

Korespondensi

[PEGEGOG] Submission Acknowledgement

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk

Telusuri semua pesan berlabel Kotak Masuk

Hapus label Kotak Masuk dari percakapan ini



**Prof. Dr. Ismail Hakki Mirici, Hacettepe University,
Turkey hakkimirici@gmail.com [lewat pegegog.net](http://lewat.pegegog.net)
kepada saya, Miftah, Musaddad**

24 Agu 2022,
22.01

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Dear Researcher

Syahraini Tambak has submitted the manuscript, "Development of Madrasah Teacher Leadership Competency: Involving Project-Based Learning Methods in Students-Centered Learning" to Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Prof. Dr. Ismail Hakki Mirici, Hacettepe University, Turkey

[PEGEGOG] Editor Decision

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk

Telusuri semua pesan berlabel Kotak Masuk

Hapus label Kotak Masuk dari percakapan ini



Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>

Kam, 13 Okt 2022,
04.44

kepada Syahraini, saya, Miftah, Musaddad

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Dear Syahraini Tambak (Author); Desi Sukenti (Editor); Miftah Syarif; Musaddad Harahap (Editor):

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, "Development of Madrasah Teacher Leadership Competency: Involving Project-Based Learning Methods in Students-Centered Learning".

Our decision is: **Revisions Required**

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1) Does the title reflect the content of the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Title**, if any, into the following field.

2) Does the abstract summarize the essential information in the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Abstract**, if any, into the following field.

3) Does the introduction section adequately explain the problems the study address and the framework of the study? Are the importance and the contribution/implications of the study clearly stated?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Introduction**, if any, into the following field.

4) Are research questions and/or hypotheses in line with the focus of the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Research Questions** or **Hypotheses** , if any, into the following field.

5) Are the method and technique(s) employed appropriate for the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Method** or **Technique**, if any, into the following field.

6) Is the sample or the participants pertinent to the study?

No, non-acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Sample** or **Participants**, if any, into the following field.

7) Are the data collection instruments employed appropriate for the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Data Collection Instruments**, if any, into the following field.

8) Are the data analyses employed appropriate for the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Data Analyses** , if any, into the following field.

9) Are the presented results in accord with the research questions and/or the hypotheses?

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Results**, if any, into the following field.

10) Does the discussion section address adequately both results and research questions/hypotheses?

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please, write your suggestion about the Discussions, if any, into the following field.

11) Is the conclusion logically supported by the obtained results?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Conclusion**, if any, into the following field.

12) Is limitations and suggestions section sufficient and pertinents to the scope of the study?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Limitation** and/or **Suggestion**, if any, into the following field.

13) Are the references used relevant and up-to-date?

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **References**, if any, into the following field.

14) Are the paper's quality, structure and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?
Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **study's quality, structure and grammar**, if any, into the following field.

15) Is the paper content original?
Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Author(s)):

The paper is good but needs to revise more as a major revision; please take a look at the way you write the article

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1) Does the title reflect the content of the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Title**, if any, into the following field.

2) Does the abstract summarize the essential information in the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Abstract**, if any, into the following field.

3) Does the introduction section adequately explain the problems the study address and the framework of the study? Are the importance and the contribution/implications of the study clearly stated?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Introduction**, if any, into the following field.

4) Are research questions and/or hypotheses in line with the focus of the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Research Questions** or **Hypotheses**, if any, into the following field.

5) Are the method and technique(s) employed appropriate for the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Method** or **Technique**, if any, into the following field.

6) Is the sample or the participants pertinent to the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Sample** or **Participants**, if any, into the following field.

7) Are the data collection instruments employed appropriate for the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Data Collection Instruments**, if any, into the following field.

8) Are the data analyses employed appropriate for the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Data Analyses** , if any, into the following field.

9) Are the presented results in accord with the research questions and/or the hypotheses?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Results**, if any, into the following field.

10) Does the discussion section address adequately both results and research questions/hypotheses?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestion about the Discussions, if any, into the following field.

11) Is the conclusion logically supported by the obtained results?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Conclusion**, if any, into the following field.

12) Is limitations and suggestions section sufficient and pertinents to the scope of the study?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **Limitation** and/or **Suggestion**, if any, into the following field.

13) Are the references used relevant and up-to-date?

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the **References**, if any, into the following field.

14) Are the paper's quality, structure and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the **study's quality, structure and grammar**, if any, into the following field.

Pay attention for some sentences

15) Is the paper content original?

Yes, acceptable.

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Author(s)):

Pay attention on how to write madrasah (be consistent). There some version that i got in your paper i.e madrasa, madrasas, and madrasah. Please consider about it!