EFL teachers' voice diversity on TPD (Teacher Professional Development) learning activities

Khulaifiyah Universitas Negeri Malang

Corresponding Email:kkhulaifiyah@gmail.com

Abstract: Teacher Professional development (TPD)has been a substantial issue in contemporary educational research and policy. The implication of TPD learning activities hasbeen differing one to another. Diversity respondent was covering exemplary teachers from elementary, junior and senior high schools. This study was done mainly to get information about EFL teachers' voice about professional developmentlearning activities in the area where they are actively teaching. The collected data from the questionnaire and interviews were analyzed and interpreted from the questionnaire and reports from teacher perception or opinion. The findings reveal that the subjects have diversity views about professional development learning activities. In term of activity, there are two activities got the highest percentage for their professional development; 1) trying out new ideas or suggestions in practice for EFL teachers (53,13 %). Usually, it applied from the result of joining workshop or training due to English topics and 2) applying a variety of learning activities (43.75%), in which they are consciously creating conditions that support the development of their students of teaching as research informed practitioners through the way they approach their teaching practices.

Keywords: professional development learning activity; EFL teachers

Introduction

Professional development is a process of learning and growth in a person's professional life. In an educational setting, the professional development of teachers refers to the processes through which teachers enhance their knowledge, skills, and behaviors in a way that contributes to the academic success of all students (Ucar & Ipek, 2006). Desimone (2011) defined professional development as an avast array of activities designed to improve the professionalknowledge and skills of teachers to improve student achievement.

In practice, professional development for educators encompasses a comprehensiverange of topics and formats. **Professional** development may be funded by the district, school, or state budgets and programs, or they may be supported by a foundation grant or other private funding source. They may range from a one-day conference to a two-week workshop to a multiyear advanced-degree program. Alternatively, they may be delivered in person or online, during the school day or outside of regular school hours, and through one-on-one interactions or in group situations. Moreover, they may be led and facilitated by educators within a school or provided by outside consultants or organizations hired by a school or district. The thing to remember is the effective PD; According to Mizell(2010), professional development was most effective when it took place during a teacher's daily work schedule and involved teachers from the same school, department, or grade level (Opfer &Pedder, 2011). Therefore, study about the professional development importance of learning activities still become something needed to evaluate the program that gives more meaning to EFL teachers. The study proposed a question about TPD learning activities do EFL teachers consider as crucial for their personal, professional development?

Literature review

Professional development has been shown to bea capableagent in changing teacher learning and teacher practice (Opfer &Pedder, 2011). Research (Croft, Coggshall, Dolan, Powers, & Killion, 2010; **Darling-Hammond** &McLaughlin, 2011; Desimone et al., 2002; Hochberg &Desimone, 2010; Torff &Byrnes, 2011) described what should be doneinorder to provide quality professional development. Desimone (2009), an active professional development initiative should include several qualities: it should be both individual and school-based, incorporate coaching, and embed teaching practices into the daily work of teachers. Next, when professional development focuses on particular instructional practice, in addition to fostering teacher collaboration and involving active learning opportunities and coherence, teacher adoption and use of that practice are highly likely (Desimone et al., 2002). Some term is used referring to professional development activity such as TPD learning activities, TDA (teacher development activities) and others. Here, the writer used the term TPD (Teacher Professional Development) learning activity. Therefore, TPD providers, such as schools or teachers themselves can choose which of these forms or types which are suitable and appropriate for their needs and circumstances (Burke, 2000).

Next, the writer adopts the forms that are suitable and commonly used. The essentiall differences between the different perspectives of TPD do not reside in the form used to facilitate learning but in the assumptions made when forms (Cochran-Smith these are used &Lytle,1999). Forms of TPD learning activities in theliterature seem never-ending. Craft (2000), for example, listed a wide range of TPD learning activities which are linked to the contemporary views of TPD, such as: action research, selfstudy, using distance-learning directed materials, on-the-job coaching, mentoring or tutoring, school-based and off-site courses, job androtation, peer in networks, membership of a working party or task group, learning partnerships, school cluster projects, personal reflection, experiential 'assignments', collaborative learning and information technology-mediated learning (e.g. Email discussion groups, or self- study using multimedia resources). Next, Burke (2000) listed the many forms or types of TPD learning activities as shown in Table 1. According to Burke, these forms of TPD of learning activitiescanbe customized to meet individual teachers' or group of teachers' needs and concerns and emphasizes the need for teachers to choose their forms of TPD for better results- improved teaching practices and student learning. Furthermore, Burke (2000) categorizes TPD learning activities into four categories. 1)inactive, 2) investigative, 3) formal programs and 4) reflective practices in which each activity has its role, andeach one can benefit its users, teachers, schools or systems. 1)

