluated (NE)

Podzolic soil ecosystems support a diversity of species capable of adapting to challenging environmental conditions, such as low soil acidity (pH<6) and poor essential macro-nutrient availability [51,52]. Many unique species found in podzolic soils (Table 4), such as *Annona muricata* (soursop) and *Artocarpus sericarpus* (peluntan), are listed as Least Concern (LC), indicating that these species are relatively well-adapted to this environment. However, some species, like *Artocarpus kemando* (breadfruit) and *Oroxylum enneandra* (nangka-nangka), are listed as *Near Threatened* (NT), signifying potential threats from environmental changes or human activities. Additionally, many species, such as *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (jackfruit), *Bambusa glaucophylla* (white bamboo), and *Theobroma cacao* (cacao), have not yet been evaluated (Not Evaluated (NE)) by the IUCN, highlighting the need for further research to address knowledge gaps regarding their conservation status. *Capparis micrantha* (bintaro) is listed as Data Deficient (DD), indicating a need for more in-depth studies to understand the distribution and ecology of this species within podzolic ecosystems. Moreover, domesticated species such as *Carica papaya* (papaya), *Piper nigrum* (black pepper), and *Theobroma cacao* (cacao) play significant roles in local economies and cultures. Overall, podzolic soils are crucial habitats that support a variety of species with diverse conservation statuses, some of which require special attention for conservation efforts.

4. Conclusions

In the riparian vegetation of peat soils, 129 species from 40 families were identified, with an importance value index ranging from 1.03 to 25.17. In contrast, podzolic soils supported 140 species across 43 families, with an importance value index between 1.07 and 32.57. The structure and composition of species varied across different areas, influenced by differences in soil types and anthropogenic impacts. Riparian vegetation in the Kampar Watershed is predominantly represented by *Vatica rassak* (Korth.) Blume, *Swietenia macrophylla* King, *Gluta reinghas* L., *Gonystylus bancanus* (Miq.) Kurz, *Durio zibethinus* Merr., *Baccaurea macrocarpa* (Miq.) Müll.Arg., and *Shorea ovalis* Blume.

References

- [1] Soemodinoto A, Yulianto I, Kartawijaya T, Herdiana Y, Ningtias P, Kassem K R and Andayani N 2018 Contribution of local governments to a national commitment of the Aichi Biodiversity Target 11: the case of West Nusa Tenggara Province, Indonesia *Biodiversity* **19** 72–80
- [2] Elfis, Titisari P W, Suharni N, Khairani, Janna N, Permatasari T and Chahyana I 2020 Ethnobotanical study in selected villages of Riau Province, Indonesia *Biodiversitas J. Biol. Divers.* **21**
- [3] Titisari P W, Elfis, Zen I S, Juswardi, Chahyana I, Permatasari T and Muthmainnah U 2023 The potential of mangrove as a food source in Riau *Futur. Food J. Food, Agric. Soc.* **11** 1–15
- [4] Permatasari T, Titisari P W, Elfis and Zen I S 2024 Cultural Heritage and Sustainable River Management: Incorporating Local Wisdom in Subayang River, Indonesia *J. Sustain. Sci. Manag.* **19** 135–50
- [5] Titisari P W, Elfis, Maryanti A, Chahyana I, Permatasari T and Dalilla F 2024 Grey water footprint of crop in Riau Province *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **1297** 012024
- [6] Titisari P W, Elfis E, Zen I S, Chahyana I, Permatasari T, Maryanti A and Dalilla F 2024 The

