

AZWIRMAN

by AZWIRMAN AZWIRMAN

Submission date: 06-Jun-2024 09:22AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2396561985

File name: rom_Indonesian_Public_Listed_Companies_PAPER-_5thAICIF_2017.docx (47.08K)

Word count: 3751

Character count: 20841

The Effects of Enterprise Risk Management on Bank Performance : Evidence from Indonesian Public Listed Companies

Hamdi Agustin¹
Azwirman²
Siska³

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is investigate the effect of the Enterprise Risk Management implementation (ERM) on firm performance, mainly focusing on the bank industry. The population and the sample consist of 24 public listed banks, only 15 banks were selected to be the sample. The time period of the study was from 2011 to 2015, the data are taken from banks' annual reports of fiscal year ends on December 31 of each year and the data set consists of 11 private banks and 4 government banks. In this study using panel data and using pooled ordinary least square (OLS) and random effect analysis. The results are surprised and controversial. We find a negative statistically significant effect between the ERM adaption and Tobin's Q, while positive effect on Return On Equity of bank performance. This study also shows that DGOVERNMENT and DERM play a significant factor in explaining the performance in Indonesia banks.

Keywords : Enterprise Risk Management, bank performance and Tobin's Q

A. INTRODUCTION

Demidenko and McNutt (2010) stated that risk management is a means to realize the company's goals and monitor the performance of management. Risk management is implemented because it will generate more information about organizational risks and result in better management, and better decision making (Kleffner et al., 2003).

Interest in Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) continues to grow in recent years. Increasing the number of bank that have implemented or are preparing for the ERM program, many consulting firms are established with specialization in Enterprise Risk Management and various academics have developed programs or training related to ERM (Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011).

The efforts to improve the quality of risk management can be done through integrated risk management that is ERM implementation. ERM enables management to effectively address the uncertainties associated with risks and opportunities, as well as enhance the capacity to build corporate value.

ERM program has more benefits by providing more information about the company's risk profile. This is because outside factors are more likely to experience difficulties in assessing the financial and complex financial strengths and risks of a company. The existence of ERM allows companies to provide this information accurately and accurately to outsiders about the risk profile and also serves as a signal of their commitment to risk management (Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011).

10 The main objective of risk management is to eliminate the possibility of low income earned by the organization, and can help organizations move on capital optimization and ownership structures (Stulz, 2003). By applying risk management to the company, especially in the field of banking industry will surely get more value in business activities.

Beasley *et al.* (2008) in his research found that the impact of new ERM implementation is felt in the long run, where the company has implemented ERM thoroughly in the internal environment of the company and communicated to all management lines. The application of risk management needs to be guarded by certain

principles, so that it works well and effectively. Most of the risks faced by the company must be managed by the company concerned. This makes risk management a must for company.

Despite this ²⁹ increasing interest in risk management, academic research in this area is still scant. A reason is the difficulty in developing a reliable measure for the ERM construct. Some authors (Beasley et al. 2008; Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011) use the appointment of a chief risk officer (CRO) as a proxy for ERM implementation. Others (like Gordon et al. 2009) develop their own index. Moreover, the majority of the empirical studies concerns the financial industry, in particular the insurance one (Bertinetti *et al.* 2013). Results found so far are as follows: the implementation of ERM benefits firms by decreasing earnings and stock price volatility, increasing capital efficiency, and creating synergies between different risk management activities (Miccolis and Shah, 2000; Cumming & Hirtle, 2001; Lam, 2001; Meulbroek, 2002; Beasley et al. 2008). Furthermore, ERM adoption seems to promote increased risk awareness, which facilitates better operational and strategic decision-making.

This purpose of this paper is to examine the effect of ERM implementation, and to establish whether firms adopting ERM actually achieve observable results consistent with the ¹⁵ claimed benefits of ERM. We believe that our work is important and timely ¹⁵ because although many surveys have stated the benefits of adopting ERM (Marsh and McLennan, 2005) ² there has been little empirical evidence on how ERM affects bank performance. We argue that the primary goal of ERM is to reduce the probability of financial distress and allow firms to continue their investment strategies by reducing the effect lower tail outcomes, whether earnings or cash flow, caused by unexpected events (Pagach and Warr, 2007). Having smoother, steadier earnings and cash flow performance allows the firm to increase leverage, pursue more growth options and perhaps be more profitable.

