**REVIEW ARTICLE** 



# Acid Hydrolysis and Optimization Techniques for Nanoparticles Preparation: Current Review

Zakiah Harun<sup>1</sup> · Agus Arsad<sup>1</sup> · Ai Ling Pang<sup>1</sup> · Mohd Abbas Ahmad Zaini<sup>2</sup> · Muslim Abdurrahman<sup>3</sup> · Nuha Awang<sup>4</sup> · Radzuan Junin<sup>5</sup> · Rahmat Mohsin<sup>1</sup>

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### Abstract

Nanostarch is unique in that it is highly soluble, thermally stable, non-toxic and inexpensive. Hence, it is utilized in numerous well-established applications, including drug delivery, cosmetics, textiles, foods, and enhanced oil recovery (EOR). These applications take advantage of the special functions that can be achieved through modifications to the structure and properties of native starch. The most common method for the preparation of nanostarch with a relatively higher crystallinity and stability is acid hydrolysis. Technically, the properties of nanostarch are highly dependent on several factors during the hydrolysis process, such as the acid, concentration of acid, reaction time, reaction temperature, and source of starch. The production of nanostarch with desired properties requires a detailed understanding on each of the factors as they are inevitably affected the physical and chemical properties of nanostarch. Hence, it is vital to incorporate optimization technique into the production process to achieve the full potential of nanostarch. Therefore, the current review comprehensively elaborates on the factors that affect acid hydrolysis as well as the optimization techniques used in the preparation of nanostarch.

Keywords Acid hydrolysis · Optimization · Nanostarch · Acid concentration · RSM

Agus Arsad agus@utm.my

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UTM-MPRC Institute for Oil and Gas, School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, 81310 Johor Bahru, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Centre of Lipids Engineering and Applied Research (CLEAR), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, 81310 Johor Bahru, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fakultas Teknik – Universitas Islam Riau, Jalan Kaharuddin Nasution, Workshop Gedung B, Lantai 2, Pekan Baru 28284, Indonesia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Plant Engineering Technology (PETech), Universiti Kuala Lumpur - Malaysian Institute of Industrial Technology (UniKL MITEC), Jalan Persiaran Sinaran Ilmu, Bandar Seri Alam, 81750 Masai, Johor, Malaysia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Department of Petroleum Engineering, School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 Johor Bahru, Malaysia

## Introduction

## Nanomaterials

The urgent demand for polymers from natural sources has contributed to the evolution and advancement of technologies, especially in nanomaterials. Nanomaterials are being widely used in a remarkable range of applications, including drugs delivery [1, 2], cosmetics [3, 4], textiles [5], foods [6, 7], and EOR [8-10]. Nanomaterials, ranging in size from 1 to 100 nm, are known for their small size and greater surface area per unit volume, which make them special and unique [11, 12]. However, the non-degradable properties of synthetically produced nanomaterials are a significant disadvantage, as it leads to their accumulation, which poses risks to the environment and health [13]. Therefore, non-toxic and biodegradable starch-based nanomaterials can serve as a viable alternative for synthetic material. For instance, nanomaterials derived from starch have been used extensively in bioactive ingredients and drugs encapsulation to improve the controlled release, stability, bioavailability, and bioactivity in drug delivery [14-17]. Besides that, the utilization of nanomaterials or nanoparticles in chemical EOR also has profoundly improved the rheological properties of fluids at various temperatures and salinities [8, 11]. Figure 1 illustrates the types of nanoparticles used in chemical EOR and their primary functions [13–15]. Additionally, the harmful disposal of synthetic plastic in the environment is able to be reduced by swapping to bioplastics incorporated with nanostarch, which have shown to be more compact film as the nanoparticles impede the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between starch molecules [18].

The data in Fig. 2 shows the growth of research into the use of nanostarch, indicating that the starch-based nanoparticles are now widely recognised as an essential and well-studied development in various industries. Despite the extensive research into nanoparticles, as far as is known, no comprehensive review has been carried out on the extraction of nanostarch and the factors affecting the process conditions.



Fig. 1 Types of nanoparticles and their key function in chemical EOR



Fig. 2 Annual percentage of scientific publications related to nanostarch based on Elsevier data analysis as of 17<sup>th</sup> March 2022

#### Starch and Nanostarch

Starch is made up of complex microparticles, and is comprised of two main components, namely, amylopectin and amylose, with some water, lipids, phospholipids, soluble and insoluble fibers, as well as minerals [19]. Starch granules consist of concentric alternating amorphous and semi-crystalline polysaccharide molecules packed with amylopectin and amylose [20, 21]. In Fig. 3 (a), it can be seen that amylopectin is a highly branched  $\alpha$ -D-(1-4)-glucan with  $\alpha$ -D-(1-6)-glucan linkages at the branch point, whereas amylose, in Fig. 3 (b), is a linear chain composed of  $\alpha$ -D-(1-4)-glucan units [22]. The ratio of amylopectin to amylose depends on the botanical origin and genetic background of each starch, but it usually consists of 70 to 80% of amylopectin and 20 to 25% of amylose [23]. This ratio varies according to the functional properties of the starch, such as its solubility, viscosity, gel stability, shear resistance, gelatinization, and retrogradation [24].

Starch is always preferred as a substitute for synthetic polymers because it is non-toxic, biodegradable, biocompatible, renewable, inexpensive, and widely available [18, 19, 25]. It can be used directly as native starch or treated starch. However, native starch is unsuitable for specific industrial applications due to several functional limitations, including low solubility, low retrogradation, restricted digestibility, poor thermal stability, and susceptibility to shear stress [22, 23, 26]. For this reason, starch is usually treated to diversify its structural and functional properties to fit various applications [27]. Generally, nanostarch is the most commonly used treated starch because it is known to have better physicochemical and biological properties, including higher solubility, greater reaction surface, absorptive capacity, and biological penetration rate, which are not available in conventional starch [28, 29].



Fig.3 Chemical structure of (a) amylopectin and (b) amylose [22]. Reproduced with permission from American Chemical Society

Table 1 presents the inherent properties of prepared nanostarches from different sources. Sharma et al. [32] exposed that kidney bean nanostarch gives higher solubility and swelling power compared to its native form. This advantage also agrees with Hung et al. [31] which have found that curcuma nanostarch can enhanced the starch solubility in hot water and cold water which is vital in producing good functional foods. Besides that, the dispersibility and thermal stability of the material has also shown to be improved by incorporating nanostarch-based carrier for loading bioactive compound in drugs delivery system [30, 36, 37, 39]. On top of that, Agi et al. [38] also proved that cassava nanostarch can potentially improve the oil recovery in EOR phase by generating higher viscosity during polymer flooding and eventually reduced the water and oil mobility ratio.