Inactive Activities covers In-service days; After-School Workshops; Conferences; School Visits and Department/Grade Level Meetings. 2) Investigative Strategies involves Study groups: Book Groups: Individual Action Research; Educational Journals and Books; Video of Best Practices; Internet searches and Chat Rooms. 3) Formal programs Distance refers to Learning Courses; Degree Program; Certification Renewal: Summer Institutes: National Board for Professionals Teaching Standards; and Mentoring. 4) Reflective practices are Log entries; Reflective Journals; Videotaped lessons; Self-evaluation; Peer coaching and Professional Portfolios.

However, the forms or types of TPD learning activities are varied and continue to evolve. TPD providers, schools or teachers themselves can choose which of these forms or types are suitable and appropriate for their needs and circumstances. Therefore, the study adopts professional development learning activities which are commonly applied to the activities below:

- 1. Trying out new ideas or suggestions in practice
- Sharing experiences and problems with colleagues
- 3. Reflection on own teaching
- 4. In service days and training
- 5. Following professional development programs
- 6. Working on developing techniques and activities with colleagues
- 7. Following research literature on own field
- 8. Asking for professional help from colleagues
- 9. Gathering information about one's teaching performance
- 10. Teacher-initiated classroom investigation
- 11. Training other teachers
- 12. Peer observation
- 13. Applying a variety of learning activities
- 14. Use of lesson study as a form of professional learning
- 15. Observation of classroom events by heads and administrators

Those 15 items were taken as the questionnaire item during the research.

Method

One of the research designs that fallinto the realm of qualitative research is a case study. Multiple methodsof data collection were

employedin this study. Methods of data collection employed in this study included a survey by questionnaire and perceived report from teachers' perception or opinion (for the chosen one).

The participant was 32 EFL teachers with different teaching status, level and period. 43,7 % or 14 EFL teachers were fixed term of the contract for a period, but there wasbig number of EFL permanent teachers being a participant in the study which was approximately 56,3 % or 18 people. The highest participant was from EFL junior high school teachers (18 people). A part of the participanthas more than threeyears of teaching period; it means that they have sufficient opportunity to get and follow the professional development learning activity provided by parties or individual. So that, they could give more information for the study

Result and Discussion

The result shows that trying out new ideas or suggestions in practice for EFL teachers seem to be the highest percentage for their professional development learning activity. Usually, it applied the result of joining a workshop or training due to English topics. There were 53,13 % or (17) teachers do it. Following workshop give them a new paradigm for EFL teachinglearning in any level of education. Therefore EFL teachers think that it is very important of trying out new ideas or suggestions in practice after joining the activities or program than other activities as one of the ways to keep their professional development learning activity. However, teachers need moral and technical support to put TPD ideas into practice. The need for this moral support, for example, was highlighted by Susi (not real name)when she pointed out her colleagues' responses to her experimenting or applying TPD ideas in her class. She felt that her colleague'sunsupportive responses toward her experimenting or applying an idea gained from TPD discouraged her Therefore, having a group learning. professional community will enhance applying the activities especially in its institution or school out of another school.

Peer observation becomes something important for EFL teachers. Approximately 75% or 24 EFL teachers state the same opinion that doing peer observation help them to improve and

evaluate their teaching process in EFL class. It saw as a supportive and developmental process for improving the teaching quality when the primaryfocus is on helping, sharing and providing mutual support (Bell, 2005), but sometimes the activity remains teachers' confidence in the field. Interview of peer observation, the theory is on the observer selecting a practice performed by a colleague that they could try, through vicariously experiencing the success of that practice (mainlyby seeing their actions of students). As Schunk and Zimmerman (2007) also argue, "observing competent models perform actions that result in success conveys information to observers about the sequence of actions to use to be successful."The observer learns about how to perform the practice by seeing it, rather than being told about it, and comes to believe (strengthening their self-efficacy) that they can also teach in this way, and so is motivated to attempt the practice.