B. LITERATURE REVIEW

ERM's main goal is to maintain and enhance the value of the company. The traditional approach to risk management suggests both to implement hedging activities (mainly financial derivatives), and to buy corporate insurance. Many studies investigate the link between TRM and firm value, with controversial results. Allayannis

and Weston (2001), Graham and Rogers (2002), Nelson et al. (2005), Carters et al. (2006), Pagach and Warr (2010), Bertinetti et al. (2013) show a positive relation between risk management and firm value. However, Guay and Kothari (2003) and Jin and Jorion (2006) discover that derivative positions of most non-financial companies are too small to significantly affect firm value. However Cyntia and Nanik (2015) and Izah and Ahmad (2011) which found that the ownership structure of bank has no influence on Tobin's Q. ERM have higher corporate value than companies that do not implement ERM.

Another stream of research shows that risk management through hedging mitigates incentive conflicts, reduces expected taxes, and improves the firm's ability to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities (Smith & Stulz, 1985; MacMinn, 1987; Campell & Kracaw, 1990; Nance et al. 1993), thus increasing their value. As far as the demand for corporate insurance is concerned, the literature shows that if considered as part of the company's financing policy, corporate insurance may create new value through its effect on investment policy, contracting costs, and the company's tax liabilities (Mayers and Smith, 1982). The empirical evidence around these theoretical predictions is mixed: Mayers and Smith (1990), Ashby and Diacon (1998), Hoyt and Khang (2000), and Cole and McCullough (2006) support this view; instead, Regan and Hur (2007).

As suggested by Pagach and Warr (2007), ERM creates firm value if it will reduce negative net cash flows and firms will not suffer losses while selecting a single project. Studies from Hoyt and Liebenberg (2006, 2008) found that ERM was positive and significant at 1 percent level. The empirical results support that Enterprise Risk Management would increase firm's value by 3.6% (Hoyt and Liebenberg, 2006) and 17% (Hoyt and Liebenberg, 2008). The study suggests that, if the company practices Enterprise Risk Management, the value of the company is 3.6 percent (to 17 percent) higher than company which do not practice Enterprise Risk Management. Therefore, it is argued that Enterprise Risk Management is one of the factors that can add value to a firm.

Pagach and Warr (2010) we find little impact from ERM adoption on a wide range of firm variables. While our results could be due to lower power tests, they also raise the question of whether ERM is achieving its stated goals. Overall, our results fail to find support for the proposition that ERM is value creating, although further study is called for, in particular the study of how ERM success can be measured. Izah and Ahmad (2011) Empirical results report that ERM is positively related to firm value but

it is not significant. The results do not support the hypothesis that firms which practice ERM would have a higher Tobin's Q ratio than firms which are not.

Several studies have documented that the government bank has a lower asset, higher cost and lower asset quality rather than private banks (Berger et al., 2004; Berger et al., 2005; and Micco et al., 2004). Additionally, Cornett et al. (2010) stated that the government bank has a lower income, small capital and high-risk loans. La Porta et al. (2002) showed that the bank are controlled by local or domestic ownership typically have a large share in non financial companies and tend to lend money to the companies associated with them even if the loan is not competent (high risk).

Fu and Heffernan (2009) examined the bank in China for the years 1985-2002. The results showed that the private bank is more profitable than the government bank because the private bank has an income growth and higher efficiency rather than government bank, despite the private bank have smaller market share than government bank. Iannotta et al. (2007) examined three forms of bank ownership are private banks, joint venture banks and government banks within a sample of 181 banks in 15 European countries over the years 1999-2004. Bank performance is measured by gross profit. The results showed that government banks have smaller income rather than private banks because the government banks have lack of capital, less of deposits and less of lending, so that, the government bank cannot work optimally.