#### Preparation of Nanostarch

Starch can be chemically or physically altered to enhance or minimize unwanted features or to add new functional properties [27, 42]. A different approach to the modification of starch will lead to a different morphology, crystallinity, and size of the nanoparticles [32, 43, 44]. For example, media milling and ultrasound are used to physically modify the properties of starch. These methods are suitable for large-scale production and can provide long-term stability to the nanostarch without the assistance of a chemical stabilizer [30, 31]. However, both methods tend to destroy the crystalline structure of the starch due to the prolonged treatment time and additional energy required [36, 37]. Nevertheless, some studies have used ultrasound in combination with chemical modifications, such as

		source and		properties			
Starch	Particle size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)	Relative crystallinity (%)	Water absorption capacity	Application	Remarks	References
Quinoa	166.25	I	I	1	Drugs delivery	High storage stability	[30]
Curcuma	194-455	I	I	I	Functional foods	Increased solubility in cold water and hot water	[31]
Kidney bean	257.7	I	I	54.76%	Food packaging	Enhanced solubility and swelling power higher	[32]
Taro	130–360	I	I	14.03 g/g	Foods	Nanoparticles resulted in softer gel which suitable in food formulation	[33]
Corn	40-60	I	43	9–13%	Nanofiller, encapsulation, bio- sensitive packaging	Crystallinity loss as acid concentration increased	[34]
Breadfruit	145	-17	I	1	Food formulations, binders	Viscosity lower than native starch, loss of crystallinity	[35]
Broken-rice	100-800	I	I	60–92%	Functional foods, pharmaceutical, cosmetics	Improved stability and dispersibility	[36]
Horse chestnut	420.33	25.38	1.33	2.05-2.83 g/g	Food and drug formulations	Better thermal stability, increased vis-	[37]
Water chestnut	535.21	41.29	5.56			cosity, good antioxidant properties	
Lotus stem	606.31	15.3	14.46				
Cassava	538.2	24.1	23	I	EOR	Increased crystallinity and viscosity, exhibit shear thinning behaviour	[38]
Potato	42	I	I	I	Food packaging, drugs delivery, barrier coating	Increased shelf-life and thermal properties	[39]
Water chestnut	396	I	I	1	Packaging material	Incorporate starch nanoparticles can increase thickness and burst strength for films development	[40]
Corn	36–68	I	8	I	Food packaging, drugs delivery	Thermal stability reduced	[41]
Cassava	35–65		0				
Yam	8–32		6				

nanoprecipitation to (i) reduce the viscosity of the starch paste, thereby leading to a smaller particle size, and (ii) reduce the amount of precipitant [38, 39]. Besides that, enzymatic and acid hydrolysis are other examples of chemical modifications to native starch. These methods work similarly by introducing an enzyme or acid into the starch granules to cleave the glycosidic bonds [33–35]. The major drawback of enzymatic hydrolysis is that enzymes are highly selective, and can act only on specific chemical bonds [45]. Moreover, the rate of hydrolysis is also much slower than acid hydrolysis [46].

Acid hydrolysis is the preferred method for the preparation of nanostarch as it produces nanoparticles with a relatively higher crystallinity and stability compared to other methods [47]. Moreover, it is able to generate homogenous nanoparticles with the smallest size (5-7 nm) [48, 49]. In acid hydrolysis, starch is treated with an aqueous acid suspension at a temperature below its gelatinization point [24]. The hydroxonium ions will attack and dissociate the oxygen in the glycosidic bonds, thereby causing the links to break, and increasing the quantity of short linear chains, as shown in Fig. 4. The reaction occurs in two different phases, i.e., fast hydrolysis and slow hydrolysis. Fast hydrolysis involves the disruption of the starch granules in the amorphous region, resulting in the formation of small-sized nanoparticles, whereas in slow hydrolysis, the starch granules in the crystalline region are disrupted, leading to the formation of larger-sized nanoparticles [49, 50].

#### **Factors Affecting Acid Hydrolysis**

Several factors may affect the acid hydrolysis process and eventually lead to the formation of nanostarches with different physicochemical, technical, and mechanical properties. The acids, concentration of acid, reaction time, and reaction temperature are factors that significantly affect the process [51–54]. Moreover, factors, such as the source of starch and concentration of the starch, also have a stimulating effect on the disruption of the starch by acid hydrolysis [55]. Therefore, for the first time, this article provides a short concise review of the above-mentioned factors that influence the acid hydrolysis process.

#### Acid

During the hydrolysis process, the acid hydrolyses the amorphous starch granules on the surface before entering the densely packed crystalline inner region of the starch. Generally, the acid provides the hydrogen ions that react with the oxygen at the glycosidic bonds of the starch, and eventually, the links are hydrolysed [56]. Technically, hydrochloric acid (HCl) and sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) are the two most commonly used inorganic acids in the hydrolysis process [22, 49, 57].

For instance, Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] conducted studies on acid hydrolysis using HCl and  $H_2SO_4$  to produce banana and tapioca nanostarch. It was revealed that the use of  $H_2SO_4$  was more effective in the hydrolysis of both nanostarches compared to HCl. Moreover, the highest crystallinities of about 47.13% and 60.06% were recorded for the  $H_2SO_4$ -hydrolysed banana and tapioca nanostarches, respectively. This was in agreement with the studies by Le Corre et al. [59] and Jiang et al. [60], who used  $H_2SO_4$  on waxy maize starch for the isolation of nanostarch, and found a convincing increase in crystallinity (45-55%) and a stable nanostarch suspension ranging from -56 to -6.26 mV, respectively.

Additionally, Le Corre and Angellier-Coussy [49] conducted extensive studies on the influence of  $H_2SO_4$  and HCl acid on hydrolysis process, where they obtained a lower yield



Fig. 4 Mechanism of acid hydrolysis on starch

of recovery with  $H_2SO_4$  compared to HCl for the production of nanostarch. However, they convinced that the final suspensions were more stable with  $H_2SO_4$  due to the presence of sulfate groups at the surface, as shown in Fig. 5. Unfortunately, even though the sulfate groups on the surface of the nanostarch aided in the dispersion and stability of the nanostarch in an aqueous solution, they also reduced the thermal stability of the nanostarch [61]. To address this issue, Yang et al. [62] suggested that 0.5 wt.% of ammonia be added to the  $H_2SO_4$  nanostarch suspension prior to the subsequent centrifugation to improve the thermal stability of the nanostarch and make it suitable for chemical alterations.

Basically, a critical element in the preparation of nanostarch is to avoid destroying the crystalline structure of the starch granules while removing the entire amorphous portion on the surface of the granules. HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> are categorized as strong acids, and therefore, they have a strong dissociation constant (HCl  $1.3 \times 10^6$ , H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  $1.0 \times 10^3$ ), which can lead to excessive hydrolysis of the glycosidic bonds, including in the densely packed crystalline region of the starch granules [22, 49, 57]. This will cause the starch to lose its crystallinity as well as reduce the recovery yield of the nanostarch. Furthermore, Dufresne [63] also highlighted that nanostarches obtained by HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> have a significant tendency to agglomerate, especially in dry powder form, thereby limiting their actual commercial applications.

Recently, organic acids have gradually attract more attention because of several advantages such as low corrosion of equipment, fully recyclable, environmental friendly, and introduction of functional groups which provided more stability in the starch suspension [38, 64]. For example, Agi et al. [38] used ascorbic acid from natural sources to isolate crystallized nanostarch from native cassava. When cassava is treated with ascorbic acid, a corresponding ester emerges on the surface of the nanostarch, and the remaining valences dissociate, resulting in electrostatic repulsions which contribute to their stability in water. This is due to the presence of randomly dispersed negatively charged ester groups on the surface of the nanostarch that enhance the zeta potential value as the hydrolysis time is prolonged [65]. Besides that, citric acid and stearic acid are also suitable to chemically modify the starch granules as they are obtained from natural sources and safe to be utilized especially in foods product. For example, Singh et al. [66] treated Moth bean (Vigna aconitifolia) using a combination of citric acid and stearic acid to produce resistance starch with higher crystallinity and solubility. Similar study was conducted by Cuthbert et al. [67] using stearic acid in isolation of tef and maize starch. They reported that the relative crystallinity for both nanostarches increased with time but no significance difference observed in its thermal stability. Organic acid also possesses appealing



Fig. 5 Comparison between nanostarch prepared with (a)  $H_2SO_4$  hydrolysis and (b) HCl hydrolysis [49]. Reproduced with permission from Elsevier

practical and chemical characteristics, such as recoverability and ease of handling and storage. Besides, it is highly selective and effective in the industrial production of modified-starch, environmentally friendly, as well as non-corrosive to the process equipment.