62,50% or (20) EFL teachers state that sharing experiences and problems with colleagues and reflection on their teaching still become necessary for their professional development learning activities. TPD activity that is initiated by teachers can also turn into a authoritarian TPD. MGMP, for example, which was initially intended as a collegial network forum for teachers, becomes a mechanism of control and command for communicating what teachers need to do. Knowledges haring is a common practice that supports the professional development at School. This finding supports previous studies that identify the sharingof knowledge among educators as one of the keyconditions for successful teacher learning (BeatriceAvalos,2011;Decretal.,2008;Garet al.,2001). However, Informal learning in the form of collegial and professional dialogue or discussion, reading teaching-related references or browsingand reviewing educational articles the internet are encouraged facilitatedby the school and are widely practiced by EFL teachers they said.

In service days and training, following professional development programs and teacher initiated classroom investigation for EFL teachers in this study have the same portions of percentageexactly 56,25% or (18), it means these activities still considered and become important too for enhancing their professionalism. In service day and training

usually include a heavy component and begin with a 'crash course' on pedagogical of knowledge that is completed within a briefperiod (Berry, 2001) therefore, they were interestedin following. Though, it is too often the case that in-service training providers transmit the knowledge and skills they have, regardless of their relevance to the recipients they said.

Unfortunately, following research literature on own field becomes somewhat important for them since the portion was 43,17% or (14). This condition caused by the education policy which is required teachers conducting or having at least once researched their field or research study whereas the activity becomes one of the implications of the teacher as reflective practitioner then. The more they read the research literature, themore comfortable they can research their reflective practice.

On the other hand, only 9,38% or (3) EFL teachers think that working on developing techniques and activities with colleagues and training other teachers was little important for professional development their learning activities. Each school has its distinct features that affect teacher learning so that EFL teachers can apply any activities as long as its fit for and needed. The image of teachers as knowledge receivers and TPD providers/facilitators as authorities of knowledge does not only apply to externally developed/provided TPD activities. This also happens in internally initiated TPD activities or school/teacher based **TPD** activities. One of these activities involved school supervisors as training facilitators. However, what often happens is that the school supervisors play the role of imposers rather than facilitators or trainers. In such TPD, teachers are receivers and implementers of knowledge and skills delivered by TPD providers/facilitators. This practice may becommonamong teachers because teachers have been conditioned to perform such practices rather than being a trainer.

Gathering information about one's teaching performance also become important in professional development learning activities for EFL teacher. (59,38%) Alternatively, 19 of 32 participants think of the importance of gathering information especially about own teaching performance will give them another experience

indirectly. Tsui (2003) indicates that experience is akey component of teacher development. In line with Schoonmaker (2002) statement that experience is viewed as the sum of an individual's history, including actual events, fictionalized events, and interpretations placed on them when they happened and as they are remembered; 2) time matter gives more opportunity for EFL teachers to have interaction with other EFL teachers from different area and also change to share ideas. Alvarez and Sanchez (2005) study found that the study group helped teachers to become aware of their teaching practices and of the need to update themselves continuously. Additionally, the participants had the chance to share pedagogical ideas and improve their language proficiency. Activities in which the teachers played the role of learners were meaningful for them because they became aware of teaching issues that affect learners.

Applying a variety of learning activities done by (14) EFL teachers. The result was supported the previous item (trying out new ideas or suggestions in practice). Furthermore, to avoid monotonous, doing various activities is a must to help a student being interest on material and catch up the easiest comprehension. Updating activities in particular, during education, teachers their develop personal, practical knowledge base (Connelly&Clandinin, 1988; Van Driel, Beijaard, & Verloop, 2001), or, in other practitioner words, their knowledge (Hiebert, Gallimore & Stigler, 2002) that enables them to integrate experiential formal knowledge, knowledge, beliefs, across subject matter, general pedagogical knowledge, and pedagogical content knowledge fields.

Last, none of the participants reject the importance professional development of learning activities for all item. All agree that EFL teachers need to keep their professional development learning activities to face a changing of students' need and changing. There was variation percentage of professional development learning activities done by EFL teacher. There were factors involve their PD learning activity such as individual efforts and aspiring desire from their internal world which enable them to exploit favorable context and seek chances from unfavorable factors to navigate their professional development. Thus, they may not rely on professional development provided by parties only. Individual context is regarded as potential external stimuli which exert an effect on professional development learning activities.

Conclusion

Professional development learning activities for EFL teachers considered to be very important or somewhat important caused by many factors such as group focus or strong professional communities which can foster teacher learning each other. This study also shows that the presence of TPD learning activities influences individual teachers and conditions within schools to ensure success individually and institution. TPD probably remain less than optimal and may have a littleimpact even for those participating in the TPD opportunities or programs in any condition except experiences. Moreover, since individual efforts and aspiring desire from their internal world enable them to exploit favorable context and seek chances from unfavorable factors to navigate their professional development activities.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to acknowledge the support of LPDP fund.

