

THE 1<sup>ST</sup>  
**ICEELS**  
2019

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON EDUCATION  
LANGUAGE AND SOCIETY

LANGUAGE EDUCATION  
POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
UNIVERSITAS HINDIA RAKYAT



Pascasarjana  
UNIVERSITAS HINDIA RAKYAT

# Proceedings 2019

Editor:  
**Endry Boerlswati**



6-7 August 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia



**THE 1<sup>ST</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON  
EDUCATION, LANGUAGE, AND SOCIETY (ICELS)  
2019**

Reinforcing Education, Language, and Socio-Culture Through Creating  
Qualified Human Resources in The Industrial Revolution 4.0

**LANGUAGE EDUCATION OF POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI JAKARTA  
2019**

# The 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Education, Language, and Society 2019

Reinforcing Education, Language, and Socio-Culture Through Creating Qualified Human Resources in The Industrial Revolution 4.0

---

All Rights Reserved  
Copyright @ ICELS 2019

ISBN: 978-623-91052-6-6

**Editors:**

Prof. Dr. Endry Boeriswati, M.Pd.  
Dr. Ninuk Lustyantie, M.Pd.  
Dr. Miftahulhairah Anwar, M.Pd.  
Fernandes Arung  
Asep Supriyana  
Otto Fajarianto

**Published by:**

Language Education of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta  
Jalan Rawamangun Muka, Jakarta



## FOREWORDS

Higher education is one of the most developed innovative and responsive reference organizations in the development of science and technology. Adaptation to the development of science and technology takes a very important role. If we do not want to be backed up by technological progress, human resource competencies must also changed. The way the organization works must also adjusted.

Higher education must become an innovative-disruptive motor that can change the mindset, the workings of organizations, productivity, discipline, innovation, progressive, open to change, and aggressive in making breakthroughs. One way is to create a curriculum and learning methods that are flexible and contextual. Higher education must be able to become a channel of thoughts through research and various innovations.

New ways must be developed. The desire of students and lecturers to innovate must be grown. Agenda for change always adjusts the times and equipping students with various skills must be considered so that they are able to survive. The briefing included mastery of data and technology, humanities knowledge, leadership skills, and entrepreneurship. In addition, the world of education and the world of industry must work together to develop the industrial transformation strategy. One of them is to consider the development of the employment sector because industrial transformation will succeed with competent workforce.

Departing from this background, we as academics at the Universitas Negeri Jakarta held an international seminar because we realized the importance of Higher Education as a formal educational institution that is expected to produce competent human resources who are ready to face the growing business and industry along with technological advancements.

To discuss these issues, we facilitate experts, academics, and practitioners to inform scientific ideas related to these issues in the event of an International Conference on Education, Language, and Society (ICELS) with the theme "Reinforcing Education, Language, and Socio-culture through Creating Qualified Human Resources in the Industrial Revolution 4.0" which was held on August 6, 2019 at the Language Education of Postgraduate school, Universitas Negeri Jakarta.

We document all the ideas put forward in this conference in the form of this anthology as the internal publication. Meanwhile, we will publish a complete collection of papers in the International Proceedings at SciTepress

Publisher which is currently in the editorial process. The proceeding itself will be available online in December 2019 and after that, the proceeding will be indexed by Scopus.

Jakarta, 14 August 2019

Editors

# The 1<sup>ST</sup> ICELS 2019 Universitas Negeri Jakarta

## Speakers

1. **Assoc. Prof. Komarudin**, *Rector of the Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia.*
2. **Prof. Nadiroh**, *Director of the Postgraduate School, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia.*
3. **Prof. Arif Satria**, *Institut Pertanian Bogor*
4. **Prof. Ilza Mayuni**, *Universitas Negeri Jakarta*
5. **Prof. Hafid Abbas**, *Senate Chairperson of the Universitas Negeri Jakarta*
6. **Prof. I Made Putrawan**, *Universitas Negeri Jakarta*
7. **Prof. Hyle Coleman**, *Leads University, Inggris.*
8. **Assoc. Prof. Yusri Kamin**, *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia*
9. **Assoc. Prof. Yinghuei Chen**, *Asia University, Taiwan*
10. **Assoc. Prof. Shimasaki Kaori**, *Tohoku University, Japan*
11. **Bert J. Tuga, Ph.D**, *President of Philippine Normal University*