#### **Concentration of Acid**

Acid hydrolysis is also affected by the concentration of acid used in the preparation of nanostarch [33, 51, 52, 55, 58]. A higher acid concentration means a higher number of hydrogen ions will be released into the suspension, leading to more cleavage of glycosidic bonds in both the amorphous and crystalline regions of the starch [32, 36, 68]. Numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of various concentrations of acid on the preparation of nanostarch. For example, Jiang et al. [69] examined the properties of waxy nanostarch prepared in different concentrations (0.25 M, 0.5 M, 1.0 M, 1.5 M) of HCl. It was found that the nanostarch prepared with 1.5 M of HCl exhibited the smallest particle size  $(3.59 \times 10^3 \text{ nm})$ , whereas the one that was prepared with 0.25 M of HCl showed the largest particle size  $(2.85 \times 10^4 \text{ nm})$ . This was supported by Md Shahrodin et al. [70], who confirmed that a higher acid concentration (3.4 M of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) gave the smallest particle size (5 nm), whereas a low acid concentration (2.8 M of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) resulted in a larger particle size (20 nm). In addition, the results postulated that the crystallinity of the produced nanostarch initially increased from 24.48 to 25.96% before decreasing to 25.20% as the HCl concentration increased [69]. This pattern was due to the initial damage to the crystalline area after the amorphous region had been completely hydrolysed [42]. Additionally, Md Shahrodin et al. [70] also observed a trend of increase in the percentage of the recovery yield of cassava nanostarch as the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> increased. The highest yield reported was 1.1%, which was produced from 3.4 M of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, whereas a concentration of 2.8 M of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> gave the lowest yield of 0.54%. However, Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] revealed a contrasting trend with regard to the effect of the acid concentration on the percentage yield of the nanostarch. They found that the nanostarch prepared with a higher acid concentration (4.5 M of  $H_2SO_4$ ) gave a lower percentage yield. They explained that the corrosion and degradation that occurred at higher acid concentrations caused more cleavage of the starch chains, leading to the loss of the recovery yield.

Low recovery yield has been the ultimate drawback of using  $H_2SO_4$  hydrolysis not only in the preparation of nanostarch, but also in the preparation of nanocellulose. Hamad and Hu [71] obtained low nanocellulose yields of around 35% since they could not reconcile the rapid change in nanocellulose yield at acid concentrations ranging from 56 wt.% to 58 wt.%. Whereas, Chen et al. [72] investigated the yield pattern of nanocellulose from bleached eucalyptus kraft dry lap pulp by varying the  $H_2SO_4$  concentration between 50 and 64 wt.%. They noticed that 58 wt.% of  $H_2SO_4$  is the sharp transition point where nanocellulose gives the highest yield (75.6%) with particle diameter of 204.6 nm, at a reaction temperature of 56 °C within 210 min. Subsequently, the residue yield of nanocellulose was rapidly decreased as the  $H_2SO_4$  concentration increases beyond 58 wt.%.

#### **Reaction Time**

Another factor that has a significant influence on the process of acid hydrolysis is the reaction time [58, 60]. For instance, studies from Cuthbert et al. [67] that used stearic acid for tef and maize nanostarch isolation have reported a reduction in the percentage yield for both starch as the hydrolysis time increased. The percentage yield of tef and maize nanostarch reduced from 75.4 to 30.2% and 74.4 to 29.8%, respectively after 10 min of reaction time. However, the particle size for both nanostarches was found to increase as the hydrolysis time increased. The relative crystallinity of the tef and maize nanostarch increased significantly with hydrolysis time from 18.3 to 53.7% and 26.7 to 53.3% after 10 min, respectively.

Chen et al. [72] discovered that there was a reduction in the particle size and percentage of recovery yield of nanocellulose with a prolonged hydrolysis time. The particle diameter and recovery yield were also found to be reduced from 147.6 to 130.5 nm and 51.1 to 28.0%, respectively after an extension of 90 min of hydrolysis time. Besides that, Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] noticed an increase in the percentage of crystallinity and yield of banana nanostarch, before gradually decreasing after a certain critical time. It was found that the hydrolysis of 3.5 M of  $H_2SO_4$  on banana starch resulted in a remarkable improvement in the percentage of crystallinity from 18.95% at 3 h to 47.13% at 7 h, before reducing to 18.16% at 15 h. A similar trend was also shown in the percentage of yield, which initially increased from 52.97% at 3 h to 67.24% at 7 h, before reducing to 26.97% at 15 h. However, the size of the nanostarch particles was observed to decrease linearly from 3 h to 7 h. Initially, the native banana starch granules  $(2.9 \times 10^4 \text{ nm})$  experienced a rapid hydrolysis reaction before entering into a slow rate of hydrolysis to produce nanostarch granules of between 30 and 70 nm after 7 h of hydrolysis with 3.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 40 °C. Meanwhile, the hydrolysis of 3.0 M HCl on banana starch resulted in a significance reduction in the percentage of crystallinity and yield upon reaching 7 h of reaction time. The highest percentage of crystallinity reported was 40.15% with 83.02% of percentage yield at 5 h of reaction time.

In another example, Luzi et al. [73] studied the acid hydrolysis reaction time for the extraction of *Posidonia Oceanica* nanocellulose using a concentration of 64 wt.% of  $H_2SO_4$ . The results showed that the nanocellulose prepared within 60 min had a smaller particle size (5–10 nm) than the nanocellulose prepared within 30 min (15–20 nm). They also revealed that the percentage of recovery yield increased from 8 to 15% with an additional 30 min of reaction time.

#### **Reaction Temperature**

Temperature is one of the most vital factors that affects the process of acid hydrolysis, particularly for polysaccharides [29, 53]. Generally, acid hydrolysis is carried out at a temperature below the gelatinization point of polysaccharides to avoid the (i) disruption of the crystalline structure of the starch, and (ii) reduction of the recovery yield [61]. There are several works that investigated the effects of reaction temperature on the acid hydrolysis of polysaccharides. For example, a study by Kapdan et al. [74] reported that there was an increase in the total sugar yield from 17.4 to 20.3 g/dm<sup>3</sup> when the reaction temperature was increased from 90 to 130 °C, respectively at a constant hydrolysis time of 30 min in a dilute H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> condition. However, the opposite trend was discovered in a study by Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] on the acid hydrolysis (HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) of banana and tapioca starch. They concluded that a lower reaction temperature (40 °C) was more suitable than a higher reaction temperature (50 °C and 60 °C) for the highest crystallinity and yield of nanostarch. This is because the heat generated at 50 °C and 60 °C can cause the starch granules to corrode and disintegrate, resulting in a decrease in the degree of crystallinity and percentage of recovery yield. The crystallinity of the starch may be disrupted when the processing

temperature exceeds the starch gelatinization point, which will cause the starch to swell up, thereby reducing the percentage of recovery yield [61].