- role of Kampar watershed in achieving sufficient rice production and sustaining agriculture *Water Supply* **24** 480–96
- [7] Mbonaga S S, Hamad A A and Mkoma S L 2024 Land-Use–Land Cover Changes in the Urban River’s Buffer Zone and Variability of Discharge, Water, and Sediment Quality—A Case of Urban Catchment of the Ngerengere River in Tanzania *Hydrology* **11** 78
 - [8] Larsen S, Alvarez-Martinez J M, Barquin J, Bruno M C, Concostrina Zubiri L, Gallitelli L, Jonsson M, Laux M, Pace G, Scalici M and Schulz R 2023 RIPARIANET - Prioritising riparian ecotones to sustain and connect multiple biodiversity and functional components in river networks *Res. Ideas Outcomes* **9** e108807
 - [9] Sugianto S, Deli A, Miswar E, Rusdi M and Irham M 2022 The Effect of Land Use and Land Cover Changes on Flood Occurrence in Teunom Watershed, Aceh Jaya *Land* **11** 1271
 - [10] Mamulak Y I and Semiun C G 2021 Contribution of Riparian Vegetation to Water Quality in Spring Water Oras *Indones. J. Appl. Res.* **2** 28–32
 - [11] Selfia Y and Vauzia 2021 Analysis of Composition and Structure of Riparian Vegetation In The Batang Arau River Flow Region, Padang City, West Sumatera *J. Serambi Biol.* **6** 47–64
 - [12] Bae I, Ji U, Järvelä J and Västilä K 2024 Blockage effect of emergent riparian vegetation patches on river flow *J. Hydrol.* **635** 131197
 - [13] Mligo C 2016 Diversity and distribution pattern of riparian plant species in the Wami River system, Tanzania *J. Plant Ecol.* **10** 259–270
 - [14] Xu S, Zhao Q, Ding S, Qin M, Ning L and Ji X 2018 Improving Soil and Water Conservation of Riparian Vegetation Based on Landscape Leakiness and Optimal Vegetation Pattern *Sustainability* **10** 1571
 - [15] Kemalasari D and Choesin D N 2011 Relationship Different Riparian Vegetation Cover with Stream Conditions in Cikapinis Stream, West Jawa *J. Biol. Indones.* **7** 231–42
 - [16] Aparício B A, Nunes J P, Bernard-Jannin L, Dias L F, Fonseca A and Ferreira T 2023 Modelling the role of ground-true riparian vegetation for providing regulating services in a Mediterranean watershed *Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res.* **11** 159–68
 - [17] Zhao Q, Zhang Y, Xu S, Ji X, Wang S and Ding S 2019 Relationships between Riparian Vegetation Pattern and the Hydraulic Characteristics of Upslope Runoff *Sustainability* **11** 2966
 - [18] Ye C, Butler O M, Chen C, Liu W, Du M and Zhang Q 2020 Shifts in characteristics of the plant-soil system associated with flooding and revegetation in the riparian zone of Three Gorges Reservoir, China *Geoderma* **361** 114015
 - [19] S. Mohan N and Joseph S 2024 Disturbances on Riparian Vegetation: A Comprehensive Review *Int. J. Res. Rev.* **11** 200–8
 - [20] Lloyd J, Domingues T F, Schrodt F, Ishida F Y, Feldpausch T R, Saiz G, Quesada C A, Schwarz M, Torello-Raventos M, Gilpin M, Marimon B S, Marimon-Junior B H, Ratter J A, Grace J, Nardoto G B, Veenendaal E, Arroyo L, Villarroel D, Killeen T J, Steininger M and Phillips O L 2015 Edaphic, structural and physiological contrasts across Amazon Basin forest–savanna ecotones suggest a role for potassium as a key modulator of tropical woody vegetation structure and function *Biogeosciences* **12** 6529–71
 - [21] Ma L, Fu R, Liu H, Zhang R, Xu Z, Cao X, Liu X, Wen L, Zhuo Y and Wang L 2023 Spatial variation patterns of vegetation and soil physicochemical properties of a typical inland riverscape on the Mongolian plateau *Front. Environ. Sci.* **11** 1134570
 - [22] Prastiyo Y B, Kaswanto R L and Arifin H S 2020 Plants Diversity of Agroforestry System in Ciliwung Riparian Landscape, Bogor Municipality *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **477**