## Reviewers

1. **Professor Newton Lee**, *Institute for Education, Research, and Scholarships, USA*
2. **Dr. Justin L. Wejak**, *The University of Melbourne, Australia*
3. **Dr. Alexander Obskov**, *National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Russia.*
4. **Assoc. Prof. Mohamed Mohamed**, *International Islamic University, Malaysia*
5. **Lois Letchford**, *Australian College of Education, Australia*
6. **Dr. Patricia Flanigan**, *Boise State University, USA*
7. **Prof. A. Rasyid Jamian**, *Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia*
8. **Dr. Zaliza Mohamad Nasir**, *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia*
9. **Prof. Nancy K. Florida**, *University of Michigan, USA*
10. **Assoc. Prof. Salah Mohammed Salih, Ph.D**, *Koya University, Iraq*
11. **Dr. Mohammad Ali Nasrollahi**, *Technical and Vocational University, Iran*
12. **Dr. Razie Alishvandi**, *University of Arak, Iran*
13. **Fatemeh Shoaie, Ph.D**, *University of Tehran, Iran*
14. **Farhana Qazi**, *The George Washington University, USA*
15. **Dr. Emmanuel R. Goffi**, *Institut Français des Études Académiques, France*
16. **Assoc. Professor Seyed Ayat Hosseini**, *University of Tehran, Iran*
17. **Assoc. Professor Ankita Khanna**, *P P Savani University, India*
18. **Vicente Chua Reyes, Ph.D**, *University of Nottingham, UK*
19. **Dr. Mehrdad Rezaee**, *Azad University, Iran*
20. **Solanki Milind Kantilal, Ph.D**, *KSKV Kachchh University, India*
21. **Assoc. Prof. Yasaman Asadi, Ph.D**, *Islamic Azad University of Sari, Iran*
22. **Dr. Lisa Cattaneo**, *Vatican Museum Guide and Archivist-Researcher, Italy*

# Committee

## Director/Chief of ICELS



Prof. Dr. Endry Boeriswati, M.Pd.

*Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta*

## Conference Managers



Dr. Fernandes Arung, M.Pd.

*Universitas Sulawesi Tenggara, Kendari*



Diat Nurhidayat, M.T.I

*Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta*





Dr. Syarfuni, M.Pd.

*STKIP Getsempena, Aceh*

### **Payment Division**



Dr. M. Nur Hakim, M.Pd.

*Universitas Cokroaminoto, Palopo*



Dr. Fatmawati, M.Pd.

*Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru*

### **Administration Division**



Ria Saraswati, M.Hum.

*Universitas Indraprasta PGRI, Jakarta*



Ni Wayan Ayu Permata Sari, M.Pd.

*Universitas Indraprasta PGRI, Jakarta*



Vera Yulianti, MA.

*Universitas Al Azhar Indonesia, DKI Jakarta*



Dr. Maulizan ZA, M.Pd.

*STKIP Getsempena, Aceh*

**Exchequer Division**



Dr. Fathiaty Murtadho, M.Pd.

*Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta*



Syarifah Rafiqah, M.Pd.

*Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta*

## CONTENTS

### FOREWORDS CONTENTS

Model of Arabic Syntax Teaching Materials With An Online Games Based: An Ethnolinguistics Approache

*Aceng Rahmat*

The Effectiveness Of In-On Service Training in Improving Teacher Competency in Banten Province

*Ade Ahmad Kosasih, Bedjo Sujanto, Wibowo*

Students' Strategies in Transating English Text into Bahasa Indonesia

*Ade Ismail, Ninuk Lustyantie., Emzir*

Teaching Factory Model for Productive Teacher Competence in Planning and Installing Digital Audio Systems in The Disruption and Revolution Industry 4.0 Era: A Research and Development

*Ade Tutty Rokhayati Rosa*

The Influence of Behavior, Personality, and Cultural Values on Green Hospital Performance Model

*Agus Joko Susanto, Amos Neolaka., Hafid Abbas*

Syntactic Deviations in The Novels Published in 2000

*Agus Saripudin, Mulyadi Eko Purnomo, Didi Suhendi, Suhardi Mukmin*

Women's Experiences In *Kompas* Selected Short Stories: Transformative Ecofeminism Review

*Aji Septiaji, Zuriyati, Aceng Rahmat*

Evaluation of The Implementation of Class-Based Strengthening Character Education Program Using Cipp Model in Elementary Schools

*Andayani, Makruf Akbar, Robinson Situmorang*

Acquisition Of Indonesian Language Vocabulary Child Of 2.5 Years

*Andestend*

History of Indonesia's War Independence in Novel Larasati By Pramoedya Ananta Toer: New Historicism Approach

*Andri Wicaksono, Emzir, Zainal Rafla*

Eight Year Old Arabic Grammatical Acquisition: A Longitudinal Study Using Mlu's Methode

*Ari Khairurrijal Fahmi, Puti Zulharby*

Developing Teaching Materials of Listening Test Book Based on Palembang Local Cultures

*Armilia Sari*

Development of Pictorial Anecdotal Text Materials as An Alternative Language Learning

*Asnawi, Sri Wahyuni, And Oki Rasdana*

A Classic Texts in Tembang Macapat A Legacy, Identity, Social Control, Radicalism, Corruption in Social Community

*Asri Sundari*

Communication Strategies Employed by Teachers *Of Students With Autism*

*Astri Widyaruli Anggraeni, Ecky Lutfikayanti*

Combined Affixed Vocabulary in The Text Book of Indonesian Language in Junior High School

*Atikah Solihah, Yumna Rasyid, Siti Gommo Attas*

Emotional Languages by The President Candidates in Indonesian Online News Texts: Appraisal Analysis in The Protagonism Perspective

*Benedictus Sudyana, Emzir Emzir And Sabarti Akhadiah*

The Effect of Transformational Leadership, Organizational Culture, and Innovation on Lecturers Performance at Esa Unggul University, 2019