C. DATA AND METHODS

This research employs the data from financial statements which consist of 24 go public commercial banks operated in the Indonesia. The time period of the study was from 2011 to 2015, the data are taken from banks' annual reports of fiscal year ends on December 31 of each year and the data set consists of 11 private banks and 4 government banks. In this study using panel data and using pooled ordinary least square (OLS) and random effect analysis. While fixed effect did not used in the analysis because the number of banks has not changed during the period study and there were three dummy variables. The following model is estimated:

$$\text{Performance}_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{DERM}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{DGOVERNMENT}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{DER}_{it} + e_{it}$$

Where i refers to the bank, t refers to the years

- Performance_{it} : Bank performance is measured by Tobin's Q and Return On Equity of bank (ROE)
- DERM_{it} : Dummy variable taking the value 1 for community development bank and 0 for otherwise bank.
- DGOVERNMENT_{it} : Dummy variable taking the value 1 for government bank and 0 for otherwise bank.
- DER_{it} : Book value of total liabilities to *market value of equity*

D. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. The Result Regression analysis
Dependent Variable: Tobin's Q

Variable	OLS without standard errors		OLS with robust standard errors		Random Effect	
	Coef.	p-value	Coef.	p-value	Coef.	p-value
Constan	28.8396	0.000** *	28.8396	0.000** *	28.8639	0.000** *
DERM	-7.7738	0.075*	-7.7738	0.152	-7.7729	0.399
DGOVERNMENT	3.4819	0.345	3.4819	0.252	3.4863	0.656
DER	-1.1995	0.370	-1.1995	0.033**	-1.2210	0.132
R-squared	0.0557			0.0557		0.0630
Adjusted R-squared	0.0158			-		-
Prob > F	0.2513			0.0801		-
Number observation	75			75		75

⁹ *, ** and *** denote significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level, respectively, p-value in parentheses

⁴⁰
⁶ DERM negative influence on Tobin's Q but positive influence on ROE. DERM has a negative effect on Tobin's Q due to the cost of the bank for ERM implementation is large enough to cause a negative response to shareholders. While ERM has a positive effect on ROE indicates that ERM is performing better because customers trust to save in bank. although Impact of ERM implementation can only be felt for long period of time, where the bank has implemented ERM as a whole in internal environment of company and communicated to all line of management. This result different with Allayannis and Weston (2001), Graham and Rogers (2002), Nelson et al. (2005), Carters et al., Rogers, and Simkins (2006), Pagach and Warr (2010), Bertinetti et al. (2013) which found that the enterprise risk management has positive influence on Tobin's Q..

Table 2. The Result Regression analysis
 Dependent Variable: ROE

Variable	OLS without standard errors		OLSwth robust standard errors		Random Effect	
	Coef.	p-value	Coef.	p-value	Coef.	p-value
Constan	6.5812	0.006***	6.5812	0.084*	6.8846	0.124
DERM	7.2237	0.006***	7.2237	0.058*	7.2343	0.173
DGOVERNMENT	4.7119	0.032**	4.7119	0.004***	4.7670	0.290
DER	-1.0489	0.185	-1.0489	0.049**	-1.3171	0.008***
R-squared	0.2259			0.2259		0.2659
AdjustedR-squared	0.1932					
Prob > F	0.0004			0.0004		
Numberobservation	75			75		75

⁹
*, ** and *** denote significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level, respectively, p-value in parentheses

DGOVERNMENT positive influence on ROE. The profitability of a government-owned bank is due to two factors. First, the government assures you will help its bank if there is a problem like bad debts. Secondly, the government bank serves as a government fundraiser (state revenue and expenditure estimates or State Budget), where the funds can be used by government banks to reduce the risk and increase the loan amount. Results of the study consistent of Reaz (2005), Beck *et al.* (2005), Berger *et al.* (2005), Omran (2007), Micco *et al.* (2007), Iannotta *et al.* (2007), Fu and Heffernan (2008), Yu and Neus (2009) and Flamini *et al.* (2009). This result different with Hadad *et al.* (2003), Fernandez *et al.* (2005) and Chantapong (2005) which found that the ownership structure of bank has no influence on bank performance.

DER negative influence on Tobin's Q and ROE. This is indicated by decreasing leverage level will increase the value of the company and will attract investors to invest in the company. However, if the greater leverage leads to the greater likelihood that the company is experiencing financial distress and also the increased financial risks faced in fulfilling its obligation to pay interest and loan principal, it will have an impact on the declining value of the company. This results in less investor confidence in the company, so investors are less interested in investing in high levels of leverage and vice versa.

These results are consistent with those of Bertinetti *et al.* (2013), and Hoyt and Liebenberg (2008, 2011) found a negative relationship between leverage and firm value. Where illustrates that based on DER signal theory is expected to give a negative signal to the investors, so the higher the value of the company. This is also due to the information asymmetry between the company and the investor and the decrease in DER affects the value of the company, this is because the debt made by the company's management is used to improve the bank's operations.