Meanwhile, Kanchanalai et al. [75] found that the concentration of xylose increased slowly (within 7 h) when the reaction temperature used was 80 °C. However, when the reaction temperature was increased to 90 °C, the xylose concentration was found to rapidly increase in the first 60 min before reaching its maximum value of between 28 and 29 g/L. Subsequently, the xylose concentration becomes constant. A similar trend was observed by Zhang et al. [76], who illustrated that as the temperature was increased from 120 to 130 °C, the yield of XOS increased sharply from 17.76 to 29.64%, before slowly decreasing as the temperature reached 140 °C. This finding indicated that the optimum temperature for the production of XOS with a maximum yield was 130 °C.

#### Source of Starch

Acid hydrolysis is also affected by the botanical origin of the material sources [55, 76]. The properties of nanostarch depend immensely on the source and geotype of the starch, which differ mainly according to the fraction and organization of its amylose and amylopectin [57]. Native starches are differentiated according to their X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, which denote them as A-, B-, or C-type starches [77]. A-type starches are mainly associated with cereal crops like maize and wheat, which have strong diffraction peaks at around  $2\theta = 15^{\circ}$ ,  $17^{\circ}$ ,  $18^{\circ}$ , and  $23^{\circ}$ . B-type starches are often derived from plant tubers, such as potato and canna. The most substantial diffraction peak for B-type starches has been observed at  $2\theta = 17^{\circ}$ , with several other low peaks at  $2\theta = 5.6^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $22^{\circ}$ , and  $24^{\circ}$ . Meanwhile, C-type starches are crystalline hybrids of A- and B-type starches, such as pea and bean starches [32, 65, 78]. Different types of starches demonstrate different hydrolysis mechanisms. For instance, B-type starches are less vulnerable and display a higher resistance to acid degradation than A- and C-type starches. This could be seen in the work by Xia et al. [52], in which it was reported that there was a slow initial hydrolysis rate in the B-type *Fritilla ussurensis* compared to the A-type maize starch and C-type Chinese yam. After hydrolysis with 2.2 M of HCl for 32 days, the highest recovery yield was observed in the Fritilla ussurensis (50.8%), followed by the Chinese yam (40%), and maize (35.8%). In addition, starches with random-shaped granules are more susceptible to acid than starches with smooth and regular shapes. This is because the pores in the random-shaped granules create channels for the hydrogen ions to penetrate and attack the amorphous region [79].

Perez Herrera et al. [80] investigated the influence of different starch morphologies on the properties of acid-treated A-type wheat, oat, barley, and B-type potato starch. They noticed that all the types of nanostarch with comparable amylose levels exhibited significant differences in their particle size distribution, thermal stability, and rheological properties after being treated with 3.16 M of  $H_2SO_4$  at 40 °C for 6 days. The diameter of the different nanostarch particles varied significantly, with the largest diameter being recorded in wheat (70-226 nm), followed by barley (62-167 nm), whereas the oat and potato starches exhibited the smallest particle size distribution of 55–130 nm and 49–155 nm, respectively. On top of that, starches of the same type, but obtained from different sources, also have different crystallinities after acid hydrolysis. For instance, Md Shahrodin et al. [70] found that the crystallinity of cassava starch changed from C-type to B-type after litnerization. The A-type diffraction peaks, which were initially present in the native cassava, slowly disappeared, while the B-type peaks became sharper as the concentration of  $H_2SO_4$  gradually increased. However, the results were not in line with the finding by Rolland-Sabaté et al. [81], who reported that litnerization increased the crystallinity of either the acid-thinned Aor B-type starch to that of the C-type. Meanwhile, Sivamani et al. [82] hydrolysed cassava starch using HCl and  $H_2SO_4$ , and discovered no apparent change in the crystallinity of the native starch, which was initially an A-type starch. However, the intensity of the diffraction peaks of the A-type starch had become higher, indicating that the crystallinity had increased. Table 2 presents a summary of the acid hydrolysis conditions from different studies.

#### Hydrolysis Optimization Techniques

Hypothetically, each type and level of factors are equally essential and significantly affect the acid treatment process. The production of nanoparticles with desired properties requires a detailed understanding of each of the parameters as they inevitably affect the production yield. A low yield of nanoparticles significantly contributes to a rise in the manufacturing cost, and therefore, maximizing the yield (assuming identical production procedures) can result in considerable cost savings. The most promising way to improve the production yield is through process optimization [34, 80]. Several optimization techniques have been extensively utilized in the acid hydrolysis process, including a statistical design of experiment (DoE), such as the central composite design (CCD) and Box-Behnken design (BBD), artificial neural networks (ANN), conventional experimental design, and orthogonal design [53, 69, 71, 72].

For instance, Dong et al. [85] used a three-factor CCD in response surface methodology (RSM) to determine the optimum reaction conditions for the preparation of nanocellulose from wood pulp by hydrolysis with  $H_2SO_4$ . They reported a maximum predicted yield of 66–69% at the optimum process conditions of 57–58 wt.% acid concentration, 64–67 °C reaction temperature, and 134–156 min of reaction time. In these conditions, the sulfate group density was predicted to be between 241 and 265 mmol/kg. Table 3 presents a summary of the optimization techniques used in acid hydrolysis with optimum reaction conditions. Zou et al. [87] isolated nanocellulose crystals by means of hydrolysis with  $H_2SO_4$  by adapting the three-factor, three-level orthogonal design. The orthogonal test demonstrated that the optimum reaction conditions to obtain the highest yield (90.14%) were at reaction time of 108 min, reaction temperature of 43 °C, and  $H_2SO_4$  concentration of 38 wt.%. The particle diameter was found to be in nanosize which is 20–30 nm.

Additionally, Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] adapted a conventional experimental method to find the optimum conditions for the preparation of nanostarch from banana and tapioca. The measurements were carried using Duncan's multiple range tests, while the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means. The studies found that the optimum conditions to produce nanostarch were to use 3.5 M of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  at 40 °C for 7 h and 10 h for banana and tapioca starch, respectively. These conditions resulted in a yield of 67.24%, with a crystallinity of 47.13% in the banana nanostarch, while the yield for the tapioca nanostarch was about 85.66%, with a crystallinity of 60.06%.

Technically, the conventional method employed by Saeng-on and Aht-Ong [58] is called a one-factor-at-a-time method, where an experiment is carried out by manipulating one factor at a time, while keeping the others constant. Optimizing an experimental process using this method is very inefficient as it is time-consuming and can increase the overall operating costs. Despite its simplicity and ease of handling, this method can only operate at its best when a small number of variables are involved [88]. However,