*Budi Tjahjono, Mukhneri Muhtar, Thamrin Abdullah*

Combined Techniques for Increasing English Speaking Skill Through Think-Pair-Share and Role-Play

*Budiarto, Yumna Rasyid, Ninuk Lustyantie*

Enhancement of Translating Arabic Text to Indonesian Through Collaborative Learning Approach: Action Research in The Department of Arabic Language Education, Faculty of Language and Art, Jakarta State University

*Chakam Failasuf, Yumna Rasyid, Emzir*

Creating Linguistic Environment in Japanese Speaking Classroom

*Cut Erra Rismorlita, Emzir, Yumna Rasyid*

# The Development of Pictorial Anecdotal Text Materials as an Alternative Language Learning

Asnawi<sup>1</sup>, Sri Wahyuni<sup>2</sup>, Ida Zulaeha<sup>3</sup>, and Oki Rasdana<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Postgraduate Student, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

**Keywords:** Learning Material, Anecdote Text, Pictorial

**Abstract:** This research was based on literacy recommendations in schools by the ministry as well as the attachment to the Minister of National Education Regulation Number 16 of 2007 concerning Academic and Competency Qualification Standards regarding expectations about the writing of teaching materials. In this regard, this study aims to produce pictorial anecdotal text material. Furthermore, *Research and Development* was used as a research method. To collect data, researchers used several instruments such as observation, interviews, and documentation. Besides that, quantitative and qualitative approaches were employed to analyze the data. In the development process, several stages were conducted, namely identifying learning objectives, analyzing learning, analyzing learners and contexts, formulating performance goals or specific learning objectives, developing assessment instruments, developing learning strategies, developing and selecting materials for learning, designing and implementing formative evaluations, learning revisions, designing and carrying out summative evaluations. Then, the feasibility test of media experts and material was carried out on the learning material developed. Based on these data appropriate teaching materials are used with categories *excellent* as a learning material in anecdotal text. In other words, the results of this study in the form of illustrated anecdotal text materials can be used the learning of anecdotal texts.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Learning development has an important role in achieving learning objectives. Therefore, it is necessary to develop through various models (Nasrullah, Marlina, & Dwiyanti, 2018). To achieve the learning objectives of students need to be given a variety of ideas and innovation skills contained in the learning model (Chootongchai & Songkram, 2018). This condition means that traditional methods have not been able to meet the needs of students in various ways (Zhang, 2018). Thus, teachers need to develop models or teaching materials used in learning.

One of the things that is important and very supportive for learning both from the perspective of the teachers and students is learning material (Wahyuni, 2018). Interesting and simple learning materials is the key to ease in learning (Pardo, Fernanda, & Téllez, 2009). Regarding to the needs, the researchers consider it as

an innovation to create interesting and up-to-date learning materials to help and facilitate learning. Learning materials should be developed by the teacher because the teacher is very aware of the learning conditions in each class he teaches (Asnawi, 2016). Besides, each class has a unique condition to adjust. It is necessary to develop learning materials that are suitable for the learning conditions in the class. The learning materials provided by the government do not necessarily correspond to the classroom conditions in the regions (Eriyani, 2018). Therefore, the researchers think that it is necessary to develop learning materials to facilitate learning as an alternative to support the learning process. There are a number of reasons why teachers need to develop learning materials. Based on the attachment to Permendiknas Number 16 of 2007 concerning Academic and Competency Qualifications Standards, teachers as professional educators are expected to possess the ability to develop teaching materials in accordance with existing mechanisms

by noting the characteristics and social environment of students. In line with that, Thamrin(2014) revealed that there were three reasons that were taken into consideration in developing learning materials. The first one is, the availability of teaching materials that fit the demands of the curriculum. The second one is, the availability of teaching materials in accordance with the characteristics of the students. The third one is, the availability of teaching materials in accordance with the demands of problem solving learning.

Further, the development of learning materials must be based on the curriculum adopted, the 2013 curriculum in this case. This is for the relevance of the teaching materials to the vision and mission that have been regulated by the Ministry of Education so that the desired national achievements are realized and uniform.

One of the texts in the 2013 curriculum is anecdotal text. Laksono & Baehaqie(2015)stated that anecdotal texts have a very important role in people's lives, especially in processing ideas or ideas and training students to think critically, and express opinions politely. This certainly will provide an opportunity for students to give criticism in a language that is unique to what he feels as a student, whether it is criticism in the school environment, region or as large as the country. These skills can also be addressed directly to officials, state apparatus, society and others (Nasir, Yusuf, & Wardana, 2019). Indirectly anecdotal text can be social control. Because through this text anyone can be criticized and anyone can criticize it of course in the form of facts with polite language and contain lessons through anecdotal stories that he wrote. The anecdotal text is created as social control or a means of social criticism based on the facts of the student's observations of what happened in the community both to the country (Littlejohn, 2012). Therefore, the purpose of this research is to produce a pictorial anecdotal learning material as an alternative language learning.