E. CONCLUSION

This purpose of this paper is to examine the effect of ERM implementation, and to establish whether firms adopting ERM actually achieve observable results consistent with the claimed benefits of ERM.. The results are surprised and controversial. We find a negative statistically significant effect between the ERM adaption and Tobin's Q, while positive effect on Return On Equity of bank performance. DERM has a negative effect on Tobin's Q due to the cost of the bank for ERM implementation is large enough to cause a negative response to shareholders. While ERM has a positive effect on ROE indicates that ERM is performing better because customers trust to save in bank. This study also shows that DGOVERNMENT and DERM play a significant factor in explaining the performance in Indonesia banks.

REFERENCES

- ¹⁴ Allayannis, G., & Weston, J.P. (2001). The use of foreign currency derivatives and firm value. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 14, 243-276.
- Ashby, S.G., & Diacon, S.R. (1998). The Corporate Demand for Insurance: A Strategic Perspective. *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance*, 23, 34-51.
- Beasley, M.S., Pagach, D.P., & Warr, R.S., (2008). Information conveyed in hiring announcements of senior executives overseeing enterprise-wide risk management processes. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 23, 311-332.
- Beasley, M.S., Pagach, D.P., & Warr, R.S., (2008). Information conveyed in hiring announcements of senior executives overseeing enterprise-wide risk management processes, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance* 23, 311-332.
- Beck, T., Cull, R., & Jarome, A. (2005). Bank privatization and performance: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 29, 2355-2379.
- Berger A. N., Demirguc-Kunt A., Levine R., & Haubrich J. ³⁶ (2004). Bank concentration and competition: An evolution in the making. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 36(3), 433-451.
- Berger, A. N., Clarke, G. R., Cull, R., Klapper, L., & Udell, G. F. (2005). Corporate governance and bank performance: A joint analysis of the static, selection, and dynamic effects of domestic, foreign, and state ownership. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 29(8-9), 2179-2221.

- Bertinetti, G. S., Cavezzali, E., & Gardenal, G. (2013). *The Effect Of The Enterprise Risk Management Implementation On The Firm Value Of European Companies*. Venice. Retrieved from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2326195
- Campbell, T.S., & Kracaw, W.A. (1990), Corporate Risk Management and the Incentive Effects of Debt. *Journal of Finance*, 45, 1673-86.
- 8 Carter, D.A., Rogers, D.A., & Simkins, B.J. (2006). Does hedging affect firm value? Evidence from the US airline industry. *Financial Management*, 35, 53-86.
- 16 Chantapong, S. (2005). Comparative study of domestic and foreign bank performance in Thailand: The regression analysis. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 3, 63-83.
- 19 Chyntia, K.S., & Nanik, L. (2015). Pengaruh Penerapan *Enterprise Risk Management* dan Variabel Kontrol Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan di Sektor Keuangan. *Manajemen Keuangan*. *Journal Petra*, 3(1). 3-10.
- Cole, C.R., & McCullough, K.A. (2006). A Reexamination of the Corporate Demand for Reinsurance. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 73, 169-192.
- Cornett, M. M., Guo, L., Khaksari, S., & Tehranian, H. (2010). The impact of state ownership on performance differences in privately-owned versus state-owned banks: An international comparison. *Journal Financial Intermediation*, 19, 74-94.
- Cumming, C.M., & Hirtle, B.J., (2001). The Challenges of Risk Management in Diversified Financial Companies, *FRBNY Economic Policy Review*, March.
- 5 Demidenko, E., McNutt, P. (2010), The ethical of enterprise risk management as a key component of corporate governance. *International Journal of Social Economic*, 37(10), 802-815.
- 2 Don Pagach, D., & Warr, R. (2010). The Effects of Enterprise Risk Management on Firm Performance, Jenkins Graduate School of Management North Carolina State University Raleigh, NC. Retrieved from : <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1155218>
- Fernandez, A. I., Fonseca, A. R., & Gonzalez, F. (2005). Does ownership affect banks profitability? Some international evidence. In E.Klein (8th ed.), *Capital formation and banking* (157-178). Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- 18 Flamini, V., McDonald, C., & Schumacher, L. (2009). *The determinants of commercial bank profitability in Sub-Saharan Afrika* (IMF Working Paper 09/15). Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund . Retrieved from <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2009/wp0915.pdf>.
- 30 Fu, X., & Heffernan, S. (2009). The effects of reform on China's bank structure and performance. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 33, 39-52.