References

- Alvarez, G., & Sanchez, C. (2005). Teachers in a public school engage in a study group to reach general agreements about a common approach to teaching English.

 PROFILE Issues in Teachers' Professional Development, 6(1), 119-132.
- Asmari Al A. (2016). Continuous Professional Development of English Language Teachers: Perception and Practices, vol 7(3), 117-124.
- Bayar Adem. (2014). The Components of Effective Professional Development Activities regarding Teachers' Perspective. International Online Journal of Educational Sciences vol 6(2), 319-327.
- Berry, B (2001). No shortcuts to preparing good teachers". In: Educational Leadership, 58 (8), 32-36.
- Borko,H.(2004). Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain. EducationalResearcher,33(8),3-15.doi:10.3102/0013189x033008003.

- Burke, K. (2000). Results-based professional development. NASSPBulletin,84(618), 29-37.doi:10.1177/019263650008461805.
- Cochran-Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). Research methods in education. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Craft,A.(2001).Continuing professional development: A practical guide for teachers and schools (2nded.). London: Great Britain: Routledge Falmer.
- Choy, S.P., Chen, X., & Burgarin, R. (2006). Teacher professional development in 1999-2000: What teachers, principals, and district staff report (NCES 2006-305). U.S. Department of education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education and Statistics.
- Dogan, S.F & Yagiz O. (2015). Listening to ELT Teachers' Voices: Perceptions of Personal Professional Development about Their Gender, Teaching Experience, and Institution. Journal of Education & Social Policy vol 2 (6), 54-61.
- Desim Desimone, L. M., Porter, A.C., Garet, M.S., Yoon, K.S., &Birman, B.F. (2002).Effectsof professional development on teachers' instruction: Results from a three-year longitudinal study. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 24(2),81-112.doi:10.3102/01623737024002081.
- Fishman,B.J.,Marx,R.W.,Best,S.,&Tal,R.T.(20 03).Linking teacher and student learning to improve professional development in system reform. Teaching and Teacher Education, 19(6), 643-658. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(03)00059-3.
- Garet,M.S.,Porter,A.C.,Desimone,L.,Birman,B. F.,&Yoon,K.S. (2001).What makes professional development effective? Resultsfrom a national sample of teachers. American Educational Research Journal, 38(4), 915-945. doi: 10.3102/00028312038004915.
- Geraldo, F. (2014). The impact of a professional development program in English language teachers' classroom performance. *PROFILE Issues inTeachers' Professional Development*, 16(1), 63-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/profile.v16n1.3 8150.
- Guskey, T.R.(2002). Professional development and teacher change. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice,8(3),381e391.

- Karaaslan, D (2003). Teachers' perceptions of self-initiated professional development: A case study on Baskent University English Language teachers (unpublished thesis).
- Katuuk. A. Deitje&Marentek. M.K.L. (2014). Indonesian Primary School Teachers' Perception of Professional Development Program: A Case Study. International Journal of Education and Research vol 2 (6), 629-634.
- Richards, J.C., &Farrel, T.S.C (2005). Professional Development for language teachers: Strategies for Teacher Learning. Cambridge University Press.
- Schoonmaker, F. (Ed.) (2002). "Growing up" Teaching: From Personal Knowledge toProfessional Practice. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Stark, S., &Torrance, H. (2005). Case study. In B.Somekh &C. Lewin(Eds.), Research methods in the socialsciences. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE publications Ltd.
- Schunk, D.H.&Zimmerman, B. J. (2007). Influencing children's self-efficacy and self-regulation of reading and writing

- through modeling. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 23,7-25.
- Tsui, A. B. M. (Ed.). (2003). Understanding Expertise in Teaching: Case Studies of Second Language Teachers. New York: Cambridge University Press.https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139 524698.
- Tananghasan & Abu Baharin. (2014). Teacher Professionalism and Professional Development Practices in South Sulawesi, Indonesia. Journal of Curriculum and Teaching Vol 3 (2), 25-42.
- QathaniAl.M.H. (2015). Teachers' Voice: A need Analysis of Teachers' Need for Professional Development with the Emerge of The current Textbooks. Canadian Center of Science and Education vol 8 (8), 128-141
- Zellermayer, M., &Margolin, I. (2005). Teacher educators' professional learning describe through the lensofcomplexity theory. Teachers CollegeRecord, 107(6),1275-1304.