## 2 OVERVIEW

Development of teaching materials is done to make learning more interesting and can stimulate students' enthusiasm in learning. With the development of teaching and learning materials can meet the demands of student needs. Each student has a different way of learning. Therefore, it is necessary to develop teaching materials that are aligned to the needs of learners. In addition, teaching materials that

are designed or developed should be adjusted to the curriculum requirements that apply in each country. Then, the suitability of instructional materials that are designed with the characteristics of students also become part of the most mainstream in developing teaching materials. In this regard Thamrin (2014) revealed that there are three reasons to be considered for the development of teaching materials, namely:

First, the availability of teaching materials that are in line with curriculum requirements. Second, the availability of teaching materials in accordance with the characteristics of students. Third, the availability of teaching materials in accordance with the demands of solving learning problems. Teaching material is an arrangement of materials that have been collected and come from various learning sources that are made systematically. Therefore, teaching materials contain certain elements. According to (Prastowo, 2012) there are six components related to the development of teaching materials.

- 1) Learning instructions, this component includes instructions for educators and students. In it explained about how educators should teach material to students and how students should also learn the material contained in these teaching materials.
- 2) Competencies to be achieved, in teaching materials should be included competency standards, basic competencies, and indicators of achievement of learning outcomes that must be mastered by students. Thus, it is clear the objectives to be achieved by students.
- 3) Supporting information, is a variety of additional information that can complement a teaching material. It is hoped that students will more easily master the knowledge they will obtain. Copy that, the knowledge obtained by students will be more comprehensive.
- 4) Exercises, is a form of assignment given to students to practice their abilities after learning teaching material. Thus, the abilities they learn will be increasingly honed and thoroughly mastered.
- 5) Work instructions or worksheets, are sheets containing a number of procedural steps on how to carry out certain activities carried out by students relating to practice or others.
- 6) Evaluation, is one part of the assessment process. Because, in the evaluation component there are a number of questions addressed to students to measure how far mastery of the competencies that they have mastered after participating in the learning process

Teaching material that the authors developed follows the theory of Gerot and Wignell. According to Gerot and Wignell (1995) anecdote text tells about unpredicted events or things, out of ordinary that is amusing which means anecdotal text tells about unexpected events, ordinary things can be funny. A complete explanation through the theory of anecdotal text structure and how identify it. In this regard there are five anecdotal text structures that are often presented in several theories of anecdotal text development, namely abstract, orientation, crisis, reaction, and coda (Gerot and Wignell in Wachidah, 2004). The same thing was conveyed by Sudarmo (2004) believing there were five structures of anecdotal texts:

- a. Abstrack (abstraction) is the beginning of an anecdote that serves to provide a small overview of the contents of the text.
- b. Orientation is the initial introduction to the background of an event that occurs
- c. Crisis (crisis) is a part that becomes a unique thing (satire or protest) in the story.
- d. reaction (reaction) is the part of the answer or response to the crisis in the form of solving the problem of the crisis
- e. coda (koda) is the end of the story as a closing or as a conclusion of what was said before

Besides having structure, anecdotal text also has a function. In general, the function of the anecdotal text is as a means of expressing expression or is usually used as an expression of protest against events that do not match between expectations and reality. Furthermore, anecdotal text also functions as a means of entertainment that plays an analogy to dance to the reader's attention. More clearly, the function (Mulyadi Y, 2013) explained that anecdotes have two functions, namely:

- a. Primary function as a means of expression related to dissatisfaction, hatred, anger and so on.
- b. Secondary function as entertainment material, as analogy or example in explaining something as attracting attention and so on

### 3 METHODOLOGY

The type of research was commonly called *Research and Development* with Dick, Carey and Carey 2009 model. In this research, the implementation was not conducted. This study is at the stage of the creation of innovative products in anecdotal text learning materials. To collect data, researchers used several instruments such as observation, interviews, and documentation. Besides that, quantitative and

qualitative approaches were employed to analyze the data. In the development process, several stages were conducted, namely identifying learning objectives, analyzing learning, analyzing learners and contexts, formulating performance goals or specific learning objectives, developing assessment instruments, developing learning strategies, developing and selecting materials for learning, designing and implementing formative evaluations, learning revisions, and designing and carrying out summative evaluations. Then, the feasibility test of media experts and material was carried out on the learning material developed.

### 4 DISCUSSION

In this research, the learning materials that are developed both from the expert's point of view and the perspective of the users of this teaching material later were only tested to its feasibility, not to the generalization of its use. The researchers only came to the feasibility of learning materials that are developed both from the expert's point of view and the perspective of the users of this teaching material later.

The researchers developed the learning material using Dick, Carey and Carey model. The results of media development through the models of Dick, Carey, & Carey (2009) from learning materials were anecdotal texts as follows: first, Identifying learning objectives.

The first step in this model is to identify the knowledge and skills that students must have at the end of learning. This is based on a list of goals, from needs assessment, from practical experience with student learning difficulties, from the analysis of people who do work, or from several other requirements for new instruction. As stated by (ZA Shaffiei, SR Hamidi, 2014) that needs analysis is done to conduct development and needs analysis can be used as an idea to design and develop content. This certainly refers to the Indonesian language curriculum that has been determined with some knowledge and skills in basic competencies. Thus it is identified that the learning objectives in this material are students can understand knowledge and are skilled in writing anecdotal texts.