Table 2 Summary of acid hydro	lysis condition from di	fferent studies						
Starting material	Acid	Acid conc.	Time	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	Particle size (nm)	Application	Reference
Cassava starch	Ascorbic acid	. 1	5 d	09	I	6.97–200	EOR	[38]
Bleached eucalyptus kraft pulp	$H_2SO_4$	64 wt. %	30 min	45	16	181 (L) 7 (W)	Ι	[78]
	Oxalic acid/H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	50/10 wt.%	1 h	80	82	618 (L) 60 (W)		
			2 h		77	302 (L) 15 (W)		
			3 h		75	278 (L) 10 (W)		
			5 h		70	211 (L) 10 (W)		
Wheat starch Waxy maize starch	$H_2SO_4$	3.16 M	7 d	40	I	30–80	Food processing	[47]
Waxy corn starch	HCI	0.25 M	3 h	50	I	$2.01 \times 10^4$	I	[69]
		0.5 M				$9.46 \times 10^{3}$		
		1.0 M				$5.63 \times 10^{3}$		
		1.5 M				$3.59 \times 10^{3}$		
Waxy rice starch	HCI	2.2 M	7 d	35	I	20-420	Resistant starch	[83]
			10 d			30-300		
Cassava peel	${ m H_2SO_4}$	3 M	5 d	37	9.8	I	I	[82]
	HCI	2.5 M	7 d		7.6			
Banana starch	HCI	3.0 M	5 h	40	83.02	30-70 (L)	Filler for bio-based materials	[58]
	${ m H_2SO_4}$	3.5 M	7 h		67.24			
Tapioca starch	HCI	3.0 M	7 h		54.43			
	$H_2SO_4$	3.5 M	10 h		85.66			

Table 2 (continued)								
Starting material	Acid	Acid conc.	Time	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	Particle size (nm)	Application	Reference
Waste xylan	Acetic acid	20 wt.%	20 min	140	45.86	I	XOS as prebiotic	[76]
		30 wt. %	30 min	120	17.76			
		30 wt. %	30 min	130	29.64			
		30 wt. %	20 min	130	32.19			
Wheat starch	$\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$	3.16 M	6 d	40	I	70-226	I	[80]
Barley starch						62-167		
Oat starch						55-130		
Potato starch						49–155		
Xylan	$\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$	10-50  wt.%	7 h	80 - 100	I	I	I	[75]
Posidonia oceanica leaves	$H_2SO_4$	64 wt.%	30 min	45	8	15-20 (W) 500-650 (L)	Nanocomposites	[73]
			60 min		15	5-10 (W) 200-450 (L)		
Waxy maize starch	$H_2SO_4$	3.16 M	5 d	40	I	80-110 (L) 30-60 (W)	Films	[09]
Cassava starch	H2SO4	2.8 M	5 d	37	0.54	5-20 (W)	1	[10]
		3.0 M			0.60			
		3.2 M			0.73			
		3.4 M			1.10			

~								
Starting material	Acid	Acid conc.	Time	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	Particle size (nm)	Application	Reference
Bleached	H2SO4	56 wt.%	90 min	70	30	281.2 (L)	Cellulose nanocomposites,	[72]
eucalyptus kraft dry lap pulp		58 wt.%	180 min	56	71.7	228.2 (L)	smart windows, LED	
4 4 4		58 wt.%	210 min	56	75.6	204.6 (L)		
		62 wt.%	105 min	40	64.8	177.1 (L)		
		62 wt.%	75 min	50	70.3	143.0 (L)		
		62 wt.%	30 min	60	71.6	189.3 (L)		
		62 wt.%	60 min	60	69.4	149.0 (L)		
		64 wt.%	15 min	45	51.1	147.6 (L)		
		64 wt.%	105 min	45	28.0	130.5 (L)		
Wheat starch	HCI	0.36 N	4 h	40	I	$2.47 \times 10^{4}$	I	[57]
		0.36 N	24 h			$2.29 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$2.45 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$2.27 \times 10^{4}$		
	H2SO4	0.36 N	4 h			$2.41 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.36 N	24 h			$2.25 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$2.54 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$2.25 \times 10^{4}$		

Table 2 (continued)								
Starting material	Acid	Acid conc.	Time	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	Particle size (nm)	Application	Reference
Potato starch	HCI	0.36 N	4 h	40	I	4.28×10 <sup>4</sup>	I	[57]
		0.36 N	24 h			$4.13 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$4.39 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$4.23 \times 10^{4}$		
	$\mathrm{H_2SO_4}$	0.36 N	4 h			$4.43 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.36 N	24 h			$4.45 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$4.45 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$4.34 \times 10^{4}$		
Pea starch	HCI	0.36 N	4 h	40	I	$2.64 \times 10^{4}$	I	[57]
		0.36 N	24 h			$2.57 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$2.63 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$2.57 \times 10^{4}$		
	${ m H_2SO_4}$	0.36 N	4 h			$2.61 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.36 N	24 h			$3 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	4 h			$2.58 \times 10^{4}$		
		0.72 N	24 h			$2.56 \times 10^{4}$		
Waxy maize starch	${ m H_2SO_4}$	3.16 M	7 d	40	5.05	200-300	I	[84]
Maize starch	HCI	2.2 M	32 d	35	35.8	$9.4 \times 10^{3}$	I	[52]
F. ussurensis					50.8	$1.76 \times 10^{4}$		
Chinese Yam					40	$1.53 \times 10^{4}$		
Pea starch	$H_2SO_4$	3.16 M	5 d	40	I	I	Nanocomposites	[62]
*L length, W width								

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$  Springer

Table 3 Optimiz	zation techniques used in acid h	ıydrolysis					
Method	Starting material	Optimum condition		Optimum response		Remarks	Reference
CCD-RSM	Pomegranate peels waste	Acid conc. (wt.%)	5	Yield (%)	12.76	Model fitted well with experimental data	[86]
		Temp. (°C)	100				
		Time (min)	30				
ANN,	Waste potatoes	Acid conc. (wt.%)	2.1	Yield (g/L)	65.8	Model fitted well with experimental data	[45]
<b>BBD-RSM</b>		u/s time (min)	10.7				
		S. cerevisiae (g/L)	19.2				
Experimental	Banana, tapioca	Acid conc. (M)	3.5	Yield (%)	67.24, 85.66	Reaction time affect the yield most	[58]
		Temp. (°C)	40				
		Time (h)	7-10				
CCD-RSM	Wood pulp	Acid conc. (wt.%)	57-58	Yield (%)	69-99	CCD minimize variables limitations	[85]
		Temp. (°C)	64-67	$SO_4^{2-}$ group den-	241-265		
		Time (min)	134-156	sity (mmol/kg)			
Orthogonal	Microcrystalline cellulose	Acid conc. (wt.%)	33	Yield (%)	90.14	Reaction time affect the yield most	[87]
		Temp. (°C)	43				
		Time (min)	108				

when a massive number of variables are involved, it will become more tedious and inefficient as numerous experiments will have to be conducted to determine the optimum point. In fact, there are chances that the optimum level will be missed out during the analysis [89].

For this reason, it is essential to use optimization tools when conducting an experimental process that consists of multi-level parameters. By implementing optimization, especially in the synthesis part, the overall operational cost can be minimized, thereby maximizing the production efficiency. Adapting an experimental design to meet the needs of a process will require a well-fitted model in relation to the experimental data obtained. As optimization can evaluate the correlation between each factor and dependent outcomes with a minimum number of experiments, it can increase productivity and efficiency, particularly on an industrial scale.