- Gordon, L.A., Loeb, M.P., & Tseng, C.Y., (2009). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: A contingency perspective, *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 28, 301- 327.
- Graham, J., & Rogers, D. (2002). Do firms hedge in response to tax incentives?. *Journal of Finance* 57, 815-839.
- Guay, W., & Kothari, S.P (2003). How much do firms hedge with derivatives?. *Journal of Financial Economics* 80, 423-461.
- ²¹ Hadad. M. D., Santoso.W., & Arianto, B. (2003). *Indikator awal krisis perbankan*. Jakarta: Bank Indonesia. Retrieved <http://www.bi.go.id>.
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2008). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Insurance Industry. Retrieved from <http://www.risknet.de>
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2011). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Insurance Industry. *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 795-822.
- Hoyt, R.E., & Khang, H., (2000). On the Demand for Corporate Property Insurance, *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 67, 91-107.
- Hoyt, R.E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2006). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Insurance Industry. Retrieved from http://www.aria.org/meetings/2006papers/Hoyt_Liebenberg_ERM_070606.pdf
- ⁷ Iannotta, G., Nocera, G., & Sironi, A. (2007). Ownership structure, risk and performance in the European banking industry. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 31(7), 2127-2149.
- ³ Izah, M. T., & Ahmad, R.R. (2011). The Relationship Between Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) And Firm Value : Evidence From Malaysian Public Listed Companies. *International Journal of Economics and Management Sciences* , 1(2), 32-41
- Jin, Y., & Jorion, P. (2006). Firm value and hedging: Evidence from U.S. oil and gas producers. *The Journal of Finance* 61, 893-919.
- ³³ Kleffner, A. E., Lee, R. B., & McGannon, B. (2003). The Effect of Corporate Governance on the Use of Enterprise Risk Management : Evidence From Canada. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, 6(1), 53-73.
- ²⁶ La Porta , R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. R. (2002). Government ownership of banks. *Journal Finance* 57, 265-302.
- ¹¹ Liebenberg, A., and R. Hoyt. (2003). The determinants of enterprise risk management: Evidence from the appointment of chief risk officers. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, 6 (1), 37-52.

- MacMinn, R.D. (1987). Forward markets, stock markets, and the theory of the firm. *Journal of Finance* 42, 1167-1185.
- Marsh and McLennan Companies. (2005). A Qualitative Survey of Enterprise Risk Management Programs, New York.
- Mayers, D., & Smith, C.W. Jr., (1990). On the corporate demand for insurance: Evidence from the reinsurance market. *Journal of Business* 63, 19-40.
- Meulbroek, L. K. (2002). Integrated Risk Management for the Firm: A Senior Manager's Guide. Retrieved 2008, from Harvard Business School. Retrieved from www.hbs.edu/research/facpubs/workingpapers/papers2/0102/02-046.pdf
- Micco, A., Panizza, U., & Yañez, M. (2004). *Bank ownership and performance* (IDB Working Paper No. 429). Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department. Retrieved November, 2004, from SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1818718> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1818718>.
- Micco, A., Panizza, U., Yanez, M. (2007). Bank ownership and performance: Does politics matter?. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 31, 219-241.
- Miccolis, J., & Shah, S., (2000). *Enterprise Risk Management: An Analytic Approach*, Tillinghast – Towers Perrin.
- Nance, D.R., Smith, C.W. Jr., & Smithson, C.W. (1993). On the determinants of corporate hedging. *Journal of Finance*, 48, 267-284.
- Nelson, J.H., Moffitt, J.S., & Affleck-Graves, J.,(2005). The impact of hedging on the value of equity. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11, 851-881.
- Omran, M. (2007). Privatization, state ownership and bank performance in Egypt. *World Development*, 35(4), 714-733.
- Pagach, D, and R. Warr. (2007). An Empirical Investigation of the Characteristics of Firms Adopting Enterprise Risk Management, *North Carolina State University working paper* Available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID1010200_code58675.pdf?abstractid=1010200&mirid=1
- Reaz, M. (2005). *Linking Corporate Governance and Bank Performance: Evidence from Bangladesh*. Bangladesh: North South University. Retrieved from <https://docs.google.com/viewer?>.
- Regan, L., & Hur, Y. (2007). On the Corporate Demand for Insurance: The Case of Korean Nonfinancial Firms. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 74, 829-850.
- Smith, C.W. Jr., Rene M., & Stulz, R.M. (1985). The determinants of firms' hedging policies. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 20, 391-405.
- Stulz, R. (2003). *Rethinking risk management, The Revolution in Corporate Finance*, 4th Edition, Blackwell Publishing, 367-384.