The second one is performing learning analysis. This stage analyzes learning that is usually used, namely lecture and textual methods. The teacher interacts only with the text to build a connection between the concept of anecdotal text and the ability to understand students to be able to write well and



correctly. The relationship in question is actually not enough in learning. It is in line with the claim that teacher and student kinship does not have many roles in improving students' writing skills (Wahyuni, 2017). Although students have a good relationship with the teacher, it does not encourage students to write more (Othman, Daud, Zubairi, & Mohamad, 2007). Therefore, it is also necessary to add apperception, concept maps, keywords, customized texts and understanding tests in developing teaching materials as an analysis of learning needed in this context.

The third one is analyzing learners and context. The writer analyzes existing teaching materials to see all kinds of good and bad that affect attitudes and motivations so that later they can be better developed. It is important for language teachers and practitioners to have a good understanding of the attitudes and motivations of their students (Quinto, 2015; Wahyuni & Etfita, 2018). Further, the weaknesses of learning materials found as a result of the analysis of needs to be adjusted and developed based on the result of the analysis.

The following were the needs analysis that the researchers found. First, there were no keywords. Then, there was no concept map. Therefore, many students did not understand writing criticism with anecdotal concepts. Regarding the process, there was no apperception. Then, there were several texts that did not fulfill the concept of anecdotal text. Besides, students write humorous texts instead of anecdotal texts. The teacher specializes the topic of public services to students in writing anecdotal text. Additionally, there was a lack of instilling character values to students.

The fourth is, formulating performance goals or specific learning goals. This comes from the analysis that has been done before. This goal is at the core of the development of anecdotal text learning. The results of the analysis from the previous stages of the author found show that the specific objectives of this study provided pictorial teaching materials as an alternative to language learning. The pictorial teaching materials are able to provide a stimulus to the concept of anecdotal text to students.

The fifth stage is developing assessment instruments. This stage provides training as an understanding test. This is an instrument for assessing the understanding of anecdotal text teaching materials given. The author provides a comprehension test on each learning to achieve each predetermined learning goal. Comprehension test adjusted to the material given previously consisted of five essay questions. This is useful to see the level

of students' comprehension a correction of learning based on what has been given through the anecdotal text described earlier. As Gharehbagh, Stapa, & Darus (2019) stated that language teaching is always accompanied by corrections.

The sixth stage is developing learning strategies. Some of the strategies that the authors provide are the results of a needs analysis of pre-existing anecdotal text materials. The shortcomings in the instructional materials the authors add to the development that the authors did as part of the learning strategies adopted in the learning materials developed. The author adds sub-teaching materials ranging from basic competencies, concept maps, keywords, apperception, goals to be achieved, text and pictorial, understanding tests, and character assessments. This proves that the development of strategies carried out can improve learning for the better. Such research conducted by (Khlaisang & Mingsiritham, 2016) by designing and developing learning strategies and systems can improve communication and collaboration skills of higher education students in cultural communities.

Seventh, developing and selecting materials for learning. At this stage, the author chose and develops teaching materials by providing images as support in anecdotal text learning. As has been done by (Bataneh, 2014) utilizing online text can improve writing skills. The researchers chose images that are in accordance with the concept of anecdotal texts suitable and easily understood by students. The researchers also developed several sub-sections in the teaching materials such as providing concept maps, keywords and character assessments in addition to strengthening teaching materials and making it easier for students to understand anecdotal text learning. Likewise with the results of research conducted by (Pekerti, 2013) that overall, the combination of text-images can facilitate faster and better understanding and operation. This proves that the development of illustrated teaching materials can facilitate students in doing better learning.

Then, designing and implementing formative evaluations. This evaluation was aimed at evaluating the quality of teaching materials. The evaluation was carried out by lecturers and teachers as experts in this teaching material. Based on this, the results of the formative assessment from two media experts averaged 4.27 with a percentage of 85.3. The results of the formative assessment of the three material experts averaged 4.31 with a percentage of 86.15. The evaluation was important for evaluating the results of what had been developed and seeing the shortcomings that needed to be added before

conducting the final evaluation, namely summative evaluation.

Table 1: Summary of Formative Assessment Results Media Expert Validation

| No          | Rated Aspect   | V 1 | V 2 | T    |
|-------------|--|-----|-----|------|
| 1           | Propositional Layout   |     |     |      |
| 2           | Suitability of the use of teaching materials                               |     |     |      |
| 3           | The suitability of teaching materials with teachers as users               |     |     |      |
| 4           | Clarity of the stages of teaching materials                                |     |     |      |
| 5           | Ease of teaching materials   |     |     |      |
| 6           | Conformity of presentation instructions                                    | 4   |     |      |
| 7           | Freedom to choose material to study  |     |     |      |
| 8           | Resolution of teaching materials   |     |     |      |
| 9           | Clarity of motives on each sheet of teaching material                      |     |     |      |
| 10          | Image clarity  |     |     |      |
| 11          | Clarity of caricature  |     |     |      |
| 12          | Color variations   |     |     |      |
| 13          | Variation in motifs  |     |     |      |
| 14          | The suitability of the form of teaching materials with the age of students |     |     |      |
| 15          | The suitability of the illustrations in the text                           |     |     |      |
| Total       |  | 63  | 65  | 128  |
| Ideal Score |  | 5   | 75  | 150  |
| Average     |  | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.25 |
| Percentage  |  | 84  | 86  | 85   |
| Category    |  | V   | V   | V    |
|             |  | W   | W   | W    |