## Conclusion

The growing scientific and industrial interest in nanomaterials has led to the development of various methods for the preparation of starch-based nanoparticles. Currently, the implementation of acid-treated starch is gaining tremendous attention globally due to its unique properties and outstanding performance in many applications. The fascinating characteristics of nanostarch depend on the hydrolysis conditions, namely, the acid, concentration of acid, reaction time, reaction temperature, and source of starch. Throughout this review, it was recommended that organic acid be used instead of inorganic acids in the preparation of nanostarch because organic acid is more selective, effective, environmentally friendly, as well as non-corrosive to the process equipment. Furthermore, organic acid possesses appealing practical and chemical characteristics, such as recoverability, and ease of handling and storage. This current review also revealed that the recovery yield and particle size of the nanostarch are vital in defining the optimum value of each of the process conditions. Therefore, researchers have discovered an improved technique for the preparation of nanostarch with the desired properties and maximum yield by adapting the optimization process. The use of RSM to optimize the starch modification process is now being widely used and consolidated owing to its advantages over the traditional one-factor-ata-time optimization, such as the ability to generate large amounts of data from a small number of experiments, and the ability to evaluate the interaction effects between multiple variables on the response surface. In this current review, it is suggested that a high yield of nanostarch with the desired properties can be prepared by optimizing the acid hydrolysis conditions with a statistical experimental design and RSM.

Author Contribution Z. Harun: Conceptualization, Information and Data collection, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-original draft, A. Arsad: Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing-review & editing, Acquisition, A. L. Pang: Conceptualization, Writingreview & editing, M. A. Ahmad Zaini: Writing-review & editing, M. Abdurrahman: Writing-review, N. Awang: Writing-review & editing, R. Junin: Supervision, Acquisition, R. Mohsin: Acquisition.

**Funding** The authors would like to thank the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia-Transdisciplinary Research Grant (UTM-TDR) for supporting this research through research grant Vot. No. Q.J130000.3551.07G11.

Data Availability Not applicable.

## Declarations

Ethics Approval Not applicable.

Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

- Oroojalian, F., Charbgoo, F., Hashemi, M., Amani, A., Yazdian-Robati, R., Mokhtarzadeh, A., Ramezani, M., & Hamblin, M. R. (2020). Recent advances in nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for the kidney. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 321, 442–462.
- Sousa, F., Ferreira, D., Reis, S., & Costa, P. (2020). Current insights on antifungal therapy: Novel nanotechnology approaches for drug delivery systems and new drugs from natural sources. *Pharmaceuticals*, 13(9), 248.
- Barbieux, D., & Padula, A. D. (2018). Paths and challenges of new technologies: the case of nanotechnology-based cosmetics development in Brazil. Administrative Sciences, 8(2), 16.
- Yadwade, R., Gharpure, S., & Ankamwar, B. (2021). Nanotechnology in cosmetics pros and cons. Nano Express, 2(2), 22003.
- Asif, A. K. M. A. H., & Hasan, M. Z. (2018). Application of nanotechnology in modern textiles: A review. International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, 8(2), 227–231.
- Nguyen, D. T. C., Nguyen, T. T., Le, H. T. N., Nguyen, T. T. T., Bach, L. G., Nguyen, T. D., Vo, D. N., & Tran, T. V. (2021). The sunflower plant family for bioenergy, environmental remediation, nanotechnology, medicine, food and agriculture: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 19, 3701–3726.
- Palit, S. (2020). Recent advances in the application of nanotechnology in food industry and the vast vision for the future (pp. 1–34). Academic Press.
- Cheraghian, G., & Hendraningrat, L. (2016). A review on applications of nanotechnology in the enhanced oil recovery part B: Effects of nanoparticles on flooding. *International Nano Letters*, 6(1), 1–10.
- Gbadamosi, A. O., Junin, R., Manan, M. A., Agi, A., Oseh, J. O., & Usman, J. (2019). Effect of aluminium oxide nanoparticles on oilfield polyacrylamide: rheology, interfacial tension, wettability and oil displacement studies. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 296, 111863.
- 10. Liang, T., Hou, J., Qu, M., Wen, Y., Zhang, W., Wu, Wu, Wu, W., Zhang, Z., Yang, J., Xiao, L., & Ding, Y. (2019). *The EOR mechanism and flow behaviors of high-viscosity modified starch nanogel particles in porous media. Society of Peroleum Engineers.*
- Da Silva, N. M. C., De Lima, F. F., Fialho, R. L. L., Albuquerque, E. C. D. M. C., Velasco, J. L., & Fakhouri, F. M. (2018). *Production and characterization of starch nanoparticles*. Applications of Modified Starches.
- 12. Singh, S., & Ahmed, R. (2010). Vital role of nanopolymers in drilling and stimulations fluid applications. *Society of Peroleum Engineers*, *1*, 71–77.
- Carissimi, M., Flôres, S. H., & Rech, R. (2018). Effect of microalgae addition on active biodegradable starch film. *Algal Research*, 32, 201–209.
- 14. Tao, X., Zhan, L., Huang, Y., Li, P., Liu, B., & Chen, P. (2022). Preparation, characterization and evaluation of capsaicin-loaded indica rice starch nanoparticles. *Food Chemistry*, *386*, 132692.
- Prakashkumar, N., Sivamaruthi, B. S., Chaiyasut, C., & Suganthy, N. (2021). Decoding the neuroprotective potential of methyl gallate-loaded starch nanoparticles against beta amyloid-induced oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis: An in vitro study. *Pharmaceutics*, 13(3), 299.
- Mariadoss, A. V. A., Saravanakumar, K., Sathiyaseelan, A., Karthikkumar, V., & Wang, M. H. (2022). Smart drug delivery of p-Coumaric acid loaded aptamer conjugated starch nanoparticles for effective triple-negative breast cancer therapy. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 195, 22–29.
- Miskeen, S., An, Y. S., & Kim, J. Y. (2021). Application of starch nanoparticles as host materials for encapsulation of curcumin: Effect of citric acid modification. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 183, 1–11.