17

Yu, P., & Neus, W. (2009). *Market structure, scale efficiency and risk as determinants of German banking profitability*. Retrieved from <http://econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/22093/1/294.pdf>.

AZWIRMAN

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

6%

PUBLICATIONS

11%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Submitted to UNITEC Institute of Technology
Student Paper | 1% |
| 2 | Submitted to University of Sydney
Student Paper | 1% |
| 3 | Submitted to Bowen University
Student Paper | 1% |
| 4 | Submitted to Iqra Uniniversity, Gulshan
Student Paper | 1% |
| 5 | www.econjournals.com
Internet Source | 1% |
| 6 | Makoto Nakano, Pascal Nguyen. "Foreign ownership and firm performance: evidence from Japan's electronics industry", Applied Financial Economics, 2013
Publication | 1% |
| 7 | Submitted to Multimedia University
Student Paper | 1% |
| 8 | Submitted to Corvinus University of Budapest
Student Paper | 1% |
-

9	coek.info Internet Source	1 %
10	journal.binus.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	Submitted to Auckland University of Technology Student Paper	1 %
12	Dawood Ashraf, Yener Altunbas, John Goddard. "Who Transfers Credit Risk? Determinants of the Use of Credit Derivatives by Large US Banks", The European Journal of Finance, 2007 Publication	1 %
13	Submitted to Thames Valley University Student Paper	1 %
14	Submitted to University College London Student Paper	1 %
15	Submitted to Bugema University Student Paper	1 %
16	Submitted to University of Wolverhampton Student Paper	1 %
17	Jumono, Sapto, Noer A. Achsani, Dedi B. Hakim, and Muhamad Fidaus. "Market Concentration, Market Share, and Profitability (Study at Indonesian Commercial Banking in	<1 %

the Period of 2001-2012)", Asian Social Science, 2015.

Publication

18

Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB

Student Paper

<1 %

19

Submitted to Universitas Islam Riau

Student Paper

<1 %

20

www.onesearch.id

Internet Source

<1 %

21

Submitted to uvt

Student Paper

<1 %

22

www.scitepress.org

Internet Source

<1 %

23

Submitted to Copperbelt University

Student Paper

<1 %

24

Submitted to UNIVERSITY OF LUSAKA

Student Paper

<1 %

25

www.iza.org

Internet Source

<1 %

26

Gordon L Clark. "Money flows like mercury: the geography of global finance", Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography, 2016

Publication

<1 %

27 International Journal of Accounting and Information Management, Volume 22, Issue 3 (2014-09-16) <1 %
Publication

28 Submitted to London School of Marketing <1 %
Student Paper

29 scripties.ned.uv.rug.nl <1 %
Internet Source

30 encyclopedia.pub <1 %
Internet Source

31 orbi.ulg.ac.be <1 %
Internet Source

32 documents.mx <1 %
Internet Source

33 journal.uinjkt.ac.id <1 %
Internet Source

34 "Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Future of ASEAN (ICoFA) 2017 – Volume 2", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 <1 %
Publication

35 Chen-Yu Chang, Hui-Yu Chou, Ming-Teh Wang. "Characterizing the corporate governance of UK listed construction companies", Construction Management and Economics, 2006 <1 %

36

Mihály Ormos, Dusán Timotity. "Asymmetric volatility in asset prices: An explanation with mental framing", Heliyon, 2024

Publication

<1 %

37

econpapers.repec.org

Internet Source

<1 %

38

ugspace.ug.edu.gh:8080

Internet Source

<1 %

39

"Chapter 3 An Empirical Study on Corporate Social Performance", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2009

Publication

<1 %

40

JIMOH Odunayo, ADEGBIE Festus Folajimi, Theophilus Anaekenwa AGUGUOM.
"Environmental Accounting Practice and Firm Value of Quoted Oil and Gas Companies in Nigeria", International Journal of Medical Science and Health Research, 2023

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off