Note: V: validator, T: total, VW: very well

Table 2: Recapitulation of Formative Assessment Results Material Expert Validation

| No | Rated Aspect  | V1 | V2 | V3 | T  |
|----|---|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | The suitability of learning to core competencies and basic competencies | 4  | 5  | 4  | 13 |
| 2  | The suitability of learning with learning objectives                    | 5  | 4  | 5  | 14 |
| 3  | Appropriate application of  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |

| No          | Rated Aspect  | V 1  | V 2  | V 3  | T    |
|-------------|---|------|------|------|------|
| 4           | teaching materials: a. observation                              |      |      |      |      |
| 5           | b. reading text   | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| 6           | c. understand together  | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 7           | d. find the structure and rules                                 | 4    | 5    | 5    | 14   |
| 8           | e. character planting   | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 9           | f. cooperate  | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 10          | g. supporting image   | 4    | 5    | 4    | 13   |
| 11          | h. repetition of material                                       | 4    | 5    | 4    | 13   |
| 12          | Suitability and clarity of learning through the overall picture | 4    | 5    | 5    | 14   |
| 13          | Clarity of use guidelines                                       | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 14          | The suitability of the contents of the material in learning     | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 15          | a. Understand   | 4    | 5    | 5    | 14   |
| 16          | b. Compare  | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| 17          | c. Analyze  | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| 18          | d. Evaluate   | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| 19          | e. Interpret  | 5    | 4    | 5    | 14   |
| 20          | f. Writing and editing  | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| 21          | h. Convert  | 5    | 4    | 4    | 13   |
| 22          | Clarity in the delivery of practical material                   | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 23          | Variation of information delivery                               | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 24          | Suitability of the example given                                | 5    | 4    | 5    | 14   |
| 25          | Clarity of instructions for working on the questions            | 4    | 5    | 5    | 14   |
| 26          | Appropriateness of practice questions to learning               | 4    | 4    | 4    | 12   |
| 27          | Clarity of language used  | 4    | 4    | 5    | 13   |
| Total       |   | 108  | 111  | 117  | 336  |
| Ideal Score |   | 130  | 130  | 130  | 390  |
| Average     |   | 4.15 | 4.27 | 4.50 | 4.31 |
| Percentage  |   | 83.0 | 85.3 | 90.0 | 86.1 |

Note: V: validator, T: total

The next stage is the revision of learning. This stage continues the results of the formative assessment in the form of bad that needed to be corrected from the development process. Lecturers and teachers gave their assessment of the teaching materials developed, namely the consistency of the color of teaching materials that should be uniform, make the text and test the understanding of one page, and improved the command sentences in each sub-section.

The next one is designing and implementing summative evaluations. Re-evaluation is done to get the feedback from students. This evaluation aims to see opinions directly from students as people who learn them. Summative assessment results were obtained from the teacher and students as the

future users of the materials. The teacher assessment results averaged 4.21 with a percentage of 83.55 while the assessment results from students averaged 4.23 with a percentage of 84.54. As confirmed by Ahmed (2017) that the learning material developed can be used in the teaching of anecdotes based on the experts' perception.

Table 3: Recapitulation of Summative Assessment Results Based on Teacher Responses

| No | Rated aspect  | t1 | t2 | t3 | T  |
|----|---|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | Clarity of teaching material titles                                     | 4  | 5  | 4  | 13 |
| 2  | Clarity of presentation   | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 3  | The ropes of presenting the material                                    | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 4  | Ease of understanding the material                                      | 4  | 4  | 5  | 13 |
| 5  | Material is easy to understand  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 6  | Suitability of image example  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 7  | Appropriateness of practice questions for each learning                 | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 8  | Clarity of information in the picture illustration                      | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 9  | Clarity in decomposition of the material                                | 4  | 5  | 4  | 13 |
| 10 | Suitability of material needed by students                              | 4  | 5  | 4  | 13 |
| 11 | Clarity in the use of material language                                 | 4  | 5  | 4  | 13 |
| 12 | The suitability of the image in clarifying the material                 | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 13 | Ease of using image text  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 14 | Ease in understanding texts   | 4  | 5  | 5  | 14 |
| 15 | Ease of understanding images on the material                            | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 16 | The suitability of the interaction of teaching materials in instruction | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 17 | Appropriate scientific stages   | 4  | 4  | 4  | 12 |
| 18 | Suitability of the character  | 5  | 4  | 4  | 13 |

| planting function   |                                       |         |         |         |         |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 19                  | Image attractiveness                  | 4       | 4       | 4       | 12      |
| 20                  | Selection of type and size of letters | 5       | 4       | 4       | 13      |
| 21                  | Readability of the text               | 4       | 4       | 4       | 12      |
| 22                  | Clarity of text color selection       | 5       | 5       | 5       | 15      |
| 23                  | Picture quality                       | 5       | 5       | 5       | 14      |
| 24                  | Caricature presentation               | 4       | 4       | 5       | 13      |
| 25                  | Clarity of motives                    | 4       | 4       | 4       | 12      |
| 26                  | Color match                           | 4       | 4       | 4       | 12      |
| Total               |                                       | 108     | 110     | 109     | 327     |
| Ideal Score         |                                       | 130     | 130     | 130     | 390     |
| Average             |                                       | 4.15    | 4.27    | 4.19    | 4.21    |
| Percentage Category |                                       | 83.0 VW | 84.6 VW | 83.8 VW | 83.8 VW |