- Bernardo, C. N., Kling, I. C. S., Ferreira, W. H., Andrade, C. T., & Simao, R. A. (2022). Starch films containing starch nanoparticles as produced in a single step green route. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 177, 114481.
- Londoño-Restrepo, S. M., Rincón-Londoño, N., Contreras-Padilla, M., Millan-Malo, B. M., & Rodriguez-Garcia, M. E. (2018). Morphological, structural, thermal, compositional, vibrational, and pasting characterization of white, yellow, and purple arracacha lego-like starches and flours (arracacia xanthorrhiza). *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *113*, 1188–1197.
- Ali, J. A., Kolo, K., Manshad, A. K., & Mohammadi, A. H. (2018). Recent advances in application of nanotechnology in chemical enhanced oil recovery: Effects of nanoparticles on wettability alteration, interfacial tension reduction, and flooding. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 27(4), 1371–1383.
- Gbadamosi, A., Junin, R., Manan, M., Agi, A., & Oseh, J. (2019). In Nanotechnology application in chemical enhanced oil recovery: Current opinion and recent advances. Enhanced Oil Recovery Process - New Technologies.
- Giri, P., Tambe, C., & Narayan, R. (2018). Using reactive extrusion to manufacture greener products: From laboratory fundamentals to commercial scale (pp. 1–15). Biomass Extrusion and Reaction Technologies: Principles to Practices and Future Potential, American Chemical Society.
- Roy, K., Thory, R., Sinhmar, A., Pathera, A. K., & Nain, V. (2020). Development and characterization of nano starch-based composite films from mung bean (vigna radiata). *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 144, 242–251.
- Chavan, P., Sinhmar, A., Nehra, M., Thory, R., Pathera, A. K., Sundarraj, A. A., & Nain, V. (2021). Impact on various properties of native starch after synthesis of starch nanoparticles: A review. *Food Chemistry*, 364, 130416.
- Willfahrt, A., Steiner, E., Hötzel, J., & Crispin, X. (2019). Printable acid-modified corn starch as nontoxic, disposable hydrogel-polymer electrolyte in supercapacitors. *Applied Physics A: Materials Science and Processing*, 125(7), 1–10.
- Uthumporn, U., Shariffa, Y. N., & Karim, A. A. (2012). Hydrolysis of native and heat-treated starches at sub-gelatinization temperature using granular starch hydrolyzing enzyme. *Applied Biochemisry and Biotechnology*, 166(5), 1167–1182.
- 27. Zhu, F. (2015). Composition, structure, physicochemical properties, and modifications of cassava starch. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 122, 456–480.
- Dai, L., Zhang, J., & Cheng, F. (2019). Succeeded starch nanocrystals preparation combining heatmoisture treatment with acid hydrolysis. *Food Chemistry*, 278, 350–356.
- Matignon, A., & Tecante, A. (2017). Starch retrogradation: from starch components to cereal products. Food Hydrocolloids, 68, 43–52.
- Jiang, F., Du, C., Zhao, N., Jiang, W., Yu, X., & Du, S. K. (2022). Preparation and characterization of quinoa starch nanoparticles as quercetin carriers. *Food Chemistry*, 369, 130895.
- Van Hung, P., Duyen, T. T. M., Phi, N. T. L., & Quynh, T. N. (2022). Fabrication and functional properties of curcuma starch nanoparticles as affected by different degree of polymerization of debranched curcuma starch. *Starch-Stärke*, 74(1-2), 2100163.
- Sharma, I., Sinhmar, A., Thory, R., Sandhu, K. S., Kaur, M., Nain, V., Pathera, A. K., & Chavan, P. (2021). Synthesis and characterization of nano starch-based composite films from kidney bean (phaseolus vulgaris). *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 58(6), 2178–2185.
- 33. Das, A., & Sit, N. (2021). Modification of taro starch and starch nanoparticles by various physical methods and their characterization. *Starch-Stärke*, *73*(5-6), 2000227.
- Hakke, V. S., Sonawane, S., Manickam, S., Boufi, S., Pinjari, D. V., Babu, G. U. B., & Sonawane, S. S. (2021). Intensifying the synthesis of starch nanoparticles using ultrasound-assisted acid hydrolysis method.
- Andrade, I. H. P., Otoni, C. G., Amorim, T. S., Camilloto, G. P., & Cruz, R. S. (2020). Ultrasoundassisted extraction of starch nanoparticles from breadfruit (artocarpus altilis (parkinson) fosberg). *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 586, 124277.
- Xiao, H., Yang, F., Lin, Q., Zhang, Q., Sun, S., Han, W., & Liu, G. (2020). Preparation and characterization of broken-rice starch nanoparticles with different sizes. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 160, 437–445.
- 37. Ahmad, M., Gani, A., Hassan, I., Huang, Q., & Shabbir, H. (2020). Production and characterization of starch nanoparticles by mild alkali hydrolysis and ultra-sonication process. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1–11.
- Agi, A., Junin, R., Arsad, A., Abbas, A., Gbadamosi, A., Azli, N. B., & Oseh, J. (2020). Ultrasound-assisted weak-acid hydrolysis of crystalline starch nanoparticles for chemical enhanced oil recovery. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 148, 1251–1271.

- Shabana, S., Prasansha, R., Kalinina, I., Potoroko, I., Bagale, U., & Shirish, S. H. (2019). Ultrasound assisted acid hydrolyzed structure modification and loading of antioxidants on potato starch nanoparticles. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 51, 444–450.
- Dularia, C., Sinhmar, A., Thory, R., Pathera, A. K., & Nain, V. (2019). Development of starch nanoparticles based composite films from non-conventional source-Water chestnut (Trapa bispinosa). *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 136, 1161–1168.
- 41. Minakawa, A. F., Faria-Tischer, P. C., & Mali, S. (2019). Simple ultrasound method to obtain starch micro-and nanoparticles from cassava, corn and yam starches. *Food Chemistry*, 283, 11–18.
- Ahmad, M., Gani, A., Masoodi, F. A., & Rizvi, S. H. (2020). Influence of ball milling on the production of starch nanoparticles and its effect on structural, thermal and functional properties. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 151, 85–91.
- 43. Cui, W. (2019). Study on the influence of the application of nano-starch about the paper properties as spray-starch. *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 300(5), 052014.
- 44. Qin, Y., Wang, J., Qiu, C., Hu, Y., Xu, X., & Jin, Z. (2019). Effects of degree of polymerization on size, crystal structure, and digestibility of debranched starch nanoparticles and their enhanced antioxidant and antibacterial activities of curcumin. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 7(9), 8499–8511.
- 45. Suresh, T., Sivarajasekar, N., Balasubramani, K., Ahamad, T., Alam, M., & Naushad, M. (2020). Process intensification and comparison of bioethanol production from food industry waste (potatoes) by ultrasonic assisted acid hydrolysis and enzymatic hydrolysis: statistical modelling and optimization. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 142, 105752.
- Siacor, F. D. C., Lobarbio, C. F. Y., & Taboada, E. B. (2021). Pretreatment of mango (mangifera indica l. anacardiaceae) seed husk for bioethanol production by dilute acid treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 193(5), 1338–1350.
- Aldao, D. C., Šárka, E., Ulbrich, P., & Menšiková, E. (2018). Starch nanoparticles Two ways of their preparation. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, 36(2), 133–138.
- 48. Chaturvedi, K. R., & Sharma, T. (2020). Carbonated polymeric nanofluids for enhanced oil recovery from sandstone reservoir. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 194, 107499.
- Corre, D. L., & Angellier-Coussy, H. (2014). Preparation and application of starch nanoparticles for nanocomposites: A review. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 85, 97–120.
- Campelo, P. H., Sant'Ana, A. S., & Clerici, M. T. P. S. (2020). Starch nanoparticles: Production methods, structure, and properties for food applications. *Current Opinion in Food. Science*, 33(i), 136–140.
- Kumari, S., Yadav, B. S., & Yadav, R. B. (2020). Synthesis and modification approaches for starch nanoparticles for their emerging food industrial applications: a review. *Food Research International*, 128, 108765.
- 52. Xia, L., Wenyuan, G., Juan, W., Qianqian, J., & Luqi, H. (2010). Comparison of the morphological, crystalline, and thermal properties of different crystalline types of starches after acid hydrolysis. *Starch/Staerke*, *62*(*12*), 686–696.
- Patel, T. M., & Bhatt, N. M. (2016). Development of a mathematical model of vms for automotive structural member using FEA-RSM hybrid modeling. *Procedia Technology*, 23, 98–105.
- 54. Dai, L., Li, C., Zhang, J., & Cheng, F. (2018). Preparation and characterization of starch nanocrystals combining ball milling with acid hydrolysis. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *180*, 122–127.
- Angellier, H., Choisnard, L., Molina-Boisseau, S., Ozil, P., & Dufresne, A. (2004). Optimization of the preparation of aqueous suspensions of waxy maize starch nanocrystals using a response surface methodology. *Biomacromolecules*, 5(4), 1545–1551.
- Pratiwi, M., Faridah, D. N., & Lioe, H. N. (2018). Structural changes to starch after acid hydrolysis, debranching, autoclaving-cooling cycles, and heat moisture treatment (HMT): a review. *Starch/ Staerke*, 70(1–2), 1700028.
- 57. Ulbrich, M., Natan, C., & Flöter, E. (2014). Acid modification of wheat, potato, and pea starch applying gentle conditions Impacts on starch properties. *Standardization News*, 66(9–10), 903–913.
- Saeng-on, J., & Aht-Ong, D. (2017). Production of starch nanocrystals from agricultural materials using mild acid hydrolysis method: Optimization and characterization. *Polymers from Renewable Resources*, 8(3), 91–116.
- 59. Corre, D. L., Bras, J., & Dufresne, A. (2011). Evidence of micro- and nanoscaled particles during starch nanocrystals preparation and their isolation. *Biomacromolecules*, *12*(8), 3039–3046.
- Jiang, S., Liu, C., Han, Z., Xiong, L., & Sun, Q. (2016). Evaluation of rheological behavior of starch nanocrystals by acid hydrolysis and starch nanoparticles by self-assembly: a comparative study. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 52, 914–922.