012024

- [23] Nurdin, Bahri S, Zulkarnain and Sukendi 2019 Hydrological Characteristics Analysis Due To Changes in Land Use With The Swat Model In The Koto Panjang Hydropower Catchment Area *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.* **10** 330–340
- [24] Wahyudiono S and Kumiawan Y A 2016 Analisis Kesesuaian Lahan Tanaman Gaharu (*Aquilaria malaccensis*) dengan Sistem Informasi Geografi *J. Wana Trop.* **6** 13–22
- [25] Pramana D B, Jumani J and Emawati H 2012 Pertumbuhan Tanaman Gaharu (*Aquilaria* Sp.) di Desa Giri Agung Kecamatan Sebulu Kabupaten Kutai Kartanegara Provinsi Kalimantan Timur *AGRIFOR J. Ilmu Pertan. dan Kehutan.* **11** 110–4
- [26] Abrori F M 2018 Ethnobiology Study of Agarwood (*Thymelaecaeae*) in Tarakan *J. Borneo Sainstek* **2** 58–65
- [27] Prastyaningsih S R, Eryavenri E and Azwin A 2015 Potensi Pohon Penghasil Gaharu Budidaya di Kabupaten Kampar Provinsi Riau *Wahana For. J. Kehutan.* **10** 88–100
- [28] Mewengkang J D, Tasirin J S and Sumakud M Y M A 2021 Pengaruh Elevasi Terhadap Keanekaragaman Pohon di Kawasan Gunung Tangkoko *Cocos* **14** 1–18
- [29] Yaqoob U and Nawchoo I A 2017 Impact of habitat variability and altitude on growth dynamics and reproductive allocation in *Ferula jaeschkeana* Vatke *J. King Saud Univ. - Sci.* **29** 19–27
- [30] Cui G, Li B, He W, Yin X, Liu S, Lian L, Zhang Y, Liang W and Zhang P 2018 Physiological analysis of the effect of altitudinal gradients on *Leymus secalinus* on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau ed T Roach *PLoS One* **13** e0202881
- [31] Keleş S Ö 2020 The Effect of Altitude on The Growth and Development of Trojan Fir (*Abies nordmanniana* subsp. *equi-trojani* [Asch. & Sint. ex Boiss] Coode & Cullen) Saplings *Cerne* **26** 381–92
- [32] Ismail M H, Zaki P H, Fuad M F A and Jemali N J N 2017 Analysis of importance value index of unlogged and logged peat swamp forest in Nenasi Forest Reserve, Peninsular Malaysia *Bonorowo Wetl.* **7** 74–8
- [33] Titisari P W, Elfis, Chahyana I, Janna N, Nurdila H and Widari R S 2022 Management Strategies of Mangrove Biodiversity and the Role of Sustainable Ecotourism in Achieving Development Goals *J. Trop. Biodivers. Biotechnol.* **7** 1–24
- [34] ISMAINI L 2015 Analisis komposisi dan keanekaragaman tumbuhan di Gunung Dempo, Sumatera Selatan **1** 1397–402
- [35] Purwaningsih 2011 Keanekaragaman Jenis Tumbuhan Obat Di Hutan Rawa Gambut Riam Durian Kalimantan Tengah *Berk. Penelit. Hayati* **4D** 31–7
- [36] Ristawan M D, Murningsih and Jumari 2021 Keanekaragaman Jenis Penyusun Vegetasi Riparian Bagian Hulu Sungai Panjang Kabupaten Semarang *J. Akad. Biol.* **10** 1–5
- [37] Lukas, Hastari B, Ardianor and Gumiri S 2021 Diversity of riparian plants of black water ecosystem in the Sebangau River of Central Kalimantan Indonesian *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **744** 012040
- [38] Takarina N D, Sinaga I L and Kulsum T R U 2021 Riparian plant diversity in relation to artisanal mining sites in Cikidang River, Banten, Indonesia *Biodiversitas J. Biol. Divers.* **22** 401–7
- [39] Pertiwi N, Taufieq N A S and Hiola S F 2019 The Diversity of Riparian Trees Vegetation at Around The Lawo River, South Sulawesi, Indonesia *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1244** 012008
- [40] Giesen W 2021 Tropical Peatland Restoration in Indonesia by Replanting with Useful Indigenous Peat Swamp Species: Paludiculture *Tropical Peatland Eco-management* (Singapore:

Springer Singapore) pp 411–41

- [41] Nadeem M, Wu J, Ghaffari H, Kadir A J, Saleem S, Mollier A, Singh J and Cheema M 2022 Understanding the Adaptive Mechanisms of Plants to Enhance Phosphorus Use Efficiency on Podzolic Soils in Boreal Agroecosystems *Front. Plant Sci.* **13** 804058
- [42] Paz-ares J, Puga M I, Rojas-triana M, Martinez-hevia I, Min M, Leyva A, Diaz S and Pozacarrio C 2021 Plant adaptation to low phosphorus availability : Core signaling , crosstalks , and applied implications *Mol. Plant* **15** 104–24
- [43] Olander S B and Wilkie P 2018 *Palaquium leiocarpum* *IUCN Red List Threat. Species* T129335165A129336765
- [44] Shen Q, Ranathunge K, Lambers H and Finnegan P M 2024 *Adenanthos* species (Proteaceae) in phosphorus-impooverished environments use a variety of phosphorus-acquisition strategies and achieve high-phosphorus-use efficiency *Ann. Bot.* **133** 483–94
- [45] Su T-H, Shen Y, Chiang Y-Y, Liu Y-T, You H-M, Lin H-C, Kung K-N, Huang Y-M and Lai C-M 2024 Species selection as a key factor in the afforestation of coastal salt-affected lands: Insights from pot and field experiments *J. Environ. Manage.* **360** 121126
- [46] Yuslinawari, Alfaqih N A and Rawana 2023 Keragaman vegetasi penyusun riparian sungai Pusur sub das Pusur DAS Bengawan Solo *Semin. Nas. Dies Natalis ke-47 UNS* **7** 438
- [47] Rochmayanto Y, Priatna D, Wibowo A, Salminah M, Salaka F J, Lestari N S, Muttaqin M Z, Samsuodin I, Rosadi A and Suryadi D 2021 *Strategi dan Teknik Restorasi Ekosistem Hutan Rawa Gambut* (Bogor: PT Penerbit IPB Press)
- [48] Novrianti and Harisuseno D 2024 Correlation between volume weight, porosity and moisture content in Central Kalimantan's Peatlands *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **1311** 012047
- [49] Asadi A, Huat B B K, Hanafi M M, Mohamed T A and Shariatmadari N 2011 Chemico-geomechanical sensitivities of tropical peat to pore fluid pH related to controlling electrokinetic environment *J. Chinese Inst. Eng.* **34** 481–7
- [50] Terzano D, Trezza F R, Rezende M, Malatesta L, Lew Siew Yan S, Parish F, Moss P, Bresciani F, Cooke R, Dargusch P and Attorre F 2023 Prioritization of peatland restoration and conservation interventions in Sumatra, Kalimantan and Papua *J. Nat. Conserv.* **73** 126388
- [51] Sihalohe E P B, Afany M R and Peniwiratri L 2024 Kajian Beberapa Sifat Kimia Tanah Podsolik Merah Kuning Pada Lahan Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit Berbeda Umur di Sei Daun, Kabupaten Labuhanbatu Selatan, Sumatera Utara *J. Tanah dan Sumberd. Lahan* **11** 151–60
- [52] Cui Z Y, Deng X M, Xi R C, Li R P and Hu J X 2014 Nutrient Limiting Factors in Red-Yellow Soil from Different Parent Rocks at Oil-Tea Forest Land in the South-Central Region of China *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **694** 568–75

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%	17%	13%	%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

4%
★ mail.smujo.id
Internet Source

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		