Note: t: teacher, T: total, VW: very well

Table 4: Summary of Summative Assessment Results Based on Student Responses

| No | S    | T   | IS  | Av   | %     |
|----|------|-----|-----|------|-------|
| 1  | P1   | 112 | 130 | 4.31 | 86.15 |
| 2  | P 2  | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 3  | P 3  | 112 | 130 | 4.31 | 86.15 |
| 4  | P 4  | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 5  | P 5  | 115 | 130 | 4.42 | 88.46 |
| 6  | P 6  | 108 | 130 | 4.15 | 83.08 |
| 7  | P 7  | 110 | 130 | 4.23 | 84.62 |
| 8  | P 8  | 110 | 130 | 4.23 | 84.62 |
| 9  | P 9  | 112 | 130 | 4.31 | 86.15 |
| 10 | P 10 | 109 | 130 | 4.19 | 83.85 |
| 11 | P 11 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 12 | P 12 | 113 | 130 | 4.35 | 86.92 |
| 13 | P 13 | 110 | 130 | 4.23 | 84.62 |
| 14 | P 14 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 15 | P 15 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 16 | P 16 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 17 | P 17 | 112 | 130 | 4.31 | 86.15 |
| 18 | P 18 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 19 | P 19 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 20 | P 20 | 115 | 130 | 4.42 | 88.46 |
| 21 | P 21 | 114 | 130 | 4.38 | 87.69 |
| 22 | P 22 | 110 | 130 | 4.23 | 84.62 |
| 23 | P 23 | 112 | 130 | 4.31 | 86.15 |
| 24 | P 24 | 114 | 130 | 4.38 | 87.69 |
| 25 | P 25 | 114 | 130 | 4.38 | 87.69 |
| 26 | P 26 | 113 | 130 | 4.35 | 86.92 |
| 27 | P 27 | 111 | 130 | 4.27 | 85.38 |
| 28 | P 28 | 113 | 130 | 4.35 | 86.92 |
| 29 | P 29 | 120 | 130 | 4.62 | 92.31 |
| 30 | P 30 | 110 | 130 | 4.23 | 84.62 |

|       |      |      |      |      |       |
|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 31    | P 31 | 124  | 130  | 4.77 | 95.38 |
| 32    | P 32 | 117  | 130  | 4.50 | 90.00 |
| Total |      | 3598 | 4160 | 4.32 | 86.49 |

Note: S: student, T: total, IS: Ideal Score,  
Av: Average

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the research that has been conducted with various stages following the models of Dick, Carey and Carey, the researchers obtained the final results from the learning materials that have been developed. The learning materials developed have been tested to lecturers and teachers as experts with the category *excellent* for the results of formative assessment. The results of summative assessment were obtained from teachers and students as users of teaching materials in the category of *very good*. Thus, it was concluded that the teaching material anecdotes text display as the material of language learning alternative *Worth* from the standpoint of product quality. In case of this study, this learning material cannot be used as a generalization of its use in schools because statistical tests have not been carried out in detail from the point of view of the effectiveness of its use.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. (2017). Authentic ELT materials in the language classroom: An overview. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 4(2), 181–202.
- Asnawi. (2016). Persepsi Mahasiswa terhadap Pemanfaatan Youtube dalam Pembelajaran Mata Kuliah Membaca. *GERAM (GERAKAN AKTIF MENULIS)*, 4(3), 11–22. Retrieved from <http://www.journal.uir.ac.id/index.php/GRM/article/view/1115>
- Bataineh, A. M. (2014). The Effect of Text Chat Assisted with Word Processors on Saudi English Major Students' Writing Accuracy and Productivity of Authentic Texts. *IJET*, 9(9), 32–40. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v9i9.4119>
- Chootongchai, S., & Songkram, N. (2018). Design and Development of SECI and Moodle Online Learning Systems to Enhance Thinking and Innovation Skills for Higher Education Learners. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 13(3), 154–172. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i03.7991> Suparoek
- Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. (2009). *The Systematic Design of Instruction* (6th ed.). Boston: Harper Collin College Publisher.
- Eriyani, R. N. (2018). Bahan ajar menulis bermuatan lokal bagi siswa SMAN 1 Cipanas Kabuoaten Lebak. *AKSIS (Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia)*, 2(2), 275–284.
- Gharehbagh, M. J., Stapa, S. H., & Darus, S. (2019). The effects of written corrective feedback using wikis among ESL learners. *The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 25(1), 1–10.
- Khlaisang, J., & Mingsiritham, K. (2016). Engaging Virtual Learning Environment System to Enhance Communication and Collaboration Skills among ASEAN Higher Education Learners. *IJET*, 11(4), 103–113. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v11i04.5503>
- Laksono, A. T., & Baehaqie, I. (2015). Peningkatan keterampilan memproduksi teks anekdor dengan model pembelajaran role playing “pelayanan publik” pada peserta didik kelas X multimedia 3 SMK Negeri 8 Semarang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*, 4(1), 1–7.
- Littlejohn, A. (2012). Language teaching materials and the (Very) big picture. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 9(1), 283–297.
- Mulyadi Y, D. F. (2013). *Bahasa Indonesia*. Bandung: Yrama Widya.
- Nasir, C., Yusuf, Y. Q., & Wardana, A. (2019). A qualitative study of teacher talk in an EFL classroom interaction in Aceh Tengah, Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(3), 525–535. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v8i3.15251>
- Nasrullah, A., Marlina, M., & Dwiyantri, W. (2018). Development of Student Worksheet-Based College E-Learning Through Edmodo to Maximize the Results of Learning and Motivation in Economic Mathematics Learning. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 13(12), 211–229. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i12.8636> Anton
- Othman, M., Daud, N. M., Zubairi, A. M., & Mohamad, F. (2007). Problems encountered in dialogue journal writing via email: A Malaysian case study. *ASIATIC*, 1(1), 1–13.
- Pardo, A. N., Fernanda, M., & Téllez, T. (2009). ELT materials: The key to fostering effective