- 61. Lin, N., Huang, J., Chang, P. R., Anderson, D. P., & Yu, J. (2011). Preparation, modification, and application of starch nanocrystals in nanomaterials: a review, *Journal of Nanomaterials*, 1–13.
- Yang, Z., Peng, H., Wang, W., & Liu, T. (2010). Crystallization behavior of poly(ε-caprolactone)/ layered double hydroxide nanocomposites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 116(5), 2658–2667.
- Dufresne, A. (2008). In Monomers, Polymers and Composites from Renewable Resources (chap. 19 ed.pp. 401–418). Cellulose-based composites and nanocomposites.
- Lu, H., & Tian, Y. (2021). Nanostarch: preparation, modification, and application in pickering emulsions. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 69(25), 6929–6942.
- Huang, P., Wu, M., Kuga, S., Wang, D., Wu, D., & Huang, Y. (2012). One-step dispersion of cellulose nanofibers by mechanochemical esterification in an organic solvent. *ChemSusChem*, 5(12), 2319–2322.
- Singh, H., Punia, R., Ganesh, A., Duttagupta, A., Kaur, A., & Blennow, A. (2019). Modification of moth bean starch using mixture of organic acids under dry heating. *Starch-Stärke*, 71(9-10), 1900061.
- Cuthbert, W. O., Ray, S. S., & Emmambux, N. M. (2017). Isolation and characterisation of nanoparticles from tef and maize starch modified with stearic acid. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 168, 86–93.
- Abbas, K. A., Khalil, S. K., & Meor Hussin, A. S. (2010). Modified starches and their usages in selected food products: A review study. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2(2), 90.
- Jiang, M., Hong, Y., Gu, Z., Cheng, L., Li, Z., & Li, C. (2018). Effects of acid hydrolysis intensity on the properties of starch/xanthan mixtures. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 106, 320–329.
- Md Shahrodin, N. S., Rahmat, A. R., & Arsad, A. (2015). Synthesis and characterization of cassava starch nanocrystals by hydrolysis method. *Advanced Materials Research*, 1113, 446–452.
- Hamad, W. Y., & Hu, T. Q. (2010). Structure-process-yield interrelations in nanocrystalline cellulose extraction. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 88(3), 392–402.
- Chen, L., Wang, Q., Hirth, K., Baez, C., Agarwal, U. P., & Zhu, J. Y. (2015). Tailoring the yield and characteristics of wood cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) using concentrated acid hydrolysis. *Cellulose*, 22(3), 1753–1762.
- Luzi, F., Fortunati, E., Puglia, D., Petrucci, R., Kenny, J. M., & Torre, L. (2016). Modulation of acid hydrolysis reaction time for the extraction of cellulose nanocrystals from posidonia oceanica leaves. *Journal of Renewable Materials*, 4(3), 190–198.
- Kapdan, I. K., Kargi, F., & Oztekin, R. (2011). Effects of operating parameters on acid hydrolysis of ground wheat starch: maximization of the sugar yield by statistical experiment design. *Starch/ Staerke*, 63(5), 311–318.
- Kanchanalai, P., Temani, G., Kawajiri, Y., & Realff, M. J. (2016). Reaction kinetics of concentrated-acid hydrolysis for cellulose and hemicellulose and effect of crystallinity. *BioResources*, 11(1), 1672–1689.
- Zhang, H., Zhou, X., Xu, Y., & Yu, S. (2017). Production of xylooligosaccharides from waste xylan, obtained from viscose fiber processing, by selective hydrolysis using concentrated acetic acid. *Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology*, 37(1), 1–9.
- 77. Cheetham, N. W. H., & Tao, L. (1998). Variation in crystalline type with amylose content in maize starch granules: An x-ray powder diffraction study. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *36*(*4*), 277–284.
- Xie, H., Zou, Z., Du, H., Zhang, X., Wang, X., Yang, X., Wang, H., Li, G., Li, L., & Si, C. (2019). Preparation of thermally stable and surface-functionalized cellulose nanocrystals via mixed H2SO4/oxalic acid hydrolysis. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 223, 115116.
- Franco, C. M., Cabral, R. A., & Tavares, D. Q. (2002). Structural and physicochemical characteristics of lintnerized native and sour cassava starches. *Starch-stärke*, 54(10), 469–475.
- Herrera, M. P., Vasanthan, T., & Chen, L. (2017). Rheology of starch nanoparticles as influenced by particle size, concentration and temperature. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 66, 237–245.
- Rolland-Sabaté, A., Sanchez, T., Buléon, A., Colonna, P., Ceballos, H., Zhao, S., Zhang, P., & Dufour, D. (2013). Molecular and supra-molecular structure of waxy starches developed from cassava (manihot esculenta crantz). *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 92(2), 1451–1462.
- Sivamani, S., Archana, K., Sivarajasekar, N., & Prasad, N. (2018). Synthesis and characterization of starch nanoparticles from cassava Peel. *Journal of Bioresources and Bioproducts*, 3(4), 161–165.
- Jeong, O., & Shin, M. (2018). Preparation and stability of resistant starch nanoparticles, using acid hydrolysis and cross-linking of waxy rice starch. *Food Chemistry*, 256, 77–84.
- Kim, H. Y., Han, J. A., Kweon, D. K., Park, J. D., & Lim, S. T. (2013). Effect of ultrasonic treatments on nanoparticle preparation of acid-hydrolyzed waxy maize starch. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 93(2), 582–588.

- Dong, S., Bortner, M. J., & Roman, M. (2016). Analysis of the sulfuric acid hydrolysis of wood pulp for cellulose nanocrystal production: a central composite design study. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 93, 76–87.
- Saleem, A., Hussain, A., Chaudhary, A., Ahmad, Q., Iqtedar, M., Javid, A., & Akram, A. M. (2020). Acid hydrolysis optimization of pomegranate peels waste using response surface methodology for ethanol production. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 1–12.
- 87. Zou, P., Zhang, P., Gao, D., & Xia, Q. (2012). Process' optimization of nanocrystalline cellulose and its properties. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 200, 373–376.
- Mohamad, S. F. S., Said, F. M., Munaim, M. S. A., Mohamad, S., & Sulaiman, W. M. A. W. (2020). Application of experimental designs and response surface methods in screening and optimization of reverse micellar extraction. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 40(3), 341–356.
- Fischer, R., Vasilev, N., Twyman, R. M., & Schillberg, S. (2015). High-value products from plants: the challenges of process optimization. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 32, 156–162.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.