- teaching and learning settings, *11*(1), 171–186.
- Pekerti, A. A. (2013). Augmentation of information in educational objects : Effectiveness of arrows and pictures as information for actions in instructional objects. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *29*(6), 840–869.
- Prastowo, A. (2012). *Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan Ajar Inovatif*. Yogyakarta: Diva Press.
- Quinto, E. J. M. (2015). Attitude and motivation towards a working language : Case of east timorese students in the Philippines. *The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, *21*(1), 1–12.
- Thamrin, M. (2014). Pengembangan bahan ajar penulisan karya ilmiah berbasis vokasi. *LITERA*, *13*(1), 90–102.
- Wahyuni, S. (2017). Students' Ability in Writing Hortatory Exposition of Eleventh Grade Students at SMAN 14 Pekanbaru. *Journal of English for Academic*, *4*(2), 87–93.
- Wahyuni, S. (2018). Students' perception of using an android smartphone application as a supplementary learning resource. In *Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences* (Vol. 2, pp. 115–119).
- Wahyuni, S., & Etfita, F. (2018). Pengaruh Blended Learning Model dan Sikap Berbahasa terhadap Kemampuan Menulis Bahasa Inggris Siswa Sekolah Menengah Atas Negeri di Kota Pekanbaru. *Geram (Gerakan Aktif Menulis)*, *6*(1), 1–9.
- ZA Shaffiei, SR Hamidi, N. J. and N. O. (2014). Requirement Analysis of E-Content for Visual Learners. *IJET*, *9*(1), 78–81. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v9i1.3072>
- Zhang, Y. (2018). Design and Development of WEB-based Remote Network Physical Education Teaching Platform in Colleges and Universities Research background and overview of related technologies. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, *13*(4), 150–161. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i04.8478>

THE  
**ICELS**  
2019

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON EDUCATION,  
LANGUAGE, AND SOCIETY



Language Education of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta

# CERTIFICATE

Presented to:

**ASNAWI**

with sincere thanks for being a

**Presenter**

at The International Conference on Education, Language, and Society  
held on August 6, 2019 in Jakarta, Indonesia

Acting Director of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta

Prof. Dr. Ilza Mayuni, M.A.  
NIP 195906221986022001

Chairperson of ICELS

Prof. Dr. Endry Boeriswati, M.Pd.  
NIP 196106281985032001



THE  
**ICELS**  
2019

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON EDUCATION  
LANGUAGE, AND SOCIETY



Language Education of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta

# CERTIFICATE


Presented to:

**ASNAWI**

with sincere thanks for being a  
**Presenter**


at The International Conference on Education, Language, and Society  
held on August 6, 2019 in Jakarta, Indonesia

Acting Director of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta



Prof. Dr. Ilza Mayuni, M.A.  
NIP 195906221986022001


Chairperson of ICELS



Prof. Dr. Endry Boeriswati, M.Pd.  
NIP 196106281985032001

THE  
**ICELS**  
2019

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON EDUCATION,  
LANGUAGE, AND SOCIETY



Language Education of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta

# CERTIFICATE

Presented to:

**ASNAWI**

with sincere thanks for being a

**Presenter**

at The International Conference on Education, Language, and Society  
held on August 6, 2019 in Jakarta, Indonesia

Acting Director of Postgraduate School  
Universitas Negeri Jakarta

Prof. Dr. Ilza Mayuni, M.A.  
NIP 195906221986022001

Chairperson of ICELS

Prof. Dr. Endry Boeriswati, M.Pd.  
NIP 196106